

APPENDIX E — Notice Plan



***In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and
Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation
Settlement Notice Plan***

Hilsoft Notifications

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
1. Introduction	4
2. Overview/Summary	5
3. Notice Schedule Flow Chart	10
4. Target Audience	11
5. Individual Mailed Notice	13
6. Media Selection	15
7. Plan Delivery Summary	17
8. Net Reach	18
9. Average Frequency of Exposure	20
10. Geographic Coverage	21
11. Nationwide Publication Plan	23
12. Nationwide Publication Details	24
13. Nationwide Publication Coverage	26
14. Nationwide Publication Circulation	27
15. Publication Secondary Reader Data	28
16. Nationwide Publication Audience Data	29
17. Nationwide Publication Gross Impressions	30
18. National Business Publication Plan	31
19. National Business Publication Circulation	32

20. National Business Publication Secondary Reader Data	33
21. National Business Publication Audience Data	34
22. Trade, Business & Specialty Publications	35
23. Language & Ethnic Targeted Publications	38
24. U.S. Territories Newspapers	45
25. Internet Banner Notices	46
26. Informational Release	47
27. Case Website	48
28. Toll-Free Telephone Support Line and Post Office Box	51
29. Sponsored Search Listings	52
30. Notice Design Strategy	53
31. Notices	55

Attachment 1 - *Hilsoft Notifications Curriculum Vitae*

Attachment 2 – *Parade and USA Weekend Newspaper List*

Attachment 3 –*Informational Release*

1. Introduction

The “Notice Plan” (or “Plan”) that follows details the dissemination effort that will be undertaken to provide comprehensive notice to Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members in connection with the proposed Settlement in *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation – MDL No. 1720(JG)(JO)*. The Plan is based on meeting key objectives and utilizes extensive and appropriate prior class action notice experience.

Hilsoft Notifications has designed and will implement this Notice Plan. With experience in more than 200 cases, Hilsoft Notifications’ notices have appeared in 53 languages with distribution in almost every country, territory and dependency in the world. Courts, including in published decisions, have recognized and approved numerous Hilsoft settlement notice plans. Key Hilsoft Notifications principals Cameron Azari, Esq., Director of Legal Noticing, and Lauran Schultz, Executive Director, have designed the Plan, and will oversee implementation to successful completion. Hilsoft Notifications’ curriculum vitae, including judicial comments recognizing notice expertise and approved plans, is attached as **Attachment 1**.

The proposed long-form Notice of Settlement of Class Action (“Long-Form Notice”) and Publication Notice (together, the “Notice” or “Notices”) were drafted pursuant to the Definitive Class Action Settlement Agreement (“Class Settlement Agreement”) by Class Counsel with the assistance of Hilsoft and an independent plain-language expert Maria Mindlin. Ms. Mindlin’s expertise focuses on language proficiency and readability. She has provided plain-language instruction and services to numerous courts and attorneys. In addition, settlement notices which she has assisted in drafting have been approved by courts. The Notices were written and designed to embody the satisfaction of the plain language requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2).

2. Overview/Summary

- **Objective.** To notify the greatest practicable number of Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members and provide them with opportunities to be exposed to the Notice, to see, review, understand, and be reminded about it, and to respond appropriately if they choose.
- **Imperatives.** Key factors guide the dissemination methods needed to achieve a reasonable and effective notice effort:
 1. The proposed Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class are national in scope and likely include persons of all ages, races and demographic profiles.
 2. Data containing contact information for members of both settlement classes supplied by the defendants and potentially cross-referenced with lists subpoenaed from other sources is available. Some data may be available for the entire Class Period.
 3. A high number of small businesses fail annually and locating current addresses for these class members is not certain.¹
 4. Many small retail businesses are owned and operated by recent immigrants and members of discreet, ethnic and foreign-language communities.²
 5. High quality notice methods are needed to convey the importance of information affecting the rights of both Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members.
- **Target Audience.** We understand that the Class Settlement Agreement defines two Classes (the “Class Definitions”):

Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class. All persons, businesses, and other entities that have accepted Visa-Branded Cards and/or MasterCard-Branded Cards in the United States at any time from January 1, 2004 to the Settlement Preliminary Approval Date, except that this Class does not include the named Defendants, their directors, officers, or members or their families,

¹ According to the 2011 *U.S. Business Trends Report* done by Dun & Bradstreet, “In the 12 months ending in September 2010, there were 81,616 business failures – 41% more than the official bankruptcies reported by the U.S. Government.” Source http://www.dnb.gov.com/pdf/US_Business_Trends_Jan11.pdf (last checked 9/12/12).

² According to the 2007 Survey of Business Owners survey done by the United States Census Bureau, there were approximately 1.9 million African-American owned businesses, 1.5 million Asian owned businesses and 2.3 million Hispanic owned businesses in the United States. Source <http://www.census.gov/econ/sbo/> (last checked 7/23/12).

financial institutions that have issued Visa- or MasterCard-Branded Cards or acquired Visa- or MasterCard-Branded Card transactions at any time from January 1, 2004 to the Settlement Preliminary Approval Date, or the United States government;

and

Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class. All persons, businesses, and other entities that as of the Settlement Preliminary Approval Date or in the future accept any Visa-Branded Cards and/or MasterCard-Branded Cards in the United States, except that this Class shall not include the named Defendants, their directors, officers, or members of their families, financial institutions that have issued Visa- or MasterCard-Branded Cards or acquired Visa- or MasterCard-Branded Card transactions at any time since January 1, 2004, or do so in the future, or the United States government.

We further understand that the capitalized terms in the Class Definitions have the following meanings:

- “MasterCard-Branded Card” means any Credit Card or Debit Card that bears or uses the name MasterCard, Maestro, Cirrus, or any other brand name or mark owned or licensed by a MasterCard Defendant, or that is issued under any such brand or mark.
- “Visa-Branded Card” means any Credit Card or Debit Card that bears or uses the name Visa, Plus, Interlink, or any other brand name or mark owned or licensed for use by a Visa Defendant, or that is issued under any such brand or mark.

To verify the notice program’s effectiveness, GfK Mediamark Research & Intelligence, LCC (“MRI”)³ data was studied among: (1) all adults aged 18 years and older; (2) all business owners; and (3) all business financial decision makers. This data formed the basis for the media program.

³ GfK Mediamark Research & Intelligence, LCC (“MRI”) is a leading source of publication readership and product usage data for the communications industry. MRI offers comprehensive demographic, lifestyle, product usage and exposure to all forms of advertising media collected from a single sample. As the leading U.S. supplier of multimedia audience research, MRI provides information to magazines, televisions, radio, Internet, and other media, leading national advertisers, and over 450 advertising agencies—including 90 of the top 100 in the United States. MRI’s national syndicated data is widely used by companies as the basis for the majority of the media and marketing plans that are written for advertised brands in the U.S.

- **Strategies.** The Long-Form Notice will be mailed to known, likely Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members, compiled from various lists provided by the settling defendants and the largest bank acquirers and processors. In addition, an extensive schedule of media placements has been developed to reach both settlement classes' members across the United States through dissemination of the Publication Notice. The schedule includes well-read consumer magazines, national business publications, Sunday local newspapers (via newspaper supplements), and highly trafficked websites. Although not measurable, placements of the Publication Notice will also appear in trade, business & specialty publications, language & ethnic targeted publications, and U.S. territories newspapers. To ensure readability, the Publication Notice shall appear in display rather than classified advertisements, and in at least 9 point font. An informational release and Case Website will provide additional notice exposures.
- **Delivery.** The combined measurable effort will reach an estimated 80.2% of all U.S. adults on average 2.6 times each, an estimated 80.3% of all business owners on average 2.7 times each, and an estimated 81.7% of all business financial decision makers on average 2.7 times each. The "reach" or net reach of a notice program is defined as the percentage of the target audience exposed to a Notice net of any duplication among people who may have been exposed more than once. Coverage will be further enhanced by media placements described above for which reach is not measured. The measurable reach and frequency that will be achieved is consistent with other effective court-approved notice programs, and is designed to meet due process requirements.
- **Notice Tactics.** The following notice tactics have been selected to best reach Class Members:
 1. **Individual Mailed Notice.** For all Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members, for whom name and address data can be ascertained with reasonable effort, a Notice Packet, containing a the Long-Form Notice will be mailed via first class mail. Appropriate address updating procedures will be implemented pre-mail and on returned undeliverables.
 2. **Sunday Newspaper Inserts.** In order to achieve broad, national exposure of the notice, the Publication Notice will appear once in over 1,200 Sunday newspapers nationwide via *Parade Magazine* and *USA Weekend*.

Parade Magazine and *USA Weekend* have a combined circulation of over 55 million.

3. **Consumer Publications.** The Publication Notice will appear in five leading weekly and monthly consumer publications—two times each in *People*, *TV Guide*, and *Sports Illustrated*, and once in *National Geographic*, and *People en Espanol*, for a total of eight insertions. The selected consumer publications have a combined circulation of approximately 13.5 million.
4. **National Business Publications.** To achieve broad, national exposure of the settlement among the professional business community, the Publication Notice will appear once in the *Wall Street Journal*, *Financial Times*, *New York Times*, *Investor's Business Daily*, *Barron's*, *Forbes*, *Fortune*, and *Bloomberg BusinessWeek*, for a total of eight insertions.
5. **Trade & Specialty Publications.** In order to reach the general business community through their local business media, the Publication Summary Notice will appear one or two times in 72 trade & specialty publications, targeting business owners and business financial decision covering every major metropolitan area in the country, for a total of 140 insertions. Combined, the trade & specialty publications provide a total circulation of over one million. The trade, business and specialty publications have a combined circulation of approximately seven million.
6. **Language & Ethnic Targeted Publications.** To better reach small, retail business owners for whom English is not their primary language, or who rely on publications specifically directed to their community, the Publication Notice will appear two times in selected daily or weekly publications and one time in selected monthly publications. The Publication Notice will be translated into Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai, and Vietnamese where appropriate. The 163 selected publications have a combined circulation of over 6.5 million.
7. **U.S. Territories Newspapers.** The Summary Notice will appear in the following newspapers targeting the U.S. territories—*Caribbean Business*, *El Nuevo Día*, *El Vocero De Puerto Rico*, *Primera Hora*, *Agana Pacific Daily News*, *Saipan Tribune*, *Samoa News*, *St. Croix Avis*, *St. John Trade Winds*, and *The Virgin Island Daily News*.

8. **Internet Banner Notices.** Banner Notices measuring 728 x 90 pixels and 300 x 250 pixels will be placed during a one-month period on national web properties such as 24/7 Real Media (a network that represents over 900 websites), Facebook, Yahoo!, MSN, AOL, Washingtonpost.com, and National Network of Business Journal Websites. Combined, approximately 136 million adult impressions will be generated by these banners over a one-month period. These Internet Banner Notices will link to the Case Website.
 9. **Informational Release.** A party-neutral, informational release will be issued to approximately 4,200 print and broadcast and 5,500 online press outlets throughout the United States.
 10. **Sponsored Search Listings.** Sponsored search listings will be acquired on the three most highly visited Internet search engines: Google, Yahoo! and Bing.
 11. **Case Website.** A neutral case notice website with an easy to remember domain name will be established where class members can obtain additional information about the case and obtain notice documents, including the Long-Form Notice.
 12. **Toll-Free Telephone Support Line and Post-Office Box.** A toll-free telephone line for providing information to class members and a post office box for receiving requests for information from class members.
- ***Message Content.*** The Notices have been designed to provide a clear, concise, plain language statement of the legal rights and options of Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members. The Notices alert such class members that the message may affect them. The Publication Notice includes a summary of the terms of the Class Settlement Agreement. Drafts of the Notices are attached as Exhibit F to the Class Settlement Agreement.

3. Notice Schedule Flow Chart

The chart below shows a hypothetical schedule. This schedule would allow for completion of the Notice Plan within 90 days after the Court's entry of the Class Settlement Preliminary Approval Order. The website will remain operational beyond the flowchart shown, until requested to be terminated.

Notice Tactic	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Week 8	Week 9	Week 10	Week 11	Week 12
Preliminary Approval Granted												
Issue Informational Release												
Individual Notice Mailing												
Internet Banner Notices												
National Newspaper Inserts												
National Consumer Publications												
Trade, Business, Specialty Publications												
Language & Ethnic Targeted Publications												
Newspapers Targeting U.S. Territories												
Case Website												

Note: Print media blocks show when readers first receive publications (the on-sale date). Media selections are subject to change by addition, deletion, or substitution at the time of placement. Appearance dates may vary within the notice period, based on availability at the time of placement.

4. Target Audience

The demographics of the class, including, but not limited to, those most likely to be class members.

The proposed Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class are national in scope and likely include persons of all ages, races and demographic profiles. Data on business owner and business financial decision makers were specifically analyzed to identify key demographic groups, which can be used to guide media selection.

To ensure the greatest possible coverage of measured media in reaching the potentially diverse universe of members of both settlement classes, the Notice Plan has a primary target audience of all adults 18 years and older across the country. Additionally, the media is targeted to reach individuals who might own their own business, have owned a business in the past, or make financial decisions for their business with secondary targets of “business owners” and “business financial decision makers.”

According to MRI, demographic highlights for all three categories include the following:

<i>Demographic</i>	<i>Adults 18+</i>	<i>Business Owners</i>	<i>Business Financial Decision Makers</i>
Percentage that are men	48.4%	64.9%	61%
Percentage that are women	51.6%	35.1%	39%
Percentage that are between the ages of 45-54	19.5%	28.3%	29%
Percentage that work full-time	48.3%	70.8%	83.5%
Percentage that work part-time	11.9%	29.2%	16.5%
Percentage that graduated from college	27.1%	32.2%	45.3%
Percentage that have an individual employment income of \$100,000+	5.5%	15.5%	24.8%
Percentage that own a home	70.3%	78.4%	83.9%
Percentage that lived at their residence five or more years	56.1%	60.7%	63.1%
Percentage that are white	76.3%	83.2%	88.3%
Percentage that are African-American	11.6%	7.3%	5.5%

Percentage that are Asian	3%	2.6%	2.7%
---------------------------	----	------	------

Using MRI data we can also pinpoint certain key demographics that make up a greater percentage of Business Owner and Business Financial Decision Makers nationwide, relative to the general U.S. adult population as set forth:

<i>Demographic</i>	<i>Business Owners</i>	<i>Business Financial Decision Makers</i>
Percent more likely to be men	34.2%	26.2%
Percent more likely to be between the ages of 45-54	44.9%	48.9%
Percent more likely to work full-time	46.6%	72.8%
Percent more likely to have graduated from college	19%	67.4%
Percent more likely to own a home	11.5%	19.4%
Percent more likely to have lived at their residence five or more years	8.3%	12.4%
Percent more likely to be white	9%	15%

5. Individual Mailed Notice

Reaches class members directly with notice by mail.

For all Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members, for whom name and address data can be ascertained with reasonable effort, the Long-Form Notice will be mailed via first class mail. Potential class members will be directed to the settlement website – www.PaymentCardSettlement.com – and the toll-free number for information regarding the Settlement.

We understand that the mailing list will be compiled from multiple datasets including:⁴

- Certain merchant contact information maintained by the 25 largest Acquirers and Processors, which includes Bank of America Merchant Services, Chase Paymentech Solutions, SunTrust Merchant Services, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (through Wells Fargo Merchant Services), Vantiv (f.k.a. Fifth Third Merchant Services). These entities handle more than 90% of Visa and MasterCard transaction sales volume as reported in Nilson Report 990 (March 2012).
- Certain Visa databases.
- Certain MasterCard databases.

Prior to mailing, reasonable efforts will be used to eliminate exact duplicate entries from the available data sources. Subsequently, all addresses will be checked against the National Change of Address (“NCOA”) database maintained by the United States Postal Service (“USPS”).⁵ If a record is returned by NCOA as invalid, the Class Administrator will update the address through third-party address search services and re-mail as appropriate. Notices returned as undeliverable will be re-mailed to any new address available through postal service information, for example, to the address provided by the postal service on returned pieces for which the automatic forwarding order has expired, but which is still during the period in which the postal service returns the piece with the address indicated, or to better

⁴ Pursuant, to Paragraph 81(d) of the Class Settlement Agreement, “Class Plaintiffs shall subpoena, to obtain the names and locations of any members of the Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class or the Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class, as many non-Bank Defendant acquirers as would be necessary to attempt to obtain merchant name and location information attributable to more than 90% of merchant transaction volume and 90% of merchant outlets as reported in Nilson Report 990 (March 2012).”

⁵ The NCOA database contains records of all permanent changes of address submissions received by the USPS for the last four years. The USPS makes this data available to mailing firms and lists submitted to it are automatically updated with any reported move based on a comparison with the person’s name and known address.

addresses that may be found after reasonable, additional third-party source lookups. Upon successfully locating better addresses, Notices will be promptly re-mailed on an ongoing basis.

Additionally, the Long-Form Notice will be mailed to all persons who request one via the toll-free phone number maintained by the Class Administrator.

6. Media Selection

The media vehicles that will best reach class members in this particular notice program.

In addition to mailing the Long-Form Notice, a comprehensive national multi-media effort has been selected to effectively deliver a clear message to Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members. A broad, national media effort is essential since it is unknown whether it will be possible to determine accurate addresses for representatives of the high number of businesses that have closed or changed locations during the Class Period (address updating resources for businesses are not as reliable as resources available for determining the current address of individuals). Because of these unknowns, and due to the significance of the settlement, a substantial media effort is necessary.

Broad, national exposure will be achieved by placement of the Publication Notice in Sunday newspapers nationwide via *Parade Magazine* and *USA Weekend* and via five leading weekly and monthly consumer publications – most read by business owners and business financial decision makers. Broad, national exposure among the professional business community will be achieved by placing the Publication Notice in leading national business publications. The general business community will be targeted by placing the Publication Notice in local business journal publications in virtually every major market in the U.S. Retail business owners for whom English is not their primary language, or who rely on publications specifically directed to their community, will be targeted by placing the Publication Notice in language & ethnic targeted publications. Businesses in the U.S. territories will be targeted by placing the Publication Notice in newspapers published in U.S. territories as well as spill-over circulation from consumer publications.

We have reviewed the merits of all forms of media and, based on our analysis, our media selection allows:

- A large majority of members of both settlement classes to be reached by the measurable paid print, and online media alone (at least 80.2% of all U.S. adults, 80.3% of all business owners, and an estimated 81.7% of all business financial decision makers).
- Multiple opportunities for members of both settlement classes to see the message through overlapping reach of the different notice methods.

- “Noticeable” Publication Notices in leading consumer magazines, and other publications that will allow readers to have a written record and the ability to refer back to the Notice, pass it on to others, and easily respond via the website or toll-free number.
- Placement of the Publication Notice in approximately 1,213 newspapers nationwide via *Parade*, and *USA Weekend*, which are inserted in the weekend editions of newspapers with distribution in large cities and small towns.
- Placement of the Publication Notice in appropriate business and trade publications targeting business owners and business financial decision makers.
- Placement of the Publication Notice in appropriate foreign language publications covering major ethnic groups identified to target business owners and business financial decision makers.
- Placement of the Publication Notice in daily newspapers with distribution in U.S. territories.
- Extended reach via rotating Internet Banner Notices on a variety of web properties.
- The broadest, most inclusive national coverage as well as targeted business coverage, ensuring that Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members are not excluded.
- The broadest, most-inclusive *demographic* coverage, ensuring that Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members are effectively reached through notice placements in a variety of cultural and trade publications.

7. Plan Delivery Summary

<i>National Consumer Publications</i>	5
<i>Newspapers carrying Notices (via supplements):</i>	≈1,213
<i>National Business Publications</i>	8
<i>Trade Publications</i>	72
<i>Language & Ethnic Targeted Publications</i>	163
<i>Total Print Insertions</i>	1,688
<i>Internet Banner Notices</i>	≈136 million
<i>U.S. Territories Newspaper Placements:</i>	10
<i>U.S. Territories Newspaper Circulation:</i>	568,760
<i>Estimated Net % Reached – Adults 18+</i>	80.2%
<i>Avg. Frequency of Exposure – Adults 18+</i>	2.6 times
<i>Estimated Net % Reached – Business Owners</i>	80.3%
<i>Avg. Frequency of Exposure – Business Owners</i>	2.7 times
<i>Estimated Net % Reached – Business Financial Decision Makers</i>	81.7%
<i>Avg. Frequency of Exposure – Business Financial Decision Makers</i>	2.7 times
<i>Estimated Net Audience - National</i>	249,458,200
<i>Estimated Gross Impressions* - National</i>	328,702,200

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study, ABC and publication circulation statements.

*Total exposures to notice among all those exposed, including repeat exposures.

8. Net Reach

Total different persons who open or read a publication containing a notice.

We employ industry-standard computer software, which uses the latest readership data to factor out the duplicate persons reached by the different and overlapping audiences on a notice schedule to yield total net persons reached. The proposed measurable print and online efforts are estimated to reach:

Target	% Reached, Net of Duplication
All U.S. Adults Aged 18+	80.2%
Business Owners	80.3%
Business Financial Decision Makers	81.7%

Source: 2011MRI Doublebase Study, Nielson, Arbitron, comScore.

Reach will most certainly be further enhanced by the Long-Form Notice mailing effort, placements of the Publication Notice in trade, business, specialty publications, language & ethnic targeted publications, newspapers targeting U.S. territories, the informational release, and Case Website.

The audience data used to determine these results are the same data used by media professionals to guide the billions of dollars of advertising we see today. The statistics and sources we cite are uniformly relied upon in our field: Audit Bureau of Circulations (“ABC”) data has been relied upon since 1914;⁶ 90%-100% of media directors use reach and frequency planning;⁷ all of the leading advertising and communications textbooks cite the need to use reach and frequency planning;⁸

⁶ Established in 1914, ABC is a non-profit cooperative formed by media, advertisers, and advertising agencies to audit the paid circulation statements of magazines and newspapers. ABC is the leading third-party auditing organization in the U.S. It is the industry’s leading neutral source for documentation on the actual distribution of newspapers printed and bought by readers. Widely accepted throughout the industry, it certifies over 3,000 publications, categorized by metro areas, region, and other geographical divisions. Its publication audits are conducted in accordance with rules established by its Board of Directors. These rules govern not only how audits are conducted, but also how publishers report their circulation figures. ABC’s Board of Directors is comprised of representatives from the publishing and advertising communities.

⁷ See generally Peter B. Turk, *Effective Frequency Report: Its Use And Evaluation By Major Agency Media Department Executives*, 28 J. ADVERTISING RES. 56 (1988); Peggy J. Kreshel et al., *How Leading Advertising Agencies Perceive Effective Reach and Frequency*, 14 J. ADVERTISING 32 (1985).

⁸ Textbook sources that have identified the need for reach and frequency for years include: JACK S. SISSORS & JIM SURMANEK, *ADVERTISING MEDIA PLANNING*, 57-72 (2d ed. 1982); KENT M. LANCASTER & HELEN E. KATZ, *STRATEGIC MEDIA PLANNING* 120-156 (1989); DONALD W. JUGENHEIMER & PETER B. TURK, *ADVERTISING MEDIA* 123-126 (1980); JACK Z. SISSORS & LINCOLN BUMBA, *ADVERTISING MEDIA PLANNING* 93-122 (4th ed. 1993); JIM SURMANEK, *INTRODUCTION TO ADVERTISING MEDIA: RESEARCH, PLANNING, AND BUYING* 106-187 (1993).

and a leading treatise says it *must* be used:⁹ “In order to obtain this essential information, we must use the statistics known as reach and frequency.” Ninety of the top one hundred media firms use MRI data, which has a 95% confidence interval; and at least 3,000 media firms in 25 different countries use media planning software for reach and frequency planning.¹⁰ Online media planning data is provided by comScore, Inc.¹¹

⁹ AMERICAN ADVERTISING AGENCY ASSOCIATION, GUIDE TO MEDIA RESEARCH 25 (1987), revised 1993.

¹⁰ For example, Telmar is the world’s leading supplier of media planning software and support services. Over 3,000 users in 25 countries, including 95% of the world’s top agencies, use Telmar systems for media and marketing planning tools including reach and frequency planning functions. Established in 1968, Telmar was the first company to provide media planning systems on a syndicated basis.

¹¹ comScore, Inc. is a global leader in measuring the digital world and a preferred source of digital marketing intelligence. In an independent survey of 800 of the most influential publishers, advertising agencies and advertisers conducted by William Blair & Company in January 2009, comScore was rated the “most preferred online audience measurement service” by 50% of respondents, a full 25 points ahead of its nearest competitor.

9. Average Frequency of Exposure

Average number of times that each different person reached will have an opportunity to view a vehicle containing a notice placement.

This Notice Plan is intended to provide Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members with the best practicable opportunity to view and understand the Publication Notice and their rights, including a Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class member's right to file a claim, if desired, or exclude itself from the Rule 23(b)(3) settlement. A by-product of the media vehicles necessary for a broad net reach is multiple exposures to notice from overlapping audience coverage.

This Notice Plan relies upon modern-style, audience-documented media coverage as reported herein, and provides a higher frequency of exposure than would a direct mail notice program that sends one notice, one time, to a class member.¹² The average frequency of exposure resulting from the proposed Notice Program is as follows:

<i>Target</i>	<i>Average Frequency of Exposure</i>
All U.S. Adults Aged 18+	2.6 times
Business Owners	2.7 times
Business Financial Decision Makers	2.7 times

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study.

The frequency of exposure will be further enhanced by the Individual Mailed Notice effort, placements of the Publication Notice in trade, business and specialty publications, language & ethnic targeted publications, newspapers targeting U.S. territories, informational release, and Case Website.

¹² The reach achievable through direct mail notice programs varies widely depending on the accuracy and comprehensiveness of class member mailing lists.

10. Geographic Coverage

Ensuring that class members are not excluded simply because of where they live.

Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members may reside anywhere in the U.S. or its territories and possessions; therefore, the Notice Plan has been designed to ensure fair and wide geographic coverage.

- The consumer publications have distribution and/or subscribers throughout the U.S., as supported by the detailed ABC statements for each publication.
- *Parade* and *USA Weekend* are distributed within nearly 1,213 newspapers nationwide, covering large markets as well as reaching deep into small towns.
- The business newspapers have distribution and/or subscribers throughout the U.S.
- Placements of the Publication Notice in trade, business and specialty publications extend reach to business owners and business financial decision makers throughout the U.S. The recommended consumer publications also offer circulation to business owners and business financial decision makers.
- Placements of the Publication Notice in language & ethnic targeted publications will extend coverage to Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members residing throughout the U.S. to better reach small, retail business owners for whom English is not their primary language, or who rely on publications specifically directed to their community.
- Placements of the Publication Notice in the largest circulation newspapers in each of the U.S. territories and possessions will extend coverage to Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members residing outside of the continental U.S. Additionally, the recommended consumer publications offer spillover circulation into the U.S. territories and possessions.
- The Internet Banner Notices and Case Website allow access to the Notice regardless of geography.
- The informational release will broaden the geographic coverage further.

Accordingly, the Notice will reach Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members regardless of where they choose to live.

11. Nationwide Publication Plan

The national newspaper supplements and consumer publications in which the notice will appear.

The Notice Plan includes multiple placements of the Publication Notice in leading weekly and monthly publications. Publication Notice will appear once in the national newspaper supplements *Parade* and *USA Weekend*. Combined, these publications appear in over 1,213 Sunday newspapers nationwide. A complete listing of the newspapers is provided in **Attachment 2**. Publication Notice will appear twice in *People*, *TV Guide*, *Sports Illustrated*, and once in *National Geographic* and *People en Espanol* (in Spanish), for a total of 10 insertions. The selected publications cover all demographic groups.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Issuance</i>	<i>Notice Content</i>	<i># of Insertions</i>
<i>Parade</i>	Weekly	Standard Magazine Unit	1
<i>USA Weekend</i>	Weekly	Standard Magazine Unit	1
<i>People</i>	Weekly	Full Page	2
<i>National Geographic</i>	Monthly	Full Page	1
<i>Sports Illustrated</i>	Weekly	Full Page	2
<i>TV Guide</i>	Weekly	Full Page	2
<i>People en Espanol</i>	10x/year	Full Page	1
TOTAL			10

12. Nationwide Publication Details

A summary of facts on the consumer publications in which the notice will appear.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Facts</i>
<i>Parade</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weekly national newspaper supplement covering family, food, health, current events and entertainment. • Readership ranks 1st among Adults 18+ • Provides the single largest readership of any publication. • Provides a broad demographic readership and geographic coverage. • Carried in approximately 593 papers throughout the U.S. • Planned notice size: Standard Magazine Unit • Planned insertions: 1x
<i>USA Weekend</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weekly national newspaper supplement covering family, food, health, current events and entertainment. • Provides a broad demographic readership and geographic coverage. • Carried in approximately 655 papers throughout the U.S. • Planned notice size: Standard Magazine Unit • Planned insertions: 1x
<i>People</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weekly entertainment magazine featuring celebrity news, biographies, and gossip. • Provides a large number of pass along readers. • Planned notice size: Full-Page • Planned insertions: 2x
<i>National Geographic</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly publications featuring photos, maps and articles relating to animals, the environment, cultures and history around the world. • Planned notice size: Full-Page • Planned insertions: 1x
<i>Sports Illustrated</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weekly sports magazine covering sports news, photos, scores, columns and expert analysis. • Planned notice size: Full-Page • Planned insertions: 2x

<i>TV Guide</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weekly targeted magazine featuring up-to-date breaking entertainment news and weekly television listings.• Planned notice size: Full-Page• Planned insertions: 2x
<i>People en Espanol</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 10x/year entertainment magazine featuring celebrity news, biographies, and gossip in Spanish.• Planned notice size: Full-Page• Planned insertions: 1x

13. Nationwide Publication Coverage

The size of the audience as a percent of the demographic base (reach).

The selected publications include the top three in the country, as well as publications that extend reach among various demographic segments.

	<i>Coverage Among</i>		
<i>Publication</i>	<i>U.S. Adults</i>	<i>Business Owners</i>	<i>Business Financial Decisions Makers</i>
<i>Parade</i>	30.37%	30.43%	34.67%
<i>USA Weekend</i>	18.30%	19.88%	21.65%
<i>People</i>	19.87%	17.06%	21.58%
<i>National Geographic</i>	13.95%	15.19%	16.63%
<i>Sports Illustrated</i>	9.17%	8.33%	10.55%
<i>TV Guide</i>	6.37%	4.10%	3.67%
<i>People en Espanol</i>	2.94%	1.92%	1.78%

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study.

Read As: An insertion in *Parade* reaches 30.37% of Adults 18+. Reach percentage reported above is based on publisher's estimates.

14. Nationwide Publication Circulation

Total number of copies sold through all channels (subscription, newsstand)

The selected publications include some of the largest circulating publications in the country. Combined, they provide a total circulation of over 68 million.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Total Circulation</i>
<i>Parade</i>	33,000,000
<i>USA Weekend</i>	22,297,000
<i>People</i>	3,450,000
<i>National Geographic</i>	4,400,000
<i>Sports Illustrated</i>	3,150,000
<i>TV Guide</i>	2,000,000
<i>People en Espanol</i>	540,000
TOTAL	68,837,000

Source: ABC audit and publisher's statements.

15. Publication Secondary Reader Data

Secondary or 'pass-along' readers of a publication.

Based on MRI readership data, we know that more readers than just those who purchase or otherwise receive circulated issues actually open or read the publication. Many secondary readers see the Publication Notice away from home: for example, at a subscriber's house; at a doctor's office; in an airport; on an airplane; in the reception area of a company; passed around by co-workers at the place of employment; etc. Exposure in a different environment can increase attentiveness and response potential. It is also beneficial that readership tends to build over a period of time following the publication date. This is evidence that issues can be referred to at any time, thereby providing readers with a longer, sustained opportunity to be exposed to the Notice.

The following calculations set forth the average number of readers-per-copy of each of the selected publications:

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Readers Per Copy</i>
<i>Parade</i>	2.10
<i>USA Weekend</i>	1.85
<i>People</i>	13.14
<i>National Geographic</i>	7.23
<i>Sports Illustrated</i>	6.64
<i>TV Guide</i>	6.5
<i>People en Espanol</i>	12.42

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study audience numbers and ABC statements.

16. Nationwide Publication Audience Data

Total different persons opening or reading a publication.

The following outlines the total Adult audience (readership) for *one insertion* in each of the selected publications. The total audience is based on actual MRI in-depth interview data that tell us how many persons “Opened or Read” a publication.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Total Adult Audience</i>
<i>Parade</i>	69,278,000
<i>USA Weekend</i>	41,249,000
<i>People</i>	45,318,000
<i>National Geographic</i>	31,813,000
<i>Sports Illustrated</i>	20,926,000
<i>TV Guide</i>	13,000,000
<i>People en Espanol</i>	6,708,000

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study.

17. Nationwide Publication Gross Impressions

Total number of times any person opens or reads publications containing notice placements.

Adults will be exposed to the Publication Notice through the publication effort alone more than 307 million times during the notice period. This includes the same reader more than once, because readers of one publication read other publications as well. This duplication is factored out by the net reach analysis explained earlier.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Insertions</i>	<i>Adult Impressions</i>
<i>Parade</i>	1	69,278,000
<i>USA Weekend</i>	1	41,249,000
<i>People</i>	2	90,636,000
<i>National Geographic</i>	1	31,813,000
<i>Sports Illustrated</i>	2	41,852,000
<i>TV Guide</i>	2	26,000,000
<i>People en Espanol</i>	1	6,708,000
TOTAL	10	307,536,000

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study.

18. National Business Publication Plan

The nationwide business publications in which the notice will appear.

The Notice Plan includes placements of the Publication Notice in leading national business publications targeting both business owners and business financial decision makers.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Issuance</i>	<i>Notice Content</i>	<i># of Insertions</i>
<i>Barron's</i>	Daily	Full Page	1
<i>Bloomberg BusinessWeek</i>	Weekly	Full Page	1
<i>Financial Times</i>	Daily	4 col. x 10.87	1
<i>Forbes</i>	Bi-weekly	Full Page	1
<i>Fortune</i>	18x/year	Full Page	1
<i>Investor's Business Daily</i>	Daily	1/2 page	1
<i>New York Times</i>	Daily	Junior Page	1
<i>Wall Street Journal</i>	Daily	5 col x 10.5	1
TOTAL			8

19. National Business Publication Circulation

Total number of copies sold through all channels (subscription, newsstand)

The selected publications include some of the largest circulating publications in the country. Combined, they provide a total circulation of over seven million.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Total Circulation</i>
<i>Barron's</i>	304,000
<i>Bloomberg BusinessWeek</i>	932,000
<i>Financial Times</i>	337,000
<i>Forbes</i>	930,000
<i>Fortune</i>	844,000
<i>Investor's Business Daily</i>	184,000
<i>New York Times</i>	1,376,000
<i>Wall Street Journal</i>	2,118,000
TOTAL	7,025,000

Source: ABC audit and publisher's statements.

20. National Business Publication Secondary Reader Data

Secondary or 'pass-along' readers of a publication.

Based on MRI readership data, we know that more readers than just those who purchase or otherwise receive circulated issues actually open or read the publication. Many secondary readers will see the Publication Notice away from home: for example, at a subscriber's house; at a doctor's office; in an airport; on an airplane; in the reception area of a company; passed around by co-workers at the place of employment; etc. Exposure in a different environment can increase attentiveness and response potential. It is also beneficial that readership tends to build over a period of time following the publication date. This is evidence that issues can be referred to at any time, thereby providing readers with a longer, sustained opportunity to be exposed to the Notice.

The following calculations set forth the average number of readers-per-copy of each of the selected publications:

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Readers Per Copy</i>
<i>Barron's</i>	1.3
<i>Bloomberg BusinessWeek</i>	4.06
<i>Financial Times</i>	3.0
<i>Forbes</i>	4.6
<i>Fortune</i>	4.03
<i>Investor's Business Daily</i>	2.0
<i>New York Times</i>	1.86
<i>Wall Street Journal</i>	2.3

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study audience numbers and ABC statements.

21. National Business Publication Audience Data

Total different persons opening or reading a publication.

The following outlines the total Adult audience (readership) for *one insertion* in each of the selected publications. The total audience is based on actual MRI in-depth interview data that tell us how many persons “Opened or Read” a publication.

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Total Adult Audience</i>
<i>Barron's</i>	395,200
<i>Bloomberg BusinessWeek</i>	3,783,920
<i>Financial Times</i>	1,011,000
<i>Forbes</i>	4,278,000
<i>Fortune</i>	3,401,320
<i>Investor's Business Daily</i>	368,000
<i>New York Times</i>	2,559,360
<i>Wall Street Journal</i>	4,871,400
TOTAL	20,668,200

Source: 2011 MRI Doublebase Study.

22. Trade, Business and Specialty Publications

The trade and business publications in which the notice will appear.

The Publication Notice will appear once or twice as appropriate in 72 publications targeted to business owners and business financial decision makers. The selected publications, which include all editions of Crain’s and national business journals, have a combined circulation of over one million. The following provides circulation data for the selected Trade, Business and Specialty publications:

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Distribution</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i># of Insertions</i>	<i>Circulation</i>
<i>Crain's New York</i>	New York	English	2	49,583
<i>Crain's Chicago</i>	Chicago	English	2	45,667
<i>Crain's Detroit</i>	Detroit	English	2	25,342
<i>Crain's Cleveland</i>	Cleveland	English	2	20,580
<i>Convenience Store News</i>	National	English	1	70,364
<i>Supermarket News</i>	National	English	2	25,850
<i>Mass Market Retailers</i>	National	English	2	20,500
<i>Stores</i>	National	English	1	45,540
<i>Integrated Solutions for Retailers</i>	National	English	1	22,500
<i>Chain Store Age</i>	National	English	1	26,980
<i>The Business Review</i>	Albany	English	2	7,060
<i>New Mexico Business Weekly</i>	Albuquerque	English	2	4,026
<i>Alaska Journal of Commerce</i>	Anchorage	English	2	6,349
<i>Atlanta Business Chronicle</i>	Atlanta	English	2	37,860
<i>Austin Business Journal</i>	Austin	English	2	9,267
<i>Baltimore Business Journal</i>	Baltimore	English	2	9,894
<i>Birmingham Business Journal</i>	Birmingham	English	2	6,911
<i>Boston Business Journal</i>	Boston	English	2	18,328
<i>Buffalo Business First</i>	Buffalo	English	2	7,795
<i>Charlotte Business Journal</i>	Charlotte	English	2	14,081
<i>Business Courier</i>	Cincinnati	English	2	12,877
<i>Colorado Springs Business Journal</i>	Colorado Springs	English	2	2,647
<i>Columbus Business First</i>	Columbus	English	2	11,462
<i>Dallas Business Journal</i>	Dallas	English	2	17,072
<i>Dayton Business Journal</i>	Dayton	English	2	3,996
<i>Denver Business Journal</i>	Denver	English	2	16,239
<i>Des Moines Business Record</i>	Des Moines	English	2	6,230

<i>El Paso, Inc</i>	El Paso	English	2	8,000
<i>Fairfield County Business Journal</i>	Fairfield	English	2	9,283
<i>The Business Journal</i>	Fresno	English	2	5,050
<i>The Business Journal (Triad)</i>	Greensboro	English	2	6,349
<i>Pacific Business Journal</i>	Honolulu	English	2	14,729
<i>Houston Business Journal</i>	Houston	English	2	18,652
<i>Mississippi Business Journal (Jackson)</i>	Jackson	English	2	5,379
<i>Jacksonville Business Journal</i>	Jacksonville	English	2	9,806
<i>Kansas City Business Journal</i>	Kansas City	English	2	12,471
<i>VEGAS, INC</i>	Las Vegas	English	2	7,268
<i>Long Island Business News</i>	Long Island	English	2	8,867
<i>Los Angeles Business Journal</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	24,498
<i>Business First (Louisville)</i>	Louisville	English	2	10,937
<i>Memphis Business Journal</i>	Memphis	English	2	6,546
<i>South Florida Business Journal</i>	Miami	English	2	10,570
<i>The Business Journal (Milwaukee)</i>	Milwaukee	English	2	13,143
<i>Minneapolis/St. Paul Business Journal</i>	Minneapolis	English	2	13,882
<i>Nashville Business Journal</i>	Nashville	English	2	7,506
<i>NJBIZ</i>	New Jersey	English	2	16,133
<i>New Orleans City Business</i>	New Orleans	English	2	8,066
<i>Sonoma Napa & Marin County Business Journal</i>	North Bay	English	2	7,602
<i>The Journal Record</i>	Oklahoma City	English	2	3,018
<i>Orlando Business Journal</i>	Orlando	English	2	9,571
<i>Philadelphia Business Journal</i>	Philadelphia	English	2	13,144
<i>Phoenix Business Journal</i>	Phoenix	English	2	15,785
<i>Pittsburgh Business Times</i>	Pittsburgh	English	2	13,232
<i>Business Journal (Portland)</i>	Portland	English	2	10,616
<i>Triangle Business Journal (Raleigh/Durham)</i>	Raleigh/ Durham	English	2	9,637
<i>Rochester Business Journal</i>	Rochester	English	2	8,831
<i>Sacramento Business Journal</i>	Sacramento	English	2	14,171
<i>San Antonio Business Journal</i>	San Antonio	English	2	9,987
<i>San Diego Business Journal</i>	San Diego	English	2	13,602
<i>San Fernando Valley Business Journal</i>	San Fernando Valley	English	2	6,026

<i>San Francisco Business Times</i>	San Francisco	English	2	20,029
<i>Silicon Valley/San Jose Business Journal</i>	San Jose	English	2	9,891
<i>Pacific Coast Business Times (Santa Barbara)</i>	Santa Barbara	English	2	2,994
<i>Puget Sound Business Journal (Seattle)</i>	Seattle/Tacoma	English	2	19,765
<i>St. Louis Business Journal</i>	St. Louis	English	2	19,749
<i>Central New York Business Journal</i>	Syracuse	English	2	14,547
<i>Tampa Bay Business Journal</i>	Tampa Bay	English	2	9,590
<i>Inside Tucson Business</i>	Tucson	English	2	5,199
<i>Washington Business Journal (D.C.)</i>	Washington, DC	English	2	17,502
<i>Washington State Regional Business Journal</i>	Wenatchee	English	2	8,214
<i>Westchester County Business Journal</i>	Westchester County	English	2	9,574
<i>Wichita Business Journal</i>	Wichita	English	2	5,885
TOTAL				1,040,296

23. Language & Ethnic Targeted Publications

In order to target foreign language and ethnic business owners and business financial decision makers affected by the Settlement in mediums culturally appropriate to them, the Publication Notice will appear as a half-page or full-page ad unit two times in selected daily or weekly publications and one time in selected monthly publications. The Publication Notice will be translated into Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai, and Vietnamese where appropriate. The 163 selected publications have a combined circulation of over 6.5 million. The following provides circulation data for language & ethnic targeted publications:

<i>Publication</i>	<i>Distribution</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i># of Insertions</i>	<i>Circulation</i>
<i>US Asian Post (Chicago)</i>	Chicago	English	2	15,000
<i>Serey Pheap, Cambodian Weekly News</i>	Los Angeles	Bilingual	2	20,000
<i>Taiwan Daily</i>	Los Angeles	Chinese	2	30,000
<i>US Asian Post (Southern California)</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	80,000
<i>US Asian Post (New York/ New Jersey)</i>	NY	English	2	30,000
<i>Epoch Times - Chicago (Chinese Edition)</i>	Chicago	Chinese	2	15,400
<i>Sing Tao Daily - Chicago (Mon-Thu Ed.)</i>	Chicago	Chinese	2	10,000
<i>World Journal - Midwest Edition</i>	Chicago	Chinese	2	30,000
<i>Asian Gazette</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Chinese	2	8,000
<i>Dallas Chinese News</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Chinese	2	15,000
<i>Epoch Times - Dallas (Chinese Edition)</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Chinese	2	6,000
<i>Chinese Daily News - Los Angeles (Th-Sa Edition)</i>	Los Angeles	Chinese	2	100,000
<i>Chinese L.A. Daily News</i>	Los Angeles	Chinese	2	65,000
<i>Sing Tao Daily - Southern California (Mon -Thu Ed.)</i>	Los Angeles	Chinese	2	15,000
<i>Epoch Times -New York (Chinese Edition)</i>	NY	Chinese	2	15,000
<i>Sing Tao Daily - New York</i>	NY	Chinese	2	55,000

<i>(M - Th Edition)</i>				
<i>World Journal New York - Chinese Daily News (Su-Th Edition)</i>	NY	Chinese	2	70,000
<i>China Viet News (Broadsheet - Section A)</i>	Philadelphia	Chinese	2	5,000
<i>Epoch Times - Philadelphia (Chinese Edition)</i>	Philadelphia	Chinese	2	6,500
<i>Metro Chinese Weekly</i>	Philadelphia	Chinese	2	10,000
<i>Pinoy Monthly</i>	Chicago	English	1	10,000
<i>Via Times</i>	Chicago	English	1	25,000
<i>Asian Journal (Southern California)</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	35,000
<i>California Examiner (Los Angeles)</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	50,000
<i>Philippine News - Los Angeles Edition</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	26,000
<i>Filipino Reporter</i>	NY	English	2	25,000
<i>Chicago Shimpo</i>	Chicago	Bilingual	2	5,000
<i>Bridge USA</i>	Los Angeles	Japanese	1	40,000
<i>Japanese Daily Sun, The</i>	Los Angeles	Japanese	2	120,000
<i>Pacific Citizen</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	30,000
<i>Daily Sun New York</i>	NY	Japanese	2	12,500
<i>NY Japion</i>	NY	Japanese	2	23,000
<i>Seikatsu Press</i>	NY	Japanese	2	20,000
<i>Korea Daily - Chicago</i>	Chicago	Korean	2	58,000
<i>Korea Times - Chicago</i>	Chicago	Korean	2	50,000
<i>Korean Journal - North Texas Edition</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Korean	2	7,500
<i>News Korea</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Korean	2	10,000
<i>Korea Daily - Los Angeles</i>	Los Angeles	Korean	2	80,000
<i>Korea Times - Los Angeles</i>	Los Angeles	Korean	2	75,000
<i>Korean Sunday News - Los Angeles</i>	Los Angeles	Korean	2	53,000
<i>Korea Daily - New York</i>	NY	Korean	2	58,750
<i>Korea Times - New York Edition</i>	NY	Korean	2	45,000
<i>New York Ilbo, The</i>	NY	Korean	2	55,000
<i>Korean Community News & Sunday Topic</i>	Philadelphia	Korean	2	10,000

<i>Korean Times Philadelphia (formerly known as Korea Daily News)</i>	Philadelphia	Korean	2	23,000
<i>Reklama Russian Weekly Newspapers</i>	Chicago	Russian	2	22,000
<i>Svet</i>	Chicago	Russian	2	12,000
<i>Friday Express</i>	Los Angeles	Russian	2	17,000
<i>Panorama (Formerly Almanac Panorama)</i>	Los Angeles	Russian	2	9,000
<i>Russkaya Reklama - California Edition</i>	Los Angeles	Russian	2	15,000
<i>Kurier-East Edition</i>	NY	Russian	2	10,000
<i>Russkaya Reklama - New York Edition</i>	NY	Russian	2	30,000
<i>Russian Market - Pennsylvania Edition</i>	Philadelphia	Russian	2	8,000
<i>Russkaya Reklama - Philadelphia Edition</i>	Philadelphia	Russian	2	15,000
<i>Vecher</i>	Philadelphia	Russian	2	10,000
<i>Asian Pacific News, The</i>	Los Angeles	Bilingual	2	20,000
<i>Sereechai Newspaper</i>	Los Angeles	Thai	2	15,000
<i>Siam Town US (formerly Thai Town USA News)</i>	Los Angeles	Thai	2	10,000
<i>A Chau Thoi Bao</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Vietnamese	2	30,000
<i>But Viet</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Vietnamese	2	27,500
<i>Vietnam Weekly News - Texas Edition</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Vietnamese	2	15,000
<i>Nguoi Viet Daily News</i>	Los Angeles	Vietnamese	2	17,700
<i>Saigon Times</i>	Los Angeles	Vietnamese	2	30,000
<i>Viet Bao Daily News-LA Edition (Formerly Known as Viet Bao Kinh Te)</i>	Los Angeles	Vietnamese	2	25,000
<i>Lac Hong Magazine</i>	NY	Vietnamese	1	5,000
<i>Metro Viet</i>	Philadelphia	Vietnamese	2	8,000
<i>Philadelphia Asian News</i>	Philadelphia	Vietnamese	2	10,000
<i>Atlanta Inquirer</i>	Atlanta	English	2	40,000
<i>Sentinel Newspaper, The</i>	Atlanta	English	2	35,000
<i>Boston Banner (Baystate Banner)</i>	Boston/ Manchester	English	2	32,351
<i>Chicago Citizen Newspaper Group (5 Papers - Forced</i>	Chicago	English	2	121,000

<i>Combo)</i>				
<i>Crusader Group (Chicago-Gary - Forced Combo)</i>	Chicago	English	2	146,590
<i>North Lawndale Community News, The</i>	Chicago	English	2	15,000
<i>African American News & Issues (Dallas - Ft. Worth Metroplex Region)</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	English	2	150,000
<i>Dallas Examiner</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	English	2	9,449
<i>La Vida News -The Black Voice - Ft. Worth Edition</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	English	2	39,700
<i>African American News & Issues (Greater Houston Gulf Coast, Southeast Texas)</i>	Houston	English	2	250,000
<i>Houston Defender</i>	Houston	English	2	20,215
<i>Houston Sun, The</i>	Houston	English	2	10,000
<i>L.A Bay/Bakersfield/San Fernando & Antelope Valley Observer Group</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	102,892
<i>Precinct Reporter/Tri-County Bulletin/Long Beach Leader</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	55,000
<i>Wave Community Newspapers (6 publications)</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	140,000
<i>Daily Challenge</i>	NY	English	2	81,000
<i>New York Amsterdam News</i>	NY	English	2	30,000
<i>New York Journal, The</i>	NY	English	2	65,000
<i>Philadelphia Observer</i>	Philadelphia	English	2	22,840
<i>Philadelphia Sunday Sun</i>	Philadelphia	English	2	20,000
<i>Philadelphia Tribune - Metro Edition</i>	Philadelphia	English	2	63,210
<i>Post News Group Newspaper Network (6 Paper Combo)</i>	San Francisco/ Oakland/ San Jose	English	2	60,000
<i>San Francisco Bay View Newspaper</i>	San Francisco/ Oakland/ San Jose	English	1	20,000
<i>Sun Reporter Publishing Company</i>	San Francisco/ Oakland/ San Jose	English	2	160,621
<i>Washington Afro-American /</i>	Washington, DC	English	2	14,390

<i>Baltimore Afro-American</i>				
<i>Washington Informer</i>	Washington, DC	English	2	17,128
<i>Washington Sun, The</i>	Washington, DC	English	2	55,000
<i>El Nuevo Georgia</i>	Atlanta	Spanish	2	20,000
<i>La Vision</i>	Atlanta	Spanish	2	20,000
<i>Mundo Hispanico</i>	Atlanta	Spanish	2	71,500
<i>El Planeta</i>	Boston/ Manchester	Spanish	2	50,000
<i>La Semana</i>	Boston/ Manchester	Spanish	2	15,000
<i>Vocero Hispano</i>	Boston/ Manchester	Spanish	2	20,000
<i>La Raza</i>	Chicago	Spanish	2	152,300
<i>Lawndale Group News</i>	Chicago	Bilingual	2	150,000
<i>Al Dia</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Spanish	2	115,000
<i>El Extra</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Spanish	2	20,000
<i>El Hispano News</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Bilingual	2	27,443
<i>La Voz De Houston</i>	Houston	Spanish	2	100,000
<i>Semana</i>	Houston	Spanish	2	145,000
<i>Eastern Group Publications</i>	Los Angeles	Bilingual	2	106,208
<i>La Opinion</i>	Los Angeles	Spanish	2	87,866
<i>El Diario La Prensa</i>	NY	Spanish	2	47,517
<i>El Especial</i>	NY	Spanish	2	45,000
<i>La Voz Hispana</i>	NY	Spanish	2	68,000
<i>Al Dia</i>	Philadelphia	Spanish	2	48,789
<i>El Sol Latino</i>	Philadelphia	Bilingual	2	44,667
<i>Impacto Latin Newspaper</i>	Philadelphia	Spanish	2	40,000
<i>El Mensajero</i>	San Francisco/ Oakland/ San Jose	Bilingual	2	102,614
<i>El Observador</i>	San Francisco/ Oakland/ San Jose	Bilingual	2	23,000
<i>El Reportero</i>	San Francisco/ Oakland/ San Jose	Bilingual	2	23,000
<i>La Oferta Review</i>	San Francisco/ Oakland/ San Jose	Bilingual	2	21,000
<i>El Comercio</i>	Washington, DC	Bilingual	2	55,650

<i>El Tiempo Latino</i>	Washington, DC	Spanish	2	48,535
<i>Washington Hispanic</i>	Washington, DC	Spanish	2	45,000
<i>Southern Jewish Life (Prev. Deep South Jewish Voice)</i>	Birmingham	English	1	35,000
<i>Jewish News of Greater Phoenix</i>	Phoenix	English	2	4,823
<i>Arizona Jewish Life</i>	Phoenix	English	1	20,000
<i>J. - The Jewish News Weekly of Northern California</i>	San Francisco	English	2	17,000
<i>Orange County Jewish Life</i>	Orange County	English	1	20,000
<i>San Diego Jewish Journal</i>	San Diego	English	1	20,000
<i>The Jewish Journal of Greater LA</i>	Los Angeles	English	2	49,676
<i>Intermountain Jewish News</i>	Denver	English	2	14,000
<i>Jewish Ledger</i>	Statewide	English	2	15,876
<i>Jewish Journals of S. Florida</i>	Southeast FL	English	2	148,375
<i>Jewish News of Sarasota-Manatee</i>	Sarasota-Manatee	English	1	8,500
<i>Jewish Press-Tampa/Pinellas COMBO</i>	Tampa/Pinellas	English	2	11,900
<i>The Chicago Jewish News</i>	Chicago	English	2	12,000
<i>Jewish United Fund News (JUF News)</i>	Chicago	English	1	50,000
<i>Jewish Business News</i>	Chicago	English	1	15,000
<i>Kansas City Jewish Chronicle</i>	Overland Park	English	2	5,000
<i>Jewish Times Baltimore</i>	Baltimore	English	2	15,000
<i>Jewish Journal</i>	North Boston	English	2	16,433
<i>The Jewish Advocate</i>	Boston	English	2	15,000
<i>Detroit Jewish News</i>	Detroit	English	2	15,000
<i>St. Louis Jewish Light</i>	Saint Louis	English	2	9,200
<i>The Jewish Press</i>	Omaha	English	2	3,800
<i>Jewish Standard</i>	Bergen/ Hudson Counties	English	2	26,000
<i>New Jersey/Rockland Jewish Media COMBO</i>	NJ and NY	English	2	49,500
<i>New Jersey Jewish News COMBO</i>	Essex, Morris, Union, Mercer, Middlesex,	English	2	62,000

	Monmouth			
<i>The Jewish Press</i>	NY / Northeastern NJ	English	2	96,000
<i>The Jewish Week</i>	Long Island/ NY Metro	English	2	50,737
<i>Jewish Tribune, Sentinel, World COMBO</i>	Long Island /Rockland Co.	English	2	45,000
<i>Cleveland Jewish News</i>	Cleveland	English	2	10,000
<i>Oregon Jewish Life</i>	Portland	English	1	11,000
<i>Jewish Exponent</i>	Philadelphia	English	2	28,756
<i>The Jewish Chronicle</i>	Pittsburg	English	2	8,000
<i>Jewish Voice and Herald</i>	Rhode Island	English	2	20,000
<i>Texas Jewish Post</i>	Dallas/Ft. Worth	English	2	3,840
<i>JT News (formerly Jewish Transcript)</i>	Seattle	English	2	4,500
<i>Washington Jewish Week</i>	Washington	English	2	10,500
<i>Wisconsin Jewish Chronicle</i>	Milwaukee	English	1	6,114
<i>The Network Journal</i>	NY,NJ,CT	English	1	28,500
<i>Asian Enterprise</i>	National	English	1	60,000
<i>Russian-American Business</i>	TX,DC,NY	English	1	8,000
<i>Asian Journal</i>	CA,NV,NY,NJ	English	2	138,000
TOTAL				6,586,355

24. U.S. Territories Newspapers

The newspapers in which notice will appear.

The Plan includes placement of the Publication Notice in leading daily and weekly newspapers in Puerto Rico, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. An approximate standard magazine Publication Notice will appear in each of the papers' best circulating day. Combined, the papers offer a best day circulation of 586,760.

<i>Territory</i>	<i>Newspaper</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i>Issuance</i>	<i># of Insertions</i>	<i>Best Day Circulation</i>
Guam	<i>Agana Pacific Daily News</i>	English	Daily	1	20,222
Puerto Rico	<i>Caribbean Business</i>	English	Weekly	1	50,000
Puerto Rico	<i>El Nuevo Día</i>	Spanish	Daily	1	220,000
Puerto Rico	<i>El Vocero De Puerto Rico</i>	Spanish	Daily	1	115,000
Puerto Rico	<i>Primera Hora</i>	Spanish	Daily	1	125,676
Northern Mariana Islands	<i>Saipan Tribune</i>	English	Daily	1	5,000
American Samoa	<i>Samoa News</i>	English	Daily	1	2,500
U.S. Virgin Islands	<i>St. Croix Avis</i>	English	Daily	1	11,000
U.S. Virgin Islands	<i>St. John Trade Winds</i>	English	Weekly	1	3,000
U.S. Virgin Islands	<i>Virgin Islands Daily News</i>	English	Daily	1	16,362
TOTAL				10	568,760

25. Internet Banner Notices

National and local banner notices that will appear on web properties.

The online banner portion of the Notice Plan includes paid Internet Banner Notices presented to U.S. adults on a rotating basis over a 31 day period on the following online media networks.

<i>Online Network</i>	<i>Banner Size</i>	<i># of Days</i>	<i>Adult Impressions</i>
<i>24/7 Real Media</i>	728x90, 350x200	31	39,877,000
<i>AOL Email</i>	300x250	31	19,718,000
<i>Facebook</i>	100x80	31	31,046,000
<i>MSN Finance Channel</i>	300x250	31	8,759,000
<i>Yahoo!</i>	728x90	31	19,465,000
<i>Washingtonpost.com (Executive Channels)</i>	728x90	31	8,333,000
<i>National Network of Business Journal Websites</i>	728x90, 350x200	31	9,097,522
TOTAL			136,295,522

Combined, approximately 136 million adult impressions will be generated by these Internet Banner Notices over a one month period. The Internet Banner Notices will contain an embedded link to the dedicated Case Website: www.PaymentCardSettlement.com.

26. Informational Release

Seeking non-paid (and other) exposure of court-approved notice information mainly by way of news articles.

A party-neutral, informational release will be issued to approximately 4,200 print and broadcast and 5,500 online press outlets throughout the United States. A news release serves a potentially valuable role, providing additional notice exposure beyond that which will be provided through paid media. There is no guarantee that any news stories will result, but if they do, Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members will have additional opportunities to learn that their rights are at stake in credible news media, adding to their understanding. The release will include the toll-free number and website address.

A list of press outlets receiving the informational release is available upon request. The informational release itself is included as **Attachment 3**.

27. Case Website

Delivery of notice via Internet and online services.

A neutral, informational, notice website with an easy to remember domain name of (www.PaymentCardSettlement.com) will serve as the notice page for where potential Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members and Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class members can obtain additional information and the documents listed below. The case notice website will also include information on how potential Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class members can opt-out of the Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement if they choose. The text of the Case Website shall consist of the Long-Form Notice and shall be available in English, Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai and Vietnamese. Translated versions of the Long-Form Notice and Publication Notice and a form merchants will complete in order to share in the distribution of the settlement funds (“Claim Form”) will be available on the website in all eight languages. Other documents from this lawsuit may also be available in other languages in addition to English.

The case notice website address will be prominently displayed in all printed notice documents, and appear in all media including the informational release. The Internet Banner Notices will link directly to the website. Visitors to the case notice website will be able to easily access a Claim Form and other information specific to the Settlement.

The Case Website also will include clearly displayed links to complete copies of the following materials:

(i) The Long-Form Notice in the form at Appendix F to the Class Settlement Agreement in English, and as approved by the Court. Copies of the Long-Form Notice will also be available in Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai and Vietnamese;

(ii) The Publication Notice in the form at Appendix F to the Class Settlement Agreement in English and as approved by the Court. Copies of the Publication Notice will also be available in Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai and Vietnamese;

(iii) This Notice Plan in the form at Appendix E to the Class Settlement Agreement and as approved by the Court;

(iv) The Class Settlement Agreement including all its appendices;

(v) The Claim Form for members of the Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class, in English, Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai and Vietnamese;

(vi) All papers filed in connection with the motion for preliminary approval of this Class Settlement Agreement;

(vii) The Class Settlement Preliminary Approval Order entered by the Court.

(viii) The Plan of Administration and Distribution for the submission, processing, and allocation of claims to be made by members of the Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class, in the form at Appendix I to the Class Settlement Agreement and as approved by the Court;

(ix) All Court orders concerning disbursement of funds from the Class Settlement Cash Escrow Account(s) or the Class Settlement Interchange Escrow Account(s).

(x) The proposed Class Settlement Order and Final Judgment in the form at Appendix G to the Class Settlement Agreement;

(xi) The Operative Class Complaints in MDL 1720 as of the date of the Class Settlement Agreement;

(xii) Magistrate Judge Orenstein's Report and Recommendation filed on September 7, 2007 that granted Defendants' motion to dismiss Class Plaintiffs' claims for damages incurred prior to January 1, 2004, and Judge Gleeson's Order adopting that Report and Recommendation filed on January 1, 2008.

(xiii) Magistrate Judge Orenstein's Report and Recommendation filed on January 11, 2008 that denied the motion of MasterCard International Incorporated and MasterCard Incorporated to dismiss plaintiffs' monopolization and attempt to monopolize claims in the First Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint.

(xiv) Magistrate Judge Orenstein's Report and Recommendation filed on February 12, 2008 that denied in part and granted in part certain Defendants' motion to dismiss the Class Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Class Action Complaint, and Judge Gleeson's Order filed on November 25, 2008 that granted that motion to dismiss in its entirety with leave to re-plead;

(xv) All memoranda and correspondence publicly filed in connection with the motions to dismiss in this Action;

(xvi) All memoranda and correspondence publicly filed in connection with the motion for class certification in this Action;

(xvii) All memoranda and correspondence publicly filed in connection with the motions for summary judgment in this Action;

(xviii) All papers filed in connection with the motion for final approval of the Class Settlement Agreement.

(xix) All applications for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Class Plaintiffs' Awards, including any application of Class Counsel or other counsel for any plaintiff in this Action.

(xx) All orders of the Court with respect to the Class Settlement Agreement.

28. Toll-Free Telephone Support Line and Post Office Box

Before commencement of the mail and publication notice, a toll-free telephone line for providing information to class members will be established. That toll-free telephone line shall be connected to an automated IVR telephone system that members of the Settlement Classes may reach to obtain answers to questions and request copies of the Long-Form and Publication Notices, the Claim Form, the Class Settlement Agreement, the Operative Class Complaints, and the other documents posted on the Case Website. The IVR system will permit callers to hear options in English, Spanish, and potentially other languages, and will offer callers who choose a non-English option the Long-Form Notice and Publication Notice and Claim Form, and potentially other case-related documents and answers to FAQs in that requested language. In addition, a preliminary IVR telephone system will be set up with recorded information stating that the Parties have entered into a settlement agreement, that the Parties are seeking Court approval of the settlement, and that further details will available in the future.

Also before commencement of the mail and publication notice, a post office box for receiving exclusion requests from members of the Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class, as provided in the Class Settlement Preliminary Approval Order and the Notice Plan, and for receiving requests for information from members of the Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class and the Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class will be established.

29. Sponsored Search Listings

To facilitate locating the Case Website, sponsored search listings will be acquired on the three most highly-visited Internet search engines: Google, Yahoo! and Bing. When search engine visitors search on common keyword combinations such as “Interchange Settlement,” “Visa Class Action,” “MasterCard Settlement,” or “Merchant Fee Settlement” the sponsored search listing will display either at the top of the page prior to the search results or in the upper right hand column.

30. Notice Design Strategy

The Notices have been designed to motivate settlement class members to view and understand the message and carry a clear message outlining settlement class members' rights. The strategic approach to content and design is entirely consistent with the illustrative "model" notices developed by the Federal Judicial Center ("FJC").

Summary Notice Design Elements:

- ***Bold headline captures attention.*** The headlines immediately alert even casual readers who may be potential settlement class members that they should read the Notices and why they are important. It speaks directly to class member.
- ***Notice size promotes attention.*** The Notices are full-page magazine sized units (approximately 7" x 10") in most print publications, including trade publications, language & ethnic targeted publications as well as the newspapers targeting U.S. territories to promote readership.
- ***Notice design alerts readers to the legal significance, lending credibility.*** The Notice design ensures that readers know that the communication carries legitimate, important information, not commercial advertising.
- ***Plain language enhances comprehension.*** The Notice concisely and clearly states the information in plain, easily understandable language so that class members can comprehend the Notice effectively.
- ***Comprehensive content fulfills legal requirements.*** All critical information about settlement class members' rights is included. No key information is omitted.
- ***Toll-free number and website invite response.*** The Notice invites response by providing simple, convenient mechanisms, such as the website, toll-free number and post office box for settlement class members to obtain additional information.
- ***Translations allow participation across speakers of key languages.*** The Publication Notice will be translated into Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai, and Vietnamese for placement in the appropriate Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Russian, Thai, and Vietnamese language publications.

31. Notices

All notice documents have been drafted by Class Counsel with the assistance of Hilsoft and an independent plain-language expert Maria Mindlin and are subject to the approval of the Court.¹³ These documents include:

- The **Publication Notice** as it will appear in magazines and newspapers identified in the Notice Plan.
- The **Long-Form Notice** that will be mailed to all known potential settlement class members and to those who call to request one as well as made available at the website.
- The **Internet Banner Notices** that will be posted on a variety of web properties.
- The neutral **Informational Release** that will be issued to news outlets throughout the U.S.

Foreign language translations will be provided in conjunction with our final report.

¹³ Maria Mindlin is Language Specialist & CEO of Transcend. Her credentials can be viewed at <http://www.transcend.net/misc/MMresume.html>. Ms. Mindlin will provide an independent affidavit at Final Approval opining on the plain language drafting of all notice documents.

Attachment 1 – Hilsoft Notifications Curriculum Vitae



Hilsoft Notifications is a leading provider of legal notice services for large-scale class action and bankruptcy matters. We specialize in providing quality, expert notice plan development – designing notice programs that satisfy due process requirements and withstand judicial scrutiny. For more than 17 years, Hilsoft Notifications’ notice plans have been approved and upheld by courts. Hilsoft Notifications has been retained by defendants and/or plaintiffs on more than 250 cases, including more than 25 MDL cases, with notices appearing in more than 53 languages and in almost every country, territory and dependency in the world. Case examples include:

- Possibly the largest data breach in U.S. history with approximately 130 million credit and debit card numbers stolen. ***In re Heartland Data Security Breach Litigation***, MDL No. 2046 (S.D. Tex.).
- Largest and most complex class action in Canadian history. Designed and implemented groundbreaking notice to disparate, remote aboriginal people in the multi-billion dollar settlement. ***In re Residential Schools Class Action Litigation*** 00-CV-192059 CPA (Ont. Super. Ct.).
- Multiple bank settlements with publication, direct mail and email notice to millions of class members. ***In re: Checking Account Overdraft Litigation***, MDL No. 2036 (S. D. Fla.); ***Schulte v. Fifth Third Bank***, No. 09-CV-06655 (N.D. Ill.), and ***Trombley v. National City Bank***, No. 1:10-CV-00232 (D.D.C.).
- Extensive point of sale notice program of a settlement providing payments up to \$100,000 related to Chinese drywall – 100 million notices distributed to Lowe’s purchasers during a six-week period. ***Vereen v. Lowe’s Home Centers***, SU10-CV-2267B (Ga. Super. Ct.).
- Largest discretionary class action notice campaign involving virtually every adult in the United States for the settlement. ***In re Trans Union Corp. Privacy Litigation***, MDL No. 1350 (N.D. Ill.).
- Most complex national data theft class action settlement involving millions of class members. ***Lockwood v. Certegy Check Services, Inc.***, 8:07-cv-1434-T-23TGW (M.D. Fla.).
- Largest combined U.S. and Canadian retail consumer security breach notice program. ***In re TJX Companies, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation***, MDL No. 1838 (D. Mass.).
- Most comprehensive notice ever in a securities class action for the \$1.1 billion settlement of ***In re Royal Ahold Securities and ERISA Litigation***, MDL No. 1539 (D. Md.).
- Most complex worldwide notice program in history. Designed and implemented all U.S. and international media notice with 500+ publications in 40 countries and 27 languages for \$1.25 billion settlement. ***In re Holocaust Victims Assets, “Swiss Banks,”*** No. CV-96-4849 (E.D.N.Y.).

- Largest U.S. claim program to date. Designed and implemented a notice campaign for the \$10 billion. **Tobacco Farmer Transition Program**, (U.S. Dept. of Ag.).
- Multi-national claims bar date notice to asbestos personal injury claimants. Opposing notice expert's reach methodology challenge rejected by court. **In re Babcock & Wilcox Co**, No. 00-10992 (E.D. La.).

LEGAL NOTICING EXPERTS

Cameron Azari, Esq., Director of Legal Notice

Cameron Azari, Esq. has more than 12 years experience in the design and implementation of legal notification and claims administration programs. He is a nationally recognized expert in the creation of class action notification campaigns in compliance with Fed R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2) (d)(2) and (e) and similar state class action statutes. Cameron has been responsible for hundreds of legal notice and advertising programs. During his career, he has been involved in an array of high profile class action matters, including *In re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in the Gulf of Mexico*, *Heartland Payment Systems*, *In re: Checking Account Overdraft Litigation*, *Lowe's Home Centers*, *Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)*, *In re Residential Schools Class Action Litigation*, and *In re: Managed Care Litigation*. He is an active author and speaker on a broad range of legal notice and class action topics ranging from amendments to FRCP Rule 23 to email noticing, response rates and optimizing settlement effectiveness. Cameron is an active member of the Oregon State Bar. He received his B.S. from Willamette University and his J.D. from Northwestern School of Law at Lewis and Clark College. Cameron can be reached at caza@legalnotice.com.

Lauran Schultz, Executive Director

Lauran Schultz is responsible for overall management of Hilsoft Notifications. He consults extensively with clients on notice adequacy and innovative legal notice programs. Lauran has more than 20 years of experience as a professional in the marketing and advertising field, specializing in legal notice and class action administration for the past seven years. High profile actions he has been involved in include companies such as: BP, PNC Bank, Bank of America, Fifth Third Bank, Symantec Corporation, Lowe's Home Centers, First Health, Apple, TJX, CNA and Carrier Corporation. Prior to joining Epiq Systems in 2005, Lauran was a Senior Vice President of Marketing at National City Bank in Cleveland, Ohio. Lauran's education includes advanced study in political science at the University of Wisconsin-Madison along with a Ford Foundation fellowship from the Social Science Research Council and American Council of Learned Societies. Lauran can be reached at lschultz@hilsoft.com.

ARTICLES AND PRESENTATIONS

- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, "Perspectives from Class Action Claims Administrators: Email Notices and Response Rates." CLE International's 8th Annual Class Actions Conference, Los Angeles, CA, May 17-18, 2012.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, "Class Action Litigation Trends: A Look into New Cases, Theories of Liability & Updates on the Cases to Watch." ACI's Consumer Finance Class Actions and Litigation, New York, NY, January 26-27, 2012.
- **Lauran Schultz** Speaker, "Legal Notice Best Practices: Building a Workable Settlement Structure." CLE International's 7th Annual Class Action Conference, San Francisco, CA, May, 2011.

- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Data Breaches Involving Consumer Financial Information: Litigation Exposures and Settlement Considerations.” ACI’s Consumer Finance Class Actions and Litigation, New York, NY, January, 2011.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Notice in Consumer Class Actions: Adequacy, Efficiency and Best Practices.” CLE International’s 5th Annual Class Action Conference: Prosecuting and Defending Complex Litigation, San Francisco, CA, 2009.
- **Lauran Schultz** Speaker, “Efficiency and Adequacy Considerations in Class Action Media Notice Programs.” Chicago Bar Association, Chicago, IL, 2009.
- **Cameron Azari** Author, “Clearing the Five Hurdles of Email - Delivery of Class Action Legal Notices.” Thomson Reuters Class Action Litigation Reporter, June, 2008.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Planning for a Smooth Settlement.” ACI: Class Action Defense – Complex Settlement Administration for the Class Action Litigator, Phoenix, AZ, 2007.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Noticing and Response Rates in Class Action Settlements” – Class Action Bar Gathering, Vancouver, British Columbia, 2007.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Structuring a Litigation Settlement.” CLE International’s 3rd Annual Conference on Class Actions, Los Angeles, CA, 2007.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Notice and Response Rates in Class Action Settlements” – Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP, New York, NY, 2006.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Notice and Response Rates in Class Action Settlements” – Bridgeport Continuing Legal Education, Class Action and the UCL, San Diego, CA, 2006.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Notice and Response Rates in Class Action Settlements” – Stoel Rives litigation group, Portland/Seattle/Boise/Salt Lake City, UT, 2005.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “Notice and Response Rates in Class Action Settlements” – Stroock & Stroock & Lavan litigation group, Los Angeles, CA, 2005.
- **Cameron Azari** Author, “Twice the Notice or No Settlement.” Current Developments – Issue II, August, 2003.
- **Cameron Azari** Speaker, “A Scientific Approach to Legal Notice Communication” – Weil Gotshal litigation group, New York, 2003.

JUDICIAL COMMENTS

Judge Alonzo Harris, Opelousas General Hospital Authority, A Public Trust, D/B/A Opelousas General Health System and Arklamiss Surgery Center, L.L.C. v. FairPay Solutions, Inc., (August 17, 2012) No. 12-C-1599 (27th Jud. D. Ct. La.):

Notice given to Class Members and all other interested parties pursuant to this Court’s order of April 18, 2012, was reasonably calculated to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action, the certification of the Class as Defined for settlement purposes only, the terms of the

Settlement Agreement, Class Members rights to be represented by private counsel, at their own costs, and Class Members rights to appear in Court to have their objections heard, and to afford persons or entities within the Class Definition an opportunity to exclude themselves from the Class. Such notice complied with all requirements of the federal and state constitutions, including the Due Process Clause, and applicable articles of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constituted due and sufficient notice to all potential members of the Class as Defined.

Judge James Lawrence King, In re: Checking Account Overdraft Litigation (IBERIABANK), (April 26, 2012) MDL No. 2036 (S.D. Fla):

The Court finds that the Notice previously approved was fully and properly effectuated and was sufficient to satisfy the requirements of due process because it described "the substantive claims . . . [and] contained information reasonably necessary to [allow Settlement Class Members to] make a decision to remain a class member and be bound by the final judgment." In re Nissan Motor Corp. Antitrust Litig., 552 F.2d 1088, 1104-05 (5th Cir. 1977). The Notice, among other things, defined the Settlement Class, described the release as well as the amount and method and manner of proposed distribution of the Settlement proceeds, and informed Settlement Class Members of their rights to opt-out or object, the procedures for doing so, and the time and place of the Final Approval Hearing. The Notice also informed Settlement Class Members that a class judgment would bind them unless they opted out, and told them where they could obtain more information, such as access to a full copy of the Agreement. Further, the Notice described in summary form the fact that Class Counsel would be seeking attorneys' fees of up to 30 percent of the Settlement. Settlement Class Members were provided with the best practicable notice "reasonably calculated, under [the] circumstances, to apprise them of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." Mullane, 339 U.S. at 314. The content of the Notice fully complied with the requirements of Rule 23.

Judge Bobby Peters, Vereen v. Lowe's Home Centers, (April 13, 2012) SU10-CV-2267B (Ga. Super. Ct.):

The Court finds that the Notice and the Notice Plan was fulfilled, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Amendment, and this Court's Preliminary Approval Order and that this Notice and Notice Plan constituted the best practicable notice to Class Members under the circumstances of this action, constituted due and sufficient Notice of the proposed Settlement to all persons entitled to participate in the proposed Settlement, and was in full compliance with Ga. Code Ann § 9-11-23 and the constitutional requirements of due process. Extensive notice was provided to the class, including point of sale notification, publication notice and notice by first-class mail for certain potential Class Members.

The affidavit of the notice expert conclusively supports this Court's finding that the notice program was adequate, appropriate, and comported with Georgia Code Ann. § 9-11-23(b)(2), the Due Process Clause of the Constitution, and the guidance for effective notice articulate in the FJC's Manual for Complex Litigation, 4th.

Judge Lee Rosenthal, In re: Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, (March 2, 2012) MDL 09-2046 (S.D. Tex.):

*The notice that has been given clearly complies with Rule 23(e)(1)'s reasonableness requirement... Hilsoft Notifications analyzed the notice plan after its implementation and conservatively estimated that notice reached 81.4 percent of the class members. (Docket Entry No. 106, ¶ 32). Both the summary notice and the detailed notice provided the information reasonably necessary for the presumptive class members to determine whether to object to the proposed settlement. See Katrina Canal Breaches, 628 F.3d at 197. Both the summary notice and the detailed notice "were written in easy-to-understand plain English." In re Black Farmers Discrimination Litig., — F. Supp. 2d —, 2011 WL 5117058, at *23 (D.D.C. 2011); accord AGGREGATE LITIGATION § 3.04(c).15 The notice provided "satisf[ies] the broad reasonableness standards imposed by due process" and Rule 23. Katrina Canal*

Breaches, 628 F.3d at 197 (internal quotation marks omitted).

Judge John D. Bates, *Trombley v. National City Bank*, (December 1, 2011) 1:10-CV-00232 (D. D.C.)

The form, content, and method of dissemination of Notice given to the Settlement Class were in full compliance with the Court's January 11, 2011 Order, the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), and due process. The notice was adequate and reasonable, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances. In addition, adequate notice of the proceedings and an opportunity to participate in the final fairness hearing were provided to the Settlement Class.

Judge Robert M. Dow, Jr., *Schulte v. Fifth Third Bank*, (July 29, 2011) No. 1:09-cv-6655 (N.D. Ill.):

The Court has reviewed the content of all of the various notices, as well as the manner in which Notice was disseminated, and concludes that the Notice given to the Class fully complied with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, as it was the best notice practicable, satisfied all constitutional due process concerns, and provided the Court with jurisdiction over the absent Class Members.

Judge Ellis J. Daigle, *Williams v. Hammerman & Gainer Inc.*, (June 30, 2011) No. 11-C-3187-B (27th Jud. D. Ct. La.):

Notices given to Settlement Class members and all other interested parties throughout this proceeding with respect to the certification of the Settlement Class, the proposed settlement, and all related procedures and hearings—including, without limitation, the notice to putative Settlement Class members and others more fully described in this Court's order of 30th day of March 2011 were reasonably calculated under all the circumstances and have been sufficient, as to form, content, and manner of dissemination, to apprise interested parties and members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the action, the certification of the Settlement Class, the Settlement Agreement and its contents, Settlement Class members' right to be represented by private counsel, at their own cost, and Settlement Class members' right to appear in Court to have their objections heard, and to afford Settlement Class members an opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class. Such notices complied with all requirements of the federal and state constitutions, including the due process clause, and applicable articles of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedures, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constituted due and sufficient notice to all potential members of the Settlement Class.

Judge Stefan R. Underhill, *Mathena v. Webster Bank, N.A.*, (March 24, 2011) No. 3:10-cv-1448 (D. Conn.):

The form, content, and method of dissemination of Notice given to the Settlement Class were adequate and reasonable, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice, as given, provided valid, due, and sufficient notice of the proposed settlement, the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and these proceedings to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process.

Judge Ted Stewart, *Miller v. Basic Research, LLC*, (September 2, 2010) No. 2:07-cv-871 (D. Utah):

Plaintiffs state that they have hired a firm specializing in designing and implementing large scale, unbiased, legal notification plans.⁶⁹ Plaintiffs represent to the Court that such notice will include: 1) individual notice by electronic mail and/or first-class mail sent to all reasonably identifiable Class members; 2) nationwide paid media notice through a combination of print publications, including newspapers, consumer magazines, newspaper supplements and the Internet; 3) a neutral, Court-approved, informational press release; 4) a neutral, Court-approved Internet website; and 5) a toll-free telephone number. Similar mixed media plans have been approved by other district courts post class certification. The Court finds this plan is sufficient to meet the notice requirement.

Judge Sara Loi, *Pavlov v. Continental Casualty Co.*, (October 7, 2009) No. 5:07cv2580 (N.D. Ohio):

As previously set forth in this Memorandum Opinion, the elaborate notice program contained in the Settlement Agreement provides for notice through a variety of means, including direct mail to each class member, notice to the United States Attorney General and each State, a toll free number, and a website designed to provide information about the settlement and instructions on submitting claims. With a 99.9% effective rate, the Court finds that the notice program constituted the "best notice that is practicable under the circumstances," Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B), and clearly satisfies the requirements of Rule 23(c)(2)(B).

Judge James Robertson, *In re: Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Data Theft Litigation*, (September 23, 2009) MDL No. 1796 (D. D.C.):

The Notice Plan, as implemented, satisfied the requirements of due process and was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice Plan was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the action, the terms of the Settlement, and their right to appear, object to or exclude themselves from the Settlement. Further, the notice was reasonable and constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all person entitled to receive notice.

Judge Lisa F. Chrystal, *Little v. Kia Motors America, Inc.*, (August 27, 2009) No. UNN-L-0800-01 (N.J. Super. Ct.):

The Court finds that the manner and content of the notices for direct mailing and for publication notice, as specified in the Notice Plan (Exhibit 2 to the Affidavit of Lauran R. Schultz), provides the best practicable notice of judgment to members of the Plaintiff Class.

Judge Barbara Crowder, *Dolen v. ABN AMRO Bank N.V.*, (March 23, 2009) No. 01-L-454, 01-L-493, (3rd Jud. Cir. Ill.):

The Court finds that the Notice Plan is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and provides the Eligible Members of the Settlement Class sufficient information to make informed and meaningful decisions regarding their options in this Litigation and the effect of the Settlement on their rights. The Notice Plan further satisfies the requirements of due process and 735 ILCS 5/2-803. That Notice Plan is approved and accepted. This Court further finds that the Notice of Settlement and Claim Form comply with 735 ILCS 5/2-803 and are appropriate as part of the Notice Plan and the Settlement, and thus they are hereby approved and adopted. This Court further finds that no other notice other than that identified in the Notice Plan is reasonably necessary in this Litigation.

Judge Robert W. Gettleman, *In re Trans Union Corp.*, (September 17, 2008) MDL No. 1350 (N.D. Ill.):

The Court finds that the dissemination of the Class Notice under the terms and in the format provided for in its Preliminary Approval Order constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, is due and sufficient notice for all purposes to all persons entitled to such notice, and fully satisfies the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the requirements of due process under the Constitution of the United States, and any other applicable law...Accordingly, all objections are hereby OVERRULED.

Judge Steven D. Merryday, *Lockwood v. Certegy Check Services, Inc.*, (September 3, 2008) No. 8:07-cv-1434-T-23TGW (M.D. Fla.):

The form, content, and method of dissemination of the notice given to the Settlement Class were adequate and reasonable and constituted the best notice practicable in the circumstances. The notice as given provided valid, due, and sufficient notice of the proposed settlement, the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement, and these proceedings to all persons entitled to such notice, and the notice satisfied the requirements of Rule 23, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and due process.

Judge William G. Young, *In re TJX Companies*, (September 2, 2008) MDL No. 1838 (D. Mass.):

The form, content, and method of dissemination of notice provided to the Settlement Class

were adequate and reasonable, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice, as given, provided valid, due, and sufficient notice of the proposed settlement, the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and these proceedings to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said Notice fully satisfied the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and due process.

Judge Philip S. Gutierrez, *Shaffer v. Continental Casualty Co.*, (June 11, 2008) SACV-06-2235-PSG (PJWx) (C.D. Cal.):

...was reasonable and constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and met all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Class Action Fairness Act, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clauses), the Rules of the Court, and any other applicable law.

Judge Robert L. Wyatt, *Gunderson v. AIG Claim Services, Inc.*, (May 29, 2008) No. 2004-002417 (14th Jud. D. Ct. La.):

Notices given to Settlement Class members...were reasonably calculated under all the circumstances and have been sufficient, as to form, content, and manner of dissemination...Such notices complied with all requirements of the federal and state constitutions, including the due process clause, and applicable articles of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constituted due and sufficient notice to all potential members of the Settlement Class.

Judge Mary Anne Mason, *Palace v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.*, (May 29, 2008) No. 01-CH-13168 (Ill. Cir. Ct.):

The form, content, and method of dissemination of the notice given to the Illinois class and to the Illinois Settlement Class were adequate and reasonable, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The notice, as given, provided valid, due, and sufficient notice of the proposed Settlement, the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and these proceedings, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process and complied with 735 ILCS §§5/2-803 and 5/2-806.

Judge David De Alba, *Ford Explorer Cases*, (May 29, 2008) JCCP Nos. 4226 & 4270 (Cal. Super. Ct.):

[T]he Court is satisfied that the notice plan, design, implementation, costs, reach, were all reasonable, and has no reservations about the notice to those in this state and those in other states as well, including Texas, Connecticut, and Illinois; that the plan that was approved—submitted and approved, comports with the fundamentals of due process as described in the case law that was offered by counsel.

Judge Kirk D. Johnson, *Webb v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co.*, (March 3, 2008) No. CV-2007-418-3 (Ark. Cir. Ct.):

The Court finds that there was minimal opposition to the settlement. After undertaking an extensive notice campaign to Class members of approximately 10,707 persons, mailed notice reached 92.5% of potential Class members.

Judge Carol Crafton Anthony, *Johnson v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.*, (December 6, 2007) No. CV-2003-513 (Ark. Cir. Ct.):

Notice of the Settlement Class was constitutionally adequate, both in terms of its substance and the manner in which it was disseminated...Notice was direct mailed to all Class members whose current whereabouts could be identified by reasonable effort. Notice reached a large majority of the Class members. The Court finds that such notice constitutes the best notice practicable...The forms of Notice and Notice Plan satisfy all of the requirements of Arkansas law and due process.

Judge Kirk D. Johnson, *Sweeten v. American Empire Insurance Co.*, (August 20, 2007) No. CV-2007-154-3 (Ark. Cir. Ct.):

The Court does find that all notices required by the Court to be given to class members was done within the time allowed and the manner best calculated to give notice and apprise all the interested parties of the litigation. It was done through individual notice, first class mail, through internet website and the toll-free telephone call center...The Court does find that these methods were the best possible methods to advise the class members of the pendency of the action and opportunity to present their objections and finds that these notices do comply with all the provisions of Rule 23 and the Arkansas and United States Constitutions.

Judge Robert Wyatt, *Gunderson v. F.A. Richard & Associates, Inc.*, (July 19, 2007) No. 2004-2417-D (14th Jud. D. Ct. La.):

Okay. Let me sign this one. This is the final Order and Judgment regarding the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy. And I am satisfied in all respects regarding the presentation that's been made to the Court this morning in the Class memberships, the representation, the notice, and all other aspects and I'm signing that Order at this time. Congratulations, gentlemen.

Judge Lewis A. Kaplan, *In re Parmalat Securities Litigation*, (July 19, 2007) MDL No. 1653-LAK (S.D. N.Y.):

The Court finds that the distribution of the Notice, the publication of the Publication Notice, and the notice methodology...met all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, (including the Due Process clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 78u-4, et seq.) (the "PSLRA"), the Rules of the Court, and any other applicable law.

Judge Joe Griffin, *Beasley v. The Reliable Life Insurance Co.*, (March 29, 2007) No. CV-2005-58-1 (Ark. Cir. Ct.):

[T]he Court has, pursuant to the testimony regarding the notification requirements, that were specified and adopted by this Court, has been satisfied and that they meet the requirements of due process. They are fair, reasonable, and adequate. I think the method of notification certainly meets the requirements of due process...So the Court finds that the notification that was used for making the potential class members aware of this litigation and the method of filing their claims, if they chose to do so, all those are clear and concise and meet the plain language requirements and those are completely satisfied as far as this Court is concerned in this matter.

Judge Lewis A. Kaplan, *In re Parmalat Securities Litigation*, (March 1, 2007) MDL No. 1653-LAK (S.D. N.Y.):

The court approves, as to form and content, the Notice and the Publication Notice, attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively, and finds that the mailing and distribution of the Notice and the publication of the Publication Notice in the manner and the form set forth in Paragraph 6 of this Order...meet the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by Section 21D(a)(7) of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

Judge Anna J. Brown, *Reynolds v. The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.*, (February 27, 2007) No. CV-01-1529-BR (D. Ore):

[T]he court finds that the Notice Program fairly, fully, accurately, and adequately advised members of the Settlement Class and each Settlement Subclass of all relevant and material information concerning the proposed settlement of this action, their rights under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and related matters, and afforded the Settlement Class with adequate time and an opportunity to file objections to the Settlement or request exclusion from the Settlement Class. The court finds that the Notice Program constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and fully satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 and due process.

Judge Kirk D. Johnson, *Zarebski v. Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest*, (February 13, 2007) No. CV-2006-409-3 (Ark. Cir. Ct.):

Based on the Court's review of the evidence admitted and argument of counsel, the Court finds and concludes that the Class Notice, as disseminated to members of the Settlement Class in accordance with provisions of the Preliminary Approval Order, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all members of the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Class Notice and Claim Form as disseminated are finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate notice under the circumstances. The Court finds and concludes that due and adequate notice of the pendency of this Action, the Stipulation, and the Final Settlement Hearing has been provided to members of the Settlement Class, and the Court further finds and concludes that the notice campaign described in the Preliminary Approval Order and completed by the parties complied fully with the requirements of Arkansas Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process under the Arkansas and United States Constitutions.

Judge Richard J. Holwell, *In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation*, 2007 WL 1490466, at *34 (S.D.N.Y.):

In response to defendants' manageability concerns, plaintiffs have filed a comprehensive affidavit outlining the effectiveness of its proposed method of providing notice in foreign countries. According to this...the Court is satisfied that plaintiffs intend to provide individual notice to those class members whose names and addresses are ascertainable, and that plaintiffs' proposed form of publication notice, while complex, will prove both manageable and the best means practicable of providing notice.

Judge Samuel Conti, *Ciabattari v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.*, (November 17, 2006) No. C-05-04289-SC (N.D. Cal.):

After reviewing the evidence and arguments presented by the parties...the Court finds as follows...The class members were given the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and that such notice meets the requirements of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution, and all applicable statutes and rules of court.

Judge Ivan L.R. Lemelle, *In re High Sulfur Content Gasoline Prods. Liability Litigation*, (November 8, 2006) MDL No. 1632 (E.D. La.):

This Court approved a carefully-worded Notice Plan, which was developed with the assistance of a nationally-recognized notice expert, Hilsoft Notifications...The Notice Plan for this Class Settlement was consistent with the best practices developed for modern-style "plain English" class notices; the Court and Settling Parties invested substantial effort to ensure notice to persons displaced by the Hurricanes of 2005; and as this Court has already determined, the Notice Plan met the requirements of Rule 23 and constitutional due process.

Judge Catherine C. Blake, *In re Royal Ahold Securities and "ERISA" Litigation*, (November 2, 2006) MDL-1539 (D. Md.):

The global aspect of the case raised additional practical and legal complexities, as did the parallel criminal proceedings in another district. The settlement obtained is among the largest cash settlements ever in a securities class action case and represents an estimated 40% recovery of possible provable damages. The notice process appears to have been very successful not only in reaching but also in eliciting claims from a substantial percentage of those eligible for recovery.

Judge Elaine E. Bucklo, *Carnegie v. Household International*, (August 28, 2006) No. 98 C 2178 (N.D. Ill.):

[T]he Notice was disseminated pursuant to a plan consisting of first class mail and publication developed by Plaintiff's notice consultant, Hilsoft Notification[s]...who the Court recognized as experts in the design of notice plans in class actions. The Notice by first-class mail and publication was provided in an adequate and sufficient manner; constitutes the best notice

practicable under the circumstances; and satisfies all requirements of Rule 23(e) and due process.

Judge Joe E. Griffin, *Beasley v. Hartford Insurance Company of the Midwest*, (June 13, 2006) No. CV-2005-58-1 (Ark. Cir. Ct.):

Based on the Court's review of the evidence admitted and argument of counsel, the Court finds and concludes that the Individual Notice and the Publication Notice, as disseminated to members of the Settlement Class in accordance with provisions of the Preliminary Approval Order, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances...and the requirements of due process under the Arkansas and United States Constitutions.

Judge Norma L. Shapiro, *First State Orthopaedics et al. v. Concentra, Inc., et al.*, (May 1, 2006) No. 2:05-CV-04951-NS (E.D. Pa.):

The Court finds that dissemination of the Mailed Notice, Published Notice and Full Notice in the manner set forth here and in the Settlement Agreement meets the requirements of due process and Pennsylvania law. The Court further finds that the notice is reasonable, and constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, is the best practicable notice; and is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Lawsuit and of their right to object or to exclude themselves from the proposed settlement.

Judge Thomas M. Hart, *Froeber v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co.*, (April 19, 2006) No. 00C15234 (Ore. Cir. Ct.):

The court has found and now reaffirms that dissemination and publication of the Class Notice in accordance with the terms of the Third Amended Order constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

Judge Catherine C. Blake, *In re Royal Ahold Securities and "ERISA" Litigation*, (January 6, 2006) MDL-1539 (D. Md.):

I think it's remarkable, as I indicated briefly before, given the breadth and scope of the proposed Class, the global nature of the Class, frankly, that again, at least on a preliminary basis, and I will be getting a final report on this, that the Notice Plan that has been proposed seems very well, very well suited, both in terms of its plain language and in terms of its international reach, to do what I hope will be a very thorough and broad-ranging job of reaching as many of the shareholders, whether individual or institutional, as possibly can be done to participate in what I also preliminarily believe to be a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement.

Judge Catherine C. Blake, *In re Royal Ahold Securities & "ERISA" Litigation*, 437 F.Supp.2d 467, 472 (D. Md. 2006):

The court hereby finds that the Notice and Notice Plan described herein and in the Order dated January 9, 2006 provided Class Members with the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of these proceedings and the matters set forth herein, including the Settlement and Plan of Allocation, to all persons entitled to such notice, and the Notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.

Judge Robert H. Wyatt, Jr., *Gray v. New Hampshire Indemnity Co., Inc.*, (December 19, 2005) No. CV-2002-952-2-3 (Ark. Cir. Ct.):

Notice of the Settlement Class was constitutionally adequate, both in terms of its substance and the manner in which it was disseminated. The Notice contained the essential elements necessary to satisfy due process, including the Settlement Class definition, the identities of the Parties and of their counsel, a summary of the terms of the proposed settlement, Class Counsel's intent to apply for fees, information regarding the manner in which objections could be submitted, and requests for exclusions could be filed. The Notice properly informed Class members of the formula for the distribution of benefits under the settlement...Notice was direct

mailed to all Class members whose current whereabouts could be identified by reasonable effort. Notice was also effected by publication in many newspapers and magazines throughout the nation, reaching a large majority of the Class members multiple times. The Court finds that such notice constitutes the best notice practicable.

Judge Michael J. O'Malley, *Defrates v. Hollywood Entm't Corp.*, (June 24, 2005) No. 02 L 707 (Ill. Cir. Ct.):

[T]his Court hereby finds that the notice program described in the Preliminary Approval Order and completed by HEC complied fully with the requirements of due process, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and all other applicable laws.

Judge Wilford D. Carter, *Thibodeaux v. Conoco Phillips Co.*, (May 26, 2005) No. 2003-481 F (14th J.D. Ct. La.):

Notice given to Class Members...were reasonably calculated under all the circumstances and have been sufficient, both as to the form and content...Such notices complied with all requirements of the federal and state constitutions, including the due process clause, and applicable articles of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constituted due process and sufficient notice to all potential members of the Class as Defined.

Judge Michael Canaday, *Morrow v. Conoco Inc.*, (May 25, 2005) No. 2002-3860 G (14th J.D. Ct. La.):

The objections, if any, made to due process, constitutionality, procedures, and compliance with law, including, but not limited to, the adequacy of notice and the fairness of the proposed Settlement Agreement, lack merit and are hereby overruled.

Judge John R. Padova, *Nichols v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.*, (April 22, 2005) No. 00-6222 (E.D. Pa.):

Pursuant to the Order dated October 18, 2004, End-Payor Plaintiffs employed Hilsoft Notifications to design and oversee Notice to the End-Payor Class. Hilsoft Notifications has extensive experience in class action notice situations relating to prescription drugs and cases in which unknown class members need to receive notice...After reviewing the individual mailed Notice, the publication Notices, the PSAs and the informational release, the Court concludes that the substance of the Notice provided to members of the End-Payor Class in this case was adequate to satisfy the concerns of due process and the Federal Rules.

Judge Douglas L. Combs, *Morris v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co.*, (February 22, 2005) No. CJ-03-714 (D. Okla.):

I am very impressed that the notice was able to reach – be delivered to 97 ½ percent members of the class. That, to me, is admirable. And I'm also – at the time that this was initially entered, I was concerned about the ability of notice to be understood by a common, nonlawyer person, when we talk about legalese in a court setting. In this particular notice, not only the summary notice but even the long form of the notice were easily understandable, for somebody who could read the English language, to tell them whether or not they had the opportunity to file a claim.

Judge Joseph R. Goodwin, *In re Serzone Products Liability Litigation*, 231 F.R.D. 221, 231 (S.D. W. Va. 2005):

The Notice Plan was drafted by Hilsoft Notifications, a Pennsylvania firm specializing in designing, developing, analyzing and implementing large-scale, unbiased legal notification plans. Hilsoft has disseminated class action notices in more than 150 cases, and it designed the model notices currently displayed on the Federal Judicial Center's website as a template for others to follow...To enhance consumer exposure, Hilsoft studied the demographics and readership of publications among adults who used a prescription drug for depression in the

last twelve months. Consequently, Hilsoft chose to utilize media particularly targeting women due to their greater incidence of depression and heavy usage of the medication.

Judge Richard G. Stearns, *In re Lupron*[®] *Marketing and Sales Practice Litigation*, (November 24, 2004) MDL 1430 (D. Mass.):

After review of the proposed Notice Plan designed by Hilsoft Notifications...is hereby found to be the best practicable notice under the circumstances and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the Settlement and the Fairness Hearing to all persons and entities affected by and/or entitled to participate in the Settlement, in full compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process.

Judge Richard G. Stearns, *In re Lupron Marketing and Sales Practice Litigation*, (November 23, 2004) MDL 1430 (D. Mass.):

I actually find the [notice] plan as proposed to be comprehensive and extremely sophisticated and very likely be as comprehensive as any plan of its kind could be in reaching those most directly affected.

Judge James S. Moody, Jr., *Mantzouris v. Scarritt Motor Group Inc.*, (August 10, 2004) No. 8:03 CV-0015-T-30 MSS (M.D. Fla.):

Due and adequate notice of the proceedings having been given and a full opportunity having been offered to the members of the Class to participate in the Settlement Hearing, or object to the certification of the Class and the Agreement, it is hereby determined that all members of the Class, except for Ms. Gwendolyn Thompson, who was the sole person opting out of the Settlement Agreement, are bound by this Order and Final Judgment entered herein.

Judge Robert E. Payne, *Fisher v. Virginia Electric & Power Co.*, (July 1, 2004) No. 3:02CV431 (E.D. Va.):

The record here shows that the class members have been fully and fairly notified of the existence of the class action, of the issues in it, of the approaches taken by each side in it in such a way as to inform meaningfully those whose rights are affected and to thereby enable them to exercise their rights intelligently...The success rate in notifying the class is, I believe, at least in my experience, I share Ms. Kauffman's experience, it is as great as I have ever seen in practicing or serving in this job...So I don't believe we could have had any more effective notice.

Judge John Kraetzer, *Baiz v. Mountain View Cemetery*, (April 14, 2004) No. 809869-2 (Cal. Super. Ct.):

The notice program was timely completed, complied with California Government Code section 6064, and provided the best practicable notice to all members of the Settlement Class under the circumstances. The Court finds that the notice program provided class members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain information pertaining to their rights and obligations under the settlement so that a full opportunity has been afforded to class members and all other persons wishing to be heard...The Court has determined that the Notice given to potential members of the Settlement Class fully and accurately informed potential Members of the Settlement Class of all material elements of the proposed settlement and constituted valid, due, and sufficient notice to all potential members of the Settlement Class, and that it constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances.

***Hospitality Mgmt. Assoc., Inc. v. Shell Oil Co.*,** 356 S.C. 644, 663, 591 S.E.2d 611, 621 (Sup. Ct. S.C. 2004):

Clearly, the Cox court designed and utilized various procedural safeguards to guarantee sufficient notice under the circumstances. Pursuant to a limited scope of review, we need go no further in deciding the Cox court's findings that notice met due process are entitled to deference.

Judge Joseph R. Goodwin, *In re Serzone Prods. Liability Litigation*, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28297, at

*10
(S.D. W. Va.):

The Court has considered the Notice Plan and proposed forms of Notice and Summary Notice submitted with the Memorandum for Preliminary Approval and finds that the forms and manner of notice proposed by Plaintiffs and approved herein meet the requirements of due process and Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(c) and (e), are the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitute sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice, and satisfy the Constitutional requirements of notice.

Judge James D. Arnold, *Cotten v. Ferman Mgmt. Servs. Corp.*, (November 26, 2003) No. 02-08115 (Fla. Cir. Ct.):

Due and adequate notice of the proceedings having been given and a full opportunity having been offered to the member of the Class to participate in the Settlement Hearing, or object to the certification of the Class and the Agreement...

Judge Judith K. Fitzgerald, *In re Pittsburgh Corning Corp.*, (November 26, 2003) No. 00-22876-JKF (Bankr. W.D. Pa.):

The procedures and form of notice for notifying the holders of Asbestos PI Trust Claims, as described in the Motion, adequately protect the interests of the holders of Asbestos PI Trust Claims in a manner consistent with the principles of due process, and satisfy the applicable requirements of the Bankruptcy Code and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

Judge Carter Holly, *Richison v. American Cemwood Corp.*, (November 18, 2003) No. 005532 (Cal. Super. Ct.):

As to the forms of Notice, the Court finds and concludes that they fully apprised the Class members of the pendency of the litigation, the terms of the Phase 2 Settlement, and Class members' rights and options...Not a single Class member—out of an estimated 30,000—objected to the terms of the Phase 2 Settlement Agreement, notwithstanding a comprehensive national Notice campaign, via direct mail and publication Notice...The notice was reasonable and the best notice practicable under the circumstances, was due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Class members, and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the Code of Civil Procedure, due process, and California Rules of Court 1859 and 1860.

Judge Thomas A. Higgins, *In re Columbia/HCA Healthcare Corp.*, (June 13, 2003) No. 3-98-MDL-1227 (M.D. Tenn.):

Notice of the settlement has been given in an adequate and sufficient manner. The notice provided by mailing the settlement notice to certain class members and publishing notice in the manner described in the settlement was the best practicable notice, complying in all respects with the requirements of due process.

Judge Harold Baer, Jr., *Thompson v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.*, 216 F.R.D. 55, 68 (S.D.N.Y. 2003):

In view of the extensive notice campaign waged by the defendant, the extremely small number of class members objecting or requesting exclusion from the settlement is a clear sign of strong support for the settlement...The notice provides, in language easily understandable to a lay person, the essential terms of the settlement, including the claims asserted...who would be covered by the settlement...[T]he notice campaign that defendant agreed to undertake was extensive...I am satisfied, having reviewed the contents of the notice package, and the extensive steps taken to disseminate notice of the settlement, that the class notice complies with the requirements of Rule 23 (c)(2) and 23(e). In summary, I have reviewed all of the objections, and none persuade me to conclude that the proposed settlement is unfair, inadequate or unreasonable.

Judge Edgar E. Bayley, *Dimitrios v. CVS, Inc.*, (November 27, 2002) No. 99-6209; ***Walker v. Rite Aid Corp.***, No. 99-6210; and ***Myers v. Rite Aid Corp.***, No. 01-2771 (Pa. Ct. C.P.):

The Court specifically finds that: fair and adequate notice has been given to the class, which comports with due process of law.

Judge Dewey C. Whitenton, *Ervin v. Movie Gallery, Inc.*, (November 22, 2002) No. 13007 (Tenn. Ch.):

The content of the class notice also satisfied all due process standards and state law requirements...The content of the notice was more than adequate to enable class members to make an informed and intelligent choice about remaining in the class or opting out of the class.

Judge James R. Williamson, *Kline v. The Progressive Corp.*, (November 14, 2002) No. 01-L-6 (Ill. Cir. Ct.):

Notice to the Settlement Class was constitutionally adequate, both in terms of its substance and the manner in which it was disseminated. The notice contained the essential elements necessary to satisfy due process...

Judge Marina Corodemus, *Talalai v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, (September 13, 2002) No. L-008830.00 (N.J. Super. Ct.):

Here, the comprehensive bilingual, English and Spanish, court-approved Notice Plan provided by the terms of the settlement meets due process requirements. The Notice Plan used a variety of methods to reach potential class members. For example, short form notices for print media were placed...throughout the United States and in major national consumer publications which include the most widely read publications among Cooper Tire owner demographic groups.

Judge Harold Baer, Jr., *Thompson v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.*, (September 3, 2002) No. 00 Civ. 5071-HB (S.D. N.Y.):

The Court further finds that the Class Notice and Publication Notice provided in the Settlement Agreement are written in plain English and are readily understandable by Class Members. In sum, the Court finds that the proposed notice texts and methodology are reasonable, that they constitute due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice, and that they meet the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (including Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2) and (e)), the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Rules of the Court, and any other applicable law.

Judge Milton Gunn Shuffield, *Scott v. Blockbuster Inc.*, (January 22, 2002) No. D 162-535 (Tex. Jud. Dist. Ct.) Ultimately withstood challenge to Court of Appeals of Texas. *Peters v. Blockbuster* 65 S.W.3d 295, 307 (Tex. App.-Beaumont, 2001):

In order to maximize the efficiency of the notice, a professional concern, Hilsoft Notifications, was retained. This Court concludes that the notice campaign was the best practicable, reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the settlement and afford them an opportunity to present their objections...The notice campaign was highly successful and effective, and it more than satisfied the due process and state law requirements for class notice.

Judge Marina Corodemus, *Talalai v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, (October 30, 2001) No. MID-L-8839-00-MT (N.J. Super. Ct.):

The parties have crafted a notice program which satisfies due process requirements without reliance on an unreasonably burdensome direct notification process...The form of the notice is reasonably calculated to apprise class members of their rights. The notice program is specifically designed to reach a substantial percentage of the putative settlement class members.

Judge Marina Corodemus, *Talalai v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, (October 29, 2001) No. L-8830-00-MT (N.J. Super. Ct.):

I saw the various bar graphs for the different publications and the different media dissemination, and I think that was actually the clearest bar graph I've ever seen in my life...it was very clear of the time periods that you were doing as to each publication and which media you were doing over what market time, so I think that was very clear.

Judge Stuart R. Pollak, *Microsoft I-V Cases*, (April 1, 2001) J.C.C.P. No. CJC-00-004106 (Cal. Super. Ct.):

[C]oncerning dissemination of class notice; and I have reviewed the materials that have been submitted on that subject and basically I'm satisfied. I think it's amazing if you're really getting 80 percent coverage. That's very reassuring. And the papers that you submitted responded to a couple things that had been mentioned before and I am satisfied with all that.

Judge Stuart R. Pollak, *Microsoft I-V Cases*, (March 30, 2001) J.C.C.P. No. 4106 (Cal. Super. Ct.):

Plaintiffs and Defendant Microsoft Corporation have submitted a joint statement in support of their request that the Court approve the plan for dissemination of class action notice and proposed forms of notice, and amend the class definition. The Court finds that the forms of notice to Class members attached hereto as Exhibits A and B fairly and adequately inform the Class members of their rights concerning this litigation. The Court further finds that the methods for dissemination of notice are the fairest and best practicable under the circumstances, and comport with due process requirements.

LEGAL NOTICE CASES

Hilsoft Notifications has served as a notice expert for planning, implementation and/or analysis in the following partial listing of cases:

<i>Andrews v. MCI (900 Number Litigation)</i>	S.D. Ga., CV 191-175
<i>Harper v. MCI (900 Number Litigation)</i>	S.D. Ga., CV 192-134
<i>In re Bausch & Lomb Contact Lens Litigation</i>	N.D. Ala., 94-C-1144-WW
<i>In re Ford Motor Co. Vehicle Paint Litigation</i>	E.D. La., MDL 1063
<i>Castano v. Am. Tobacco</i>	E.D. La., CV 94-1044
<i>Cox v. Shell Oil (Polybutylene Pipe Litigation)</i>	Tenn. Ch., 18,844
<i>In re Amino Acid Lysine Antitrust Litigation</i>	N.D. Ill., MDL 1083
<i>In re Dow Corning Corp. (Breast Implant Bankruptcy)</i>	E.D. Mich., 95-20512-11-AJS
<i>Kunhel v. CNA Ins. Companies</i>	N.J. Super. Ct., ATL-C-0184-94
<i>In re Factor Concentrate Blood Prods. Litigation (Hemophiliac HIV)</i>	N.D. Ill., MDL 986
<i>In re Ford Ignition Switch Prods. Liability Litigation</i>	D. N.J., 96-CV-3125
<i>Jordan v. A.A. Friedman (Non-Filing Ins. Litigation)</i>	M.D. Ga., 95-52-COL
<i>Kalhammer v. First USA (Credit Card Litigation)</i>	Cal. Cir. Ct., C96-45632010-CAL
<i>Navarro-Rice v. First USA (Credit Card Litigation)</i>	Ore. Cir. Ct., 9709-06901
<i>Spitzfaden v. Dow Corning (Breast Implant Litigation)</i>	La. D. Ct., 92-2589

<i>Robinson v. Marine Midland (Finance Charge Litigation)</i>	N.D. Ill., 95 C 5635
<i>McCurdy v. Norwest Fin. Alabama</i>	Ala. Cir. Ct., CV-95-2601
<i>Johnson v. Norwest Fin. Alabama</i>	Ala. Cir. Ct., CV-93-PT-962-S
<i>In re Residential Doors Antitrust Litigation</i>	E.D. Pa., MDL 1039
<i>Barnes v. Am. Tobacco Co. Inc.</i>	E.D. Pa., 96-5903
<i>Small v. Lorillard Tobacco Co. Inc.</i>	N.Y. Super. Ct., 110949/96
<i>Naef v. Masonite Corp (Hardboard Siding Litigation)</i>	Ala. Cir. Ct., CV-94-4033
<i>In re Synthroid Mktg. Litigation</i>	N.D. Ill., MDL 1182
<i>Raysick v. Quaker State Slick 50 Inc.</i>	D. Tex., 96-12610
<i>Castillo v. Mike Tyson (Tyson v. Holyfield Bout)</i>	N.Y. Super. Ct., 114044/97
<i>Avery v. State Farm Auto. Ins. (Non-OEM Auto Parts Litigation)</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 97-L-114
<i>Walls v. The Am. Tobacco Co. Inc.</i>	N.D. Okla., 97-CV-218-H
<i>Tempest v. Rainforest Café (Securities Litigation)</i>	D. Minn., 98-CV-608
<i>Stewart v. Avon Prods. (Securities Litigation)</i>	E.D. Pa., 98-CV-4135
<i>Goldenberg v. Marriott PLC Corp (Securities Litigation)</i>	D. Md., PJM 95-3461
<i>Delay v. Hurd Millwork (Building Products Litigation)</i>	Wash. Super. Ct., 97-2-07371-0
<i>Gutterman v. Am. Airlines (Frequent Flyer Litigation)</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 95CH982
<i>Hoeffner v. The Estate of Alan Kenneth Vieira (Un-scattered Cremated Remains Litigation)</i>	Cal. Super. Ct., 97-AS 02993
<i>In re Graphite Electrodes Antitrust Litigation</i>	E.D. Pa., MDL 1244
<i>In re Silicone Gel Breast Implant Prods. Liability Litigation, Altrichter v. INAMED</i>	N.D. Ala., MDL 926
<i>St. John v. Am. Home Prods. Corp. (Fen/Phen Litigation)</i>	Wash. Super. Ct., 97-2-06368
<i>Crane v. Hackett Assocs. (Securities Litigation)</i>	E.D. Pa., 98-5504
<i>In re Holocaust Victims Assets Litigation (Swiss Banks Litigation)</i>	E.D. N.Y., CV-96-4849
<i>McCall v. John Hancock (Settlement Death Benefits)</i>	N.M. Cir. Ct., CV-2000-2818
<i>Williams v. Weyerhaeuser Co. (Hardboard Siding Litigation)</i>	Cal. Super. Ct., CV-995787
<i>Kapustin v. YBM Magnex Int'l Inc. (Securities Litigation)</i>	E.D. Pa., 98-CV-6599
<i>Leff v. YBM Magnex Int'l Inc. (Securities Litigation)</i>	E.D. Pa., 95-CV-89
<i>In re PRK/LASIK Consumer Litigation</i>	Cal. Super. Ct., CV-772894
<i>Hill v. Galaxy Cablevision</i>	N.D. Miss., 1:98CV51-D-D
<i>Scott v. Am. Tobacco Co. Inc.</i>	La. D. Ct., 96-8461
<i>Jacobs v. Winthrop Fin. Assocs. (Securities Litigation)</i>	D. Mass., 99-CV-11363
<i>Int'l Comm'n on Holocaust Era Ins. Claims – Worldwide Outreach Program</i>	Former Secretary of State Lawrence Eagleburger Commission
<i>Bowles v. First USA Bank (Credit Card Litigation)</i>	Ala. Cir. Ct., CV-99-2479-PR

Whetman v. IKON (ERISA Litigation)	E.D. Pa., 00-87
Mangone v. First USA Bank (Credit Card Litigation)	Ill. Cir. Ct., 99AR672a
In re Babcock and Wilcox Co. (Asbestos Related Bankruptcy)	E.D. La., 00-10992
Barbanti v. W.R. Grace and Co. (Zonolite / Asbestos Litigation)	Wash. Super. Ct., 00201756-6
Brown v. Am. Tobacco	Cal. Super. Ct., J.C.C.P. 4042, 711400
Wilson v. Servier Canada Inc. (Canadian Fen/Phen Litigation)	Ont. Super. Ct., 98-CV-158832
In re Texaco Inc. (Bankruptcy)	S.D. N.Y. 87 B 20142, 87 B 20143, 87 B 20144.
Olinde v. Texaco (Bankruptcy, Oil Lease Litigation)	M.D. La., 96-390
Gustafson v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc. (Recall Related Litigation)	S.D. Ill., 00-612-DRH
In re Bridgestone/Firestone Tires Prods. Liability Litigation	S.D. Ind., MDL 1373
Gaynoe v. First Union Corp. (Credit Card Litigation)	N.C. Super. Ct., 97-CVS-16536
Carson v. Daimler Chrysler Corp. (Fuel O-Rings Litigation)	W.D. Tenn., 99-2896 TU A
Providian Credit Card Cases	Cal. Super. Ct., J.C.C.P. 4085
Fields v. Great Spring Waters of Am., Inc. (Bottled Water Litigation)	Cal. Super. Ct., 302774
Sanders v. Great Spring Waters of Am., Inc. (Bottled Water Litigation)	Cal. Super. Ct., 303549
Sims v. Allstate Ins. Co. (Diminished Auto Value Litigation)	Ill. Cir. Ct., 99-L-393A
Peterson v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co. (Diminished Auto Value Litigation)	Ill. Cir. Ct., 99-L-394A
Microsoft I-V Cases (Antitrust Litigation Mirroring Justice Dept.)	Cal. Super. Ct., J.C.C.P. 4106
Westman v. Rogers Family Funeral Home, Inc. (Remains Handling Litigation)	Cal. Super. Ct., C-98-03165
Rogers v. Clark Equipment Co.	Ill. Cir. Ct., 97-L-20
Garrett v. Hurley State Bank (Credit Card Litigation)	Miss. Cir. Ct., 99-0337
Ragoonanan v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd. (Firesafe Cigarette Litigation)	Ont. Super. Ct., 00-CV-183165 CP
Dietschi v. Am. Home Prods. Corp. (PPA Litigation)	W.D. Wash., C01-0306L
Dimitrios v. CVS, Inc. (PA Act 6 Litigation)	Pa. C.P., 99-6209
Jones v. Hewlett-Packard Co. (Inkjet Cartridge Litigation)	Cal. Super. Ct., 302887
In re Tobacco Cases II (California Tobacco Litigation)	Cal. Super. Ct., J.C.C.P. 4042
Scott v. Blockbuster, Inc. (Extended Viewing Fees Litigation)	136 th Tex. Jud. Dist., D 162-535
Anesthesia Care Assocs. v. Blue Cross of Cal.	Cal. Super. Ct., 986677
Ting v. AT&T (Mandatory Arbitration Litigation)	N.D. Cal., C-01-2969-BZ
In re W.R. Grace & Co. (Asbestos Related Bankruptcy)	Bankr. D. Del., 01-01139-JJF
Talalai v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co. (Tire Layer Adhesion Litigation)	N.J. Super. Ct., MID-L-8839-00 MT

<i>Kent v. Daimler Chrysler Corp. (Jeep Grand Cherokee Park-to-Reverse Litigation)</i>	N.D. Cal., C01-3293-JCS
<i>Int'l Org. of Migration – German Forced Labour Compensation Programme</i>	Geneva, Switzerland
<i>Madsen v. Prudential Federal Savings & Loan (Homeowner's Loan Account Litigation)</i>	3 rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Utah, C79-8404
<i>Bryant v. Wyndham Int'l., Inc. (Energy Surcharge Litigation)</i>	Cal. Super. Ct., GIC 765441, GIC 777547
<i>In re USG Corp. (Asbestos Related Bankruptcy)</i>	Bankr. D. Del., 01-02094-RJN
<i>Thompson v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. (Race Related Sales Practices Litigation)</i>	S.D. N.Y., 00-CIV-5071 HB
<i>Ervin v. Movie Gallery Inc. (Extended Viewing Fees)</i>	Tenn. Ch., CV-13007
<i>Peters v. First Union Direct Bank (Credit Card Litigation)</i>	M.D. Fla., 8:01-CV-958-T-26 TBM
<i>National Socialist Era Compensation Fund</i>	Republic of Austria
<i>In re Baycol Litigation</i>	D. Minn., MDL 1431
<i>Claims Conference–Jewish Slave Labour Outreach Program</i>	German Government Initiative
<i>Wells v. Chevy Chase Bank (Credit Card Litigation)</i>	Md. Cir. Ct., C-99-000202
<i>Walker v. Rite Aid of PA, Inc. (PA Act 6 Litigation)</i>	C.P. Pa., 99-6210
<i>Myers v. Rite Aid of PA, Inc. (PA Act 6 Litigation)</i>	C.P. Pa., 01-2771
<i>In re PA Diet Drugs Litigation</i>	C.P. Pa., 9709-3162
<i>Harp v. Qwest Communications (Mandatory Arbitration Litigation)</i>	Ore. Circ. Ct., 0110-10986
<i>Tuck v. Whirlpool Corp. & Sears, Roebuck & Co. (Microwave Recall Litigation)</i>	Ind. Cir. Ct., 49C01-0111-CP-002701
<i>Allison v. AT&T Corp. (Mandatory Arbitration Litigation)</i>	1 st Jud. D.C. N.M., D-0101-CV-20020041
<i>Kline v. The Progressive Corp.</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 01-L-6
<i>Baker v. Jewel Food Stores, Inc. & Dominick's Finer Foods, Inc. (Milk Price Fixing)</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 00-L-9664
<i>In re Columbia/HCA Healthcare Corp. (Billing Practices Litigation)</i>	M.D. Tenn., MDL 1227
<i>Foultz v. Erie Ins. Exchange (Auto Parts Litigation)</i>	C.P. Pa., 000203053
<i>Soders v. General Motors Corp. (Marketing Initiative Litigation)</i>	C.P. Pa., CI-00-04255
<i>Nature Guard Cement Roofing Shingles Cases</i>	Cal. Super. Ct., J.C.C.P. 4215
<i>Curtis v. Hollywood Entm't Corp. (Additional Rental Charges)</i>	Wash. Super. Ct., 01-2-36007-8 SEA
<i>Defrates v. Hollywood Entm't Corp.</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 02L707
<i>Pease v. Jasper Wyman & Son, Merrill Blueberry Farms Inc., Allen's Blueberry Freezer Inc. & Cherryfield Foods Inc.</i>	Me. Super. Ct., CV-00-015
<i>West v. G&H Seed Co. (Crawfish Farmers Litigation)</i>	27 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 99-C-4984-A
<i>Linn v. Roto-Rooter Inc. (Miscellaneous Supplies Charge)</i>	C.P. Ohio, CV-467403
<i>McManus v. Fleetwood Enter., Inc. (RV Brake Litigation)</i>	D. Ct. Tex., SA-99-CA-464-FB
<i>Baiz v. Mountain View Cemetery (Burial Practices)</i>	Cal. Super. Ct., 809869-2

Stetser v. TAP Pharm. Prods, Inc. & Abbott Laboratories (Lupron Price Litigation)	N.C. Super. Ct., 01-CVS-5268
Richison v. Am. Cemwood Corp. (Roofing Durability Settlement)	Cal. Super. Ct., 005532
Cotten v. Ferman Mgmt. Servs. Corp.	13 th Jud. Cir. Fla., 02-08115
In re Pittsburgh Corning Corp. (Asbestos Related Bankruptcy)	Bankr. W.D. Pa., 00-22876-JKF
Mostajo v. Coast Nat'l Ins. Co.	Cal. Super. Ct., 00 CC 15165
Friedman v. Microsoft Corp. (Antitrust Litigation)	Ariz. Super. Ct., CV 2000-000722
Multinational Outreach - East Germany Property Claims	Claims Conference
Davis v. Am. Home Prods. Corp. (Norplant Contraceptive Litigation)	D. La., 94-11684
Walker v. Tap Pharmaceutical Prods., Inc. (Lupron Price Litigation)	N.J. Super. Ct., CV CPM-L-682-01
Munsey v. Cox Communications (Late Fee Litigation)	D. La., Sec. 9, 97 19571
Gordon v. Microsoft Corp. (Antitrust Litigation)	4 th Jud. D. Ct. Minn., 00-5994
Clark v. Tap Pharmaceutical Prods., Inc.	5 th Dist. App. Ct. Ill., 5-02-0316
Fisher v. Virginia Electric & Power Co.	E.D. Va., 3:02-CV-431
Mantzouris v. Scarritt Motor Group, Inc.	M.D. Fla., 8:03-CV-0015-T-30-MSS
Johnson v. Ethicon, Inc. (Product Liability Litigation)	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 01-C-1530, 1531, 1533, 01-C-2491 to 2500
Schlink v. Edina Realty Title	4 th Jud. D. Ct. Minn., 02-018380
Tawney v. Columbia Natural Res. (Oil & Gas Lease Litigation)	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 03-C-10E
White v. Washington Mutual, Inc. (Pre-Payment Penalty Litigation)	4 th Jud. D. Ct. Minn., CT 03-1282
Acacia Media Techs. Corp. v. Cybernet Ventures Inc, (Patent Infringement Litigation)	C.D. Cal., SACV03-1803 GLT (Anx)
Bardessono v. Ford Motor Co. (15 Passenger Vans)	Wash. Super. Ct., 32494
Gardner v. Stimson Lumber Co. (Forestex Siding Litigation)	Wash. Super. Ct., 00-2-17633-3SEA
Poor v. Sprint Corp. (Fiber Optic Cable Litigation)	Ill. Cir. Ct., 99-L-421
Thibodeau v. Comcast Corp.	E.D. Pa., 04-CV-1777
Cazenave v. Sheriff Charles C. Foti (Strip Search Litigation)	E.D. La., 00-CV-1246
National Assoc. of Police Orgs., Inc. v. Second Chance Body Armor, Inc. (Bullet Proof Vest Litigation)	Mich. Cir. Ct., 04-8018-NP
Nichols v. SmithKline Beecham Corp. (Paxil)	E.D. Pa., 00-6222
Yacout v. Federal Pacific Electric Co. (Circuit Breaker)	N.J. Super. Ct., MID-L-2904-97
Lewis v. Bayer AG (Baycol)	1 st Jud. Dist. Ct. Pa., 002353
In re Educ. Testing Serv. PLT 7-12 Test Scoring Litigation	E.D. La., MDL-1643
Stefanyshyn v. Consol. Indus. Corp. (Heat Exchanger)	Ind. Super. Ct., 79 D 01-9712-CT-59
Barnett v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Wash. Super. Ct., 01-2-24553-8 SEA
In re Serzone Prods. Liability Litigation	S.D. W. Va., MDL 1477

Ford Explorer Cases	Cal. Super. Ct., J.C.C.P. 4226 & 4270
In re Solutia Inc. (Bankruptcy)	S.D. N.Y., 03-17949-PCB
In re Lupron Marketing & Sales Practices Litigation	D. Mass., MDL 1430
Morris v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co.	D. Okla., CJ-03-714
Bowling, et al. v. Pfizer Inc. (Bjork-Shiley Convexo-Concave Heart Valve)	S.D. Ohio, C-1-91-256
Thibodeaux v. Conoco Phillips Co.	D. La., 2003-481
Morrow v. Conoco Inc.	D. La., 2002-3860
Tobacco Farmer Transition Program	U.S. Dept. of Agric.
Perry v. Mastercard Int'l Inc.	Ariz. Super. Ct., CV2003-007154
Brown v. Credit Suisse First Boston Corp.	C.D. La., 02-13738
In re Unum Provident Corp.	D. Tenn., 1:03-CV-1000
In re Ephedra Prods. Liability Litigation	D. N.Y., MDL-1598
Chesnut v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.	Ohio C.P., 460971
Froeber v. Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Co.	Ore. Cir. Ct., 00C15234
Luikart v. Wyeth Am. Home Prods. (Hormone Replacement)	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 04-C-127
Salkin v. MasterCard Int'l Inc. (Pennsylvania)	Pa. C.P., 2648
Rolnik v. AT&T Wireless Servs., Inc.	N.J. Super. Ct., L-180-04
Singleton v. Hornell Brewing Co. Inc. (Arizona Ice Tea)	Cal. Super. Ct., BC 288 754
Becherer v. Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc.	Ill. Cir. Ct., 02-L140
Clearview Imaging v. Progressive Consumers Ins. Co.	Fla. Cir. Ct., 03-4174
Mehl v. Canadian Pacific Railway, Ltd	D. N.D., A4-02-009
Murray v. IndyMac Bank. F.S.B	N.D. Ill., 04 C 7669
Gray v. New Hampshire Indemnity Co., Inc.	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2002-952-2-3
George v. Ford Motor Co.	M.D. Tenn., 3:04-0783
Allen v. Monsanto Co.	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 041465
Carter v. Monsanto Co.	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 00-C-300
Carnegie v. Household Int'l, Inc.	N. D. Ill., 98-C-2178
Daniel v. AON Corp.	Ill. Cir. Ct., 99 CH 11893
In re Royal Ahold Securities and "ERISA" Litigation	D. Md., MDL 1539
In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation	D. Mass., MDL 1456
Meckstroth v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.	24 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 583-318
Walton v. Ford Motor Co.	Cal. Super. Ct., SCVSS 126737
Hill v. State Farm Mutual Auto Ins. Co.	Cal. Super. Ct., BC 194491
First State Orthopaedics et al. v. Concentra, Inc., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:05-CV-04951-AB
Sauro v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc.	E.D. La., 05-4427

<i>In re High Sulfur Content Gasoline Prods. Liability Litigation</i>	E.D. La., MDL 1632
<i>Homeless Shelter Compensation Program</i>	City of New York
<i>Rosenberg v. Academy Collection Service, Inc.</i>	E.D. Pa., 04-CV-5585
<i>Chapman v. Butler & Hosch, P.A.</i>	2 nd Jud. Cir. Fla., 2000-2879
<i>In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation</i>	S.D. N.Y., 02-CIV-5571 RJH
<i>Desportes v. American General Assurance Co.</i>	Ga. Super. Ct., SU-04-CV-3637
<i>In re: Propulsid Products Liability Litigation</i>	E.D. La., MDL 1355
<i>Baxter v. The Attorney General of Canada (In re Residential Schools Class Action Litigation)</i>	Ont. Super. Ct., 00-CV-192059 CPA
<i>McNall v. Mastercard Int'l, Inc. (Currency Conversion Fees)</i>	13 th Tenn. Jud. Dist. Ct.
<i>Lee v. Allstate</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 03 LK 127
<i>Turner v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc.</i>	E.D. La., 2:05-CV-04206-EEF-JCW
<i>Carter v. North Central Life Ins. Co.</i>	Ga. Super. Ct., SU-2006-CV-3764-6
<i>Harper v. Equifax</i>	E.D. Pa., 2:04-CV-03584-TON
<i>Beasley v. Hartford Insurance Co. of the Midwest</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2005-58-1
<i>Springer v. Biomedical Tissue Services, LTD (Human Tissue Litigation)</i>	Ind. Cir. Ct., 1:06-CV-00332-SEB-VSS
<i>Spence v. Microsoft Corp. (Antitrust Litigation)</i>	Wis. Cir. Ct., 00-CV-003042
<i>Pennington v. The Coca Cola Co. (Diet Coke)</i>	Mo. Cir. Ct., 04-CV-208580
<i>Sunderman v. Regeneration Technologies, Inc. (Human Tissue Litigation)</i>	S.D. Ohio, 1:06-CV-075-MHW
<i>Splater v. Thermal Ease Hydronic Systems, Inc.</i>	Wash. Super. Ct., 03-2-33553-3-SEA
<i>Peyroux v. The United States of America (New Orleans Levee Breach)</i>	E.D. La., 06-2317
<i>Chambers v. DaimlerChrysler Corp. (Neon Head Gaskets)</i>	N.C. Super. Ct., 01:CVS-1555
<i>Ciabattari v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. (Sienna Run Flat Tires)</i>	N.D. Cal., C-05-04289-BZ
<i>In re Bridgestone Securities Litigation</i>	M.D. Tenn., 3:01-CV-0017
<i>In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation (Market Timing)</i>	D. Md., MDL 1586
<i>Accounting Outsourcing v. Verizon Wireless</i>	M.D. La., 03-CV-161
<i>Hensley v. Computer Sciences Corp.</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2005-59-3
<i>Peek v. Microsoft Corporation</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2006-2612
<i>Reynolds v. The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.</i>	D. Ore., CV-01-1529 BR
<i>Schwab v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.</i>	E.D. N.Y., CV-04-1945
<i>Zarebski v. Hartford Insurance Co. of the Midwest</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2006-409-3
<i>In re Parmalat Securities Litigation</i>	S.D. N.Y., MDL 1653 (LAK)
<i>Beasley v. The Reliable Life Insurance Co.</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2005-58-1
<i>Sweeten v. American Empire Insurance Company</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., 2007-154-3
<i>Govt. Employees Hospital Assoc. v. Serono Int., S.A.</i>	D. Mass., 06-CA-10613-PBS

<i>Gunderson v. Focus Healthcare Management, Inc.</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2004-2417-D
<i>Gunderson v. F.A. Richard & Associates, Inc., et al.</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2004-2417-D
<i>Perez v. Manor Care of Carrollwood</i>	13 th Jud. Cir. Fla., 06-00574-E
<i>Pope v. Manor Care of Carrollwood</i>	13 th Jud. Cir. Fla., 06-01451-B
<i>West v. Carfax, Inc.</i>	Ohio C.P., 04-CV-1898 (ADL)
<i>Hunsucker v. American Standard Ins. Co. of Wisconsin</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2007-155-3
<i>In re Conagra Peanut Butter Products Liability Litigation</i>	N.D. Ga., MDL 1845 (TWT)
<i>The People of the State of CA v. Universal Life Resources (Cal DOI v. CIGNA)</i>	Cal. Super. Ct., GIC838913
<i>Burgess v. Farmers Insurance Co., Inc.</i>	D. Okla., CJ-2001-292
<i>Grays Harbor v. Carrier Corporation</i>	W.D. Wash., 05-05437-RBL
<i>Perrine v. E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co.</i>	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 04-C-296-2
<i>In re Alstom SA Securities Litigation</i>	S.D. N.Y., 03-CV-6595 VM
<i>Brookshire Bros. v. Chiquita (Antitrust)</i>	S.D. Fla., 05-CIV-21962
<i>Hoorman v. SmithKline Beecham</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 04-L-715
<i>Santos v. Government of Guam (Earned Income Tax Credit)</i>	D. Guam, 04-00049
<i>Johnson v. Progressive</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2003-513
<i>Bond v. American Family Insurance Co.</i>	D. Ariz., CV06-01249-PXH-DGC
<i>In re SCOR Holding (Switzerland) AG Litigation (Securities)</i>	S.D. N.Y., 04 Civ. 7897
<i>Shoukry v. Fisher-Price, Inc. (Toy Safety)</i>	S.D. N.Y., 07-CV-7182
<i>In re: Guidant Corp. Plantable Defibrillators Prod's Liab. Litigation</i>	D. Minn., MDL 05-1708 (DWF/AJB)
<i>Clark v. Pfizer, Inc (Neurontin)</i>	C.P. Pa., 9709-3162
<i>Angel v. U.S. Tire Recovery (tire fire)</i>	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 06-C-855
<i>In re TJX Companies Retail Security Breach Litigation</i>	D. Mass., MDL 1838
<i>Webb v. Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.</i>	Ark. Cir. Ct., CV-2007-418-3
<i>Shaffer v. Continental Casualty Co. (long term care ins.)</i>	C.D. Cal., SACV06-2235-PSG
<i>Palace v. DaimlerChrysler (defective Neon head gaskets)</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 01-CH-13168
<i>Beringer v. Certegy Check Services, Inc. (stolen financial data)</i>	M.D. Fla., 8:07-cv-1657-T-23TGW
<i>Lockwood v. Certegy Check Services, Inc.</i>	M.D. Fla., 8:07-cv-1434-T-23TGW
<i>Sherrill v. Progressive Northwestern Ins. Co.</i>	18 th D. Ct. Mont., DV-03-220
<i>Gunderson v. F.A. Richard & Assocs., Inc. (AIG)</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2004-2417-D
<i>Jones v. Dominion Resources Services, Inc.</i>	S.D. W. Va., 2:06-cv-00671
<i>Gunderson v. F.A. Richard & Assocs., Inc. (Wal-Mart)</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2004-2417-D
<i>In re Trans Union Corp. Privacy Litigation</i>	N.D. Ill., MDL 1350
<i>Gudo v. The Administrator of the Tulane Ed. Fund</i>	La. D. Ct., 2007-C-1959

<i>Guidry v. American Public Life Insurance Co.</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2008-3465
<i>McGee v. Continental Tire North America</i>	D. N.J., 2:06-CV-06234 (GEB)
<i>Sims v. Rosedale Cemetery Co.</i>	W. Va. Cir. Ct., 03-C-506
<i>Gunderson v. F.A. Richard & Assocs., Inc. (Amerisafe)</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2004-002417
<i>In Re Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation</i>	E.D. La., 05-4182
<i>In re Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Data Theft Litigation</i>	D. D.C., MDL 1796
<i>Dolen v. ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (callable CD's)</i>	Ill. Cir. Ct., 01-L-454 and 01-L-493
<i>Pavlov v. CNA (long term care insurance)</i>	N.D. Ohio, 5:07cv2580
<i>Steele v. Pergo(flooring products)</i>	D. Ore., 07-CV-01493-BR
<i>Opelousas Trust Authority v. Summit Consulting</i>	27 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 07-C-3737-B
<i>Little v. Kia Motors America, Inc. (braking systems)</i>	N.J. Super. Ct., UNN-L-0800-01
<i>Boone v. City of Philadelphia (prisoner strip search)</i>	E.D. Pa., 05-CV-1851
<i>In Re Countrywide Customer Data Breach Litigation</i>	W. D. Ky., 3:08-md-01998-TBR, MDL 1998
<i>Miller v. Basic Research (weight-loss supplement)</i>	D. Utah, 2:07-cv-00871-TS
<i>Gunderson v. F.A. Richard & Assocs., Inc. (Cambridge)</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2004-002417
<i>Weiner v. Snapple Beverage Corporation</i>	S.D. N.Y., No. 07-CV-08742
<i>Holk v. Snapple Beverage Corporation</i>	D. N.J., No 3:07-CV-03018-MJC-JJH
<i>Coyle v. Hornell Brewing Co. (Arizona Iced Tea)</i>	D. N.J., No. 08-CV-2797-JBS-JS
<i>In Re: Heartland Data Security Breach Litigation</i>	S.D. Tex., No. 4:09-MD-2046, MDL 2046
<i>Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster, Inc. (text messaging)</i>	N.D. Cal., No. 06-CV-2893 CW
<i>Schulte v. Fifth Third Bank (overdraft fees)</i>	N.D. Ill., No. 09-CV-06655
<i>Trombley v. National City Bank (overdraft fees)</i>	D. D.C., No. 1:10-CV-00232
<i>Vereen v. Lowe's Home Centers (defective drywall)</i>	Ga. Super. Ct., SU10-CV-2267B
<i>Mathena v. Webster Bank, N.A. (overdraft fees)</i>	D. Conn.,No. 3:10-cv-01448
<i>Delandro v. County of Allegheny (prisoner strip search)</i>	W.D. Pa., No. 2:06-cv-00927
<i>Gunderson v. F.A. Richard & Assocs., Inc. (First Health)</i>	14 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 2004-002417
<i>Williams v. Hammerman & Gainer, Inc. (Hammerman)</i>	27 th Jud. D. Ct. La., No. 11-C-3187-B
<i>Williams v. Hammerman & Gainer, Inc. (Risk Management)</i>	27 th Jud. D. Ct. La., No. 11-C-3187-B
<i>Williams v. Hammerman & Gainer, Inc. (SIF Consultants)</i>	27 th Jud. D. Ct. La., No. 11-C-3187-B
<i>Gwiazdowski v. County of Chester (prisoner strip search)</i>	E.D. Pa., No. 2:08cv4463
<i>Williams v. S.I.F. Consultants (CorVel Corporation)</i>	27 th Jud. D. Ct. La., No. 09-C-5244-C
<i>In Re: Checking Account Overdraft Litigation (IBERIABANK)</i>	S.D. Fla., MDL No. 2036
<i>LaCour v. Whitney Bank (overdraft fees)</i>	M.D. Fla., 8:11cv1896
<i>Lawson. v. BancorpSouth (overdraft fees)</i>	W.D. Ark., 1:12cv1016

<i>In Re: Checking Account Overdraft Litigation (Bank of Oklahoma)</i>	S.D. Fla., MDL No. 2036
<i>Opelousas General Hospital Authority v. FairPay Solutions, Inc.</i>	27 th Jud. D. Ct. La., 12-C-1599-C
<i>Marolda v. Symantec Corporation (Norton antivirus upgrade litigation)</i>	N.D. Cal., 3:08-cv-05701
<i>In Re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in the Gulf of Mexico, on April 20, 2010</i>	E.D. La., MDL No. 2179

Hilsoft-cv-119

Attachment 2 – Parade and USA Weekend Newspaper List

<u>State</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>Newspaper</u>	<u>Parade</u>	<u>USA Weekend</u>	<u>Source</u>
Alabama	Alexander City	Outlook	1		July 2012
Alabama	Anniston	Star	1		July 2012
Alabama	Birmingham	News	1		July 2012
Alabama	Gadsden	Times	1		July 2012
Alabama	Huntsville	Times	1		July 2012
Alabama	Mobile	Press-Register	1		July 2012
Alabama	Selma	Times Journal	1		July 2012
Alabama	Talladega	Daily Home	1		July 2012
Alabama	Tuscaloosa	News	1		July 2012
Alabama	Athens	The News Courier	1		July 2012
Alabama	Cullman	Times	1		July 2012
Alabama	Decatur	The Decatur Daily		1	July 2012
Alabama	Dothan	Eagle		1	July 2012
Alabama	Florence-Sheffield-Tuscumbia-Muscle Shoals	Times Daily		1	July 2012
Alabama	Fort Payne	Times-Journal		1	July 2012
Alabama	Haleyville	Mid-South Newspapers, Inc		1	July 2012
Alabama	Hamilton	Mid-South Newspapers		1	July 2012
Alabama	Jasper	Mountain Eagle		1	July 2012
Alabama	Montgomery	Advertiser		1	July 2012
Alabama	Opelika/Auburn	News		1	July 2012
Alaska	Anchorage	Daily News	1		July 2012
Alaska	Fairbanks	News-Miner	1		July 2012
Alaska	Juneau	Juneau Empire	1		July 2012
Alaska	Kenai	Peninsula Clarion	1		July 2012
Arizona	Cottonwood	Verde Independent & The Bugle	1		July 2012
Arizona	Flagstaff	Arizona Daily Sun	1		July 2012
Arizona	Kingman	Daily Miner	1		July 2012
Arizona	Lake Havasu City	Today's News-Herald	1		July 2012
Arizona	Mesa	The Tribune	1		July 2012
Arizona	Prescott	Daily Courier	1		July 2012
Arizona	Sun City	News-Sun	1		July 2012
Arizona	Tucson	Star	1	1	July 2012
Arizona	Yuma	Daily Sun	1		July 2012
Arizona	Bullhead City	Mohave Valley Daily News		1	July 2012
Arizona	Casa Grande	Dispatch		1	July 2012
Arizona	Nogales	Nogales Internationals		1	July 2012
Arizona	Phoenix	Republic & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
Arizona	Safford	Eastern Arizona Courier		1	July 2012
Arizona	Sierra Vista	Herald		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Blytheville	Courier News	1		July 2012
Arkansas	Conway	Log Cabin Democrat	1		July 2012
Arkansas	Clinton	Van Buren County Democrat		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Little Rock	Democrat-Gazette	1		July 2012
Arkansas	El Dorado	Sunday News	1		July 2012
Arkansas	Fayetteville	Northwest Arkansas Democrat-	1	1	July 2012

		Gazette			
Arkansas	Fort Smith	Times Record		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Harrison	Times		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Lonoke	Democrat		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Hot Springs	Sentinel-Record		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Jonesboro	Sun		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Mountain Home	Baxter Bulletin		1	July 2012
Arkansas	North Little Rock	The Times		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Paragould	Daily Press		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Pine Bluff	Commercial		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Russellville	Courier		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Searcy	Citizen		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Sherwood	Voice		1	July 2012
Arkansas	Van Buren	Press Argus Courier		1	July 2012
California	Bakersfield	The Bakersfield Californian	1		July 2012
California	Camarillo	Ventura County Star	1		July 2012
California	El Centro	Imperial Valley Press	1		July 2012
California	Escondido	North County Times	1		July 2012
California	Fresno	Bee	1		July 2012
California	Handford	Sentinel	1		July 2012
California	Lompoc	Lompoc Record	1		July 2012
California	Los Angeles	Times	1		July 2012
California	Marysville	Appeal-Democrat	1		July 2012
California	Merced	Sun-Star	1		July 2012
California	Modesto	Bee	1		July 2012
California	Napa	Register	1		July 2012
California	Palmdale	Antelope Valley Press	1		July 2012
California	Porterville	Recorder	1		July 2012
California	Redding	Record Searchlight	1		July 2012
California	Riverside	Press Enterprise	1		July 2012
California	Sacramento	Bee	1		July 2012
California	San Diego	Union-Tribune	1		July 2012
California	San Francisco	Chronicle	1		July 2012
California	San Luis Obispo	Tribune	1		July 2012
California	Santa Ana	Orange County Register	1		July 2012
California	Santa Barbara	News-Press	1		July 2012
California	Santa Maria	Times	1		July 2012
California	Santa Rosa	Press Democrat	1		July 2012
California	Stockton	Record	1		July 2012
California	Victorville	Daily Press	1		July 2012
California	Auburn	Journal		1	July 2012
California	Benicia	Herald		1	July 2012
California	Big Bear	Grizzly Weekender		1	July 2012
California	Carmel Valley	Carmel Valley News		1	July 2012
California	Chico	Enterprise-Record		1	July 2012
California	Coronado	Eagle Newspapers		1	July 2012
California	Davis	Enterprise		1	July 2012
California	Eureka	Times-Standard		1	July 2012
California	Fairfield	Daily Republic	1	1	July 2012
California	Gilroy	The Dispatch		1	July 2012
California	Glendale	Glenside News-Press		1	July 2012

California	Grass Valley	The Union		1	July 2012
California	Hayward/Fremont/Pleasanton	ANG Newspapers		1	July 2012
California	Hollister	Weekend Pinnacle		1	July 2012
California	Jackson	Amador Ledger Dispatch		1	July 2012
California	Laguna Beach	Coastline Pilot		1	July 2012
California	Lakeport	Record-Bee		1	July 2012
California	Lodi	News-Sentinel		1	July 2012
California	Long Beach	Impacto USA		1	July 2012
California	Los Angeles	Daily News		1	July 2012
California	Los Angeles	Fin de Semana		1	July 2012
California	Los Angeles County	Breeze		1	July 2012
California	Los Angeles County	Press Telegram		1	July 2012
California	Los Angeles County	Star News-Valley Tribune-Daily News		1	July 2012
California	Madera	Tribune		1	July 2012
California	Marin County	Independent Journal		1	July 2012
California	Monterey	Herald		1	July 2012
California	Morgan Hill	Morgan Hill Times		1	July 2012
California	Ontario	Bulletin Express		1	July 2012
California	Ontario	Inland Valley Daily Bulletin		1	July 2012
California	Palm Springs	Desert Sun		1	July 2012
California	Palm Springs	My Desert (East Valley)		1	July 2012
California	Palm Springs	My Desert (West Valley)		1	July 2012
California	Palo Alto/Menlo Park	Daily News		1	July 2012
California	Pasadena	Weekly Star		1	July 2012
California	Placerville	Mountain Democrat		1	July 2012
California	Powa	Poway News Chieftain		1	July 2012
California	Ramona	Ramona Sentinel		1	July 2012
California	Rancho Bernardo	News-Journal		1	July 2012
California	Red Bluff	News		1	July 2012
California	Redlands	Facts		1	July 2012
California	Ridgecrest	The Daily Independent		1	July 2012
California	Riverside	La Prensa		1	July 2012
California	Roseville	The Press-Tribune		1	July 2012
California	Salinas	Californian		1	July 2012
California	San Bernardino	Sun		1	July 2012
California	San Francisco	Examiner		1	July 2012
California	San Gabriel Valley	Highlander		1	July 2012
California	San Jose	Mercury News		1	July 2012
California	San Mateo/Lompoc	Times		1	July 2012
California	Santa Cruz	Sentinel		1	July 2012
California	Solano Beach	Solana Beach Sun		1	July 2012
California	Ukiah	Journal		1	July 2012
California	Vacaville	Reporter		1	July 2012
California	Vallejo	Times-Herald		1	July 2012
California	Visalia	Times-Delta		1	July 2012
California	Walnut Creek	Contra Costa Times		1	July 2012
California	Watsonville	Register-Pajaronian		1	July 2012
California	Woodland	Democrat		1	July 2012
California	Yreka	Siskiyou Daily News		1	July 2012
California	Yucca Valley	Hi-Desert Star		1	July 2012

California	Yucca Valley	Observation Post		1	July 2012
Colorado	Boulder	Sunday Camera	1		July 2012
Colorado	Canon City	Daily Record	1		July 2012
Colorado	Colorado Springs	Gazette	1		July 2012
Colorado	Denver	The Denver Post	1	1	July 2012
Colorado	Grand Junction	Daily Sentinel	1		July 2012
Colorado	Longmont	Times-Call	1		July 2012
Colorado	Loveland	Reporter-Herald	1		July 2012
Colorado	Montrose	Daily Press	1		July 2012
Colorado	Pueblo	Chieftain	1		July 2012
Colorado	Trinidad	The Chronicle News	1		July 2012
Colorado	Windsor	Now	1		July 2012
Colorado	Aspen	Times		1	July 2012
Colorado	Durango/Cortez	Herald-Journal		1	July 2012
Colorado	Fort Collins	Coloradoan		1	July 2012
Colorado	Frisco	Summit Daily News		1	July 2012
Colorado	Glenwood Springs	Post Independent		1	July 2012
Colorado	Granby	Sky Hi News		1	July 2012
Colorado	Grand Junction	Free Press		1	July 2012
Colorado	Greeley	Tribune		1	July 2012
Colorado	Steamboat Springs	Steamboat Today		1	July 2012
Colorado	Vail	Daily		1	July 2012
Colorado	Windsor	Windsor now		1	July 2012
Connecticut	Bridgeport	Connecticut Post	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Danbury	News-Times	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Greenwich	Time	1		July 2012
Connecticut	New Britain	Herald Press	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Manchester	Journal Inquirer	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Meriden	Record-Journal	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Middletown	Press	1		July 2012
Connecticut	New Haven	Register	1		July 2012
Connecticut	New London	Day	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Stamford	Advocate	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Torrington	Register Citizen	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Waterbury	Republican	1		July 2012
Connecticut	Hartford	Courant		1	July 2012
Connecticut	Norwalk	Hour		1	July 2012
Connecticut	Norwich	Bulletin		1	July 2012
Connecticut	Willimantic	Chronicle		1	July 2012
Deleware	Dover	State News Sunday	1		July 2012
Deleware	Wilmington	News Journal		1	July 2012
District of Columbia	Washington	Washington Post	1		July 2012
District of Columbia	Washington	Examiner		1	July 2012
Florida	Bradenton	Herald	1		July 2012
Florida	Cape Coral	Daily Breeze	1		July 2012
Florida	Ft. Walton Beach	Northwest Florida News	1		July 2012
Florida	Gainesville	Sun	1		July 2012
Florida	Jacksonville	The Florida Times-Union	1		July 2012
Florida	Lake City	Reporter	1		July 2012

Florida	Lakeland	Ledger	1		July 2012
Florida	Miami	El Nuevo Herald	1		July 2012
Florida	Miami	Miami Herald	1		July 2012
Florida	Naples	Daily News	1		July 2012
Florida	Ocala	Star-Banner	1		July 2012
Florida	Orlando	Sentinel	1		July 2012
Florida	Orlando	What's The Deal	1		July 2012
Florida	Orlando	El Sentinel	1		July 2012
Florida	Panama City	News Herald	1		July 2012
Florida	Panama City	Freedom Florida Newspapers	1		July 2012
Florida	Sarasota	Herald-Tribune	1		July 2012
Florida	St. Augustine	Record	1		July 2012
Florida	St. Petersburg	Tampa Bay Times	1		July 2012
Florida	Stuart	SCRIPPS Treasure Coast News	1		July 2012
Florida	Tampa	Tribune	1		July 2012
Florida	The Villages	Daily Sun	1		July 2012
Florida	West Palm Beach	The Palm Beach Post	1		July 2012
Florida	Brooksville	Hernando Today		1	July 2012
Florida	Charlotte Harbor	Sun		1	July 2012
Florida	Coral Springs	Forum		1	July 2012
Florida	Crystal River	Citrus County Chronicle		1	July 2012
Florida	Daytona Beach	News-Journal	1	1	July 2012
Florida	Deerfield Beach	Forum		1	July 2012
Florida	Fleming Island	OPC News		1	July 2012
Florida	Ft. Lauderdale	East Side Forum		1	July 2012
Florida	Ft. Lauderdale	El Sentinel		1	July 2012
Florida	Ft. Lauderdale/South Florida	Sun-Sentinel		1	July 2012
Florida	Ft. Myers	News-Press		1	July 2012
Florida	Jackson County	Floridian		1	July 2012
Florida	Kissimmee	Osceola News-Gazette		1	July 2012
Florida	Leesburg	Commercial		1	July 2012
Florida	Live Oak	Suwannee Democrat	1		July 2012
Florida	Margate & Coconut Creek	The Forum		1	July 2012
Florida	Melbourne	Florida Today		1	July 2012
Florida	Pensacola	News Journal		1	July 2012
Florida	Pompano Beach	Forum		1	July 2012
Florida	Sebring	HIGHLANDS Today		1	July 2012
Florida	Tallahassee	Democrat		1	July 2012
Florida	Tampa	Centro Mi Diario		1	July 2012
Florida	Tampa/Newport Richey	Suncoast Newspapers		1	July 2012
Florida	Winter Haven	News Chief	1	1	July 2012
Georgia	Americus	Times-Recorder	1		July 2012
Georgia	Athens	Banner-Herald	1		July 2012
Georgia	Atlanta	Journal-Constitution	1		July 2012
Georgia	Augusta	Chronicle	1		July 2012
Georgia	Columbus	Ledger-Enquirer	1		July 2012
Georgia	Cordele	Dispatch	1		July 2012
Georgia	Hinesville	Liberty County Coastal Courier	1		July 2012
Georgia	Macon	Telegraph	1		July 2012
Georgia	Milledgeville	Union-Recorder	1		July 2012
Georgia	Moultrie	Observer	1		July 2012

Georgia	Richmond Hill	Bryan County News	1		July 2012
Georgia	Rome	News Tribune	1		July 2012
Georgia	Savannah	Morning News	1		July 2012
Georgia	Statesboro	Herald	1		July 2012
Georgia	Thomasville	Times-Enterprise	1		July 2012
Georgia	Tifton	Gazette	1		July 2012
Georgia	Valdosta	Times	1		July 2012
Georgia	Albany	Herald		1	July 2012
Georgia	Atlanta	Atlanta Inquirer		1	July 2012
Georgia	Canton	Cherokee Tribune		1	July 2012
Georgia	Carrollton	Times-Georgian		1	July 2012
Georgia	Cartersville	The Daily Tribune News		1	July 2012
Georgia	Cummings	Forsyth County News		1	July 2012
Georgia	Cummings	South Forsyth News		1	July 2012
Georgia	Dalton	Citizen		1	July 2012
Georgia	Douglas County	Sentinel		1	July 2012
Georgia	Dublin	Courier Herald		1	July 2012
Georgia	Gainesville	Times		1	July 2012
Georgia	Griffin	News		1	July 2012
Georgia	Jonesboro/McDonough	Clayton News Daily		1	July 2012
Georgia	LaGrange	LaGrange Daily News		1	July 2012
Georgia	Lawrenceville/Conyers/Rockdale	Daily Post-Citizen		1	July 2012
Georgia	Marietta	Journal		1	July 2012
Georgia	Marietta	Marietta Neighbor Papers		1	July 2012
Georgia	Newnan	Times-Herald		1	July 2012
Georgia	Winder	The Barrow County News		1	July 2012
Hawaii	Wailuku	Maui News	1		July 2012
Hawaii	Hilo	Tribune-Herald		1	July 2012
Hawaii	Honolulu	Honolulu Star-Advertiser		1	July 2012
Hawaii	Kailua/Kona	West Hawaii Today		1	July 2012
Hawaii	Lihue	Garden Island		1	July 2012
Idaho	Boise	Idaho Statesman	1		July 2012
Idaho	Idaho Falls	Post-Register	1		July 2012
Idaho	Lewiston	Morning Tribune	1		July 2012
Idaho	Moscow	The Moscow-Pullman Daily News		1	July 2012
Idaho	Nampa	Idaho Press-Tribune	1		July 2012
Idaho	Pocatello	Idaho State Journal	1		July 2012
Idaho	Rexburg	Standard Journal	1		July 2012
Idaho	Twin Falls	Times-News	1		July 2012
Idaho	Coeur D'Alene	Press		1	July 2012
Illinois	Alton	Telegraph	1		July 2012
Illinois	Belleville	News-Democrat	1		July 2012
Illinois	Bloomington-Normal	Pantagraph	1		July 2012
Illinois	Canton	The Daily Ledger	1		July 2012
Illinois	Carbondale	Southern Illinoisian	1		July 2012
Illinois	Champaign-Urbana	News-Gazette	1		July 2012
Illinois	Chicago	Tribune	1		July 2012
Illinois	Chicago/Fin de Semana	Hoy fin de Semana	1		July 2012
Illinois	Decatur	Herald & Review	1		July 2012
Illinois	Effingham	Daily News	1		July 2012

Illinois	Freeport	Journal Standard	1		July 2012
Illinois	Galesburg	Register-Mail	1		July 2012
Illinois	Jacksonville	Journal-Courier	1		July 2012
Illinois	Kewanee	Star-Courier	1		July 2012
Illinois	Macomb	Journal	1		July 2012
Illinois	Monmouth	Daily Review Atlas	1		July 2012
Illinois	Mount Vernon	Register-News	1		July 2012
Illinois	Ottawa	The Times	1		July 2012
Illinois	Pekin	Daily Times	1		July 2012
Illinois	Peoria	Journal Star	1		July 2012
Illinois	Quincy	Herald-Whig	1		July 2012
Illinois	Springfield	State Journal-Register	1		July 2012
Illinois	Arlington Heights	Herald		1	July 2012
Illinois	Arlington Heights	Reflejos		1	July 2012
Illinois	Aurora	Beacon News		1	July 2012
Illinois	Benton	Evening News		1	July 2012
Illinois	Centralia	Morning Sentinel		1	July 2012
Illinois	Chicago	News Crusader		1	July 2012
Illinois	Chicago	La Raza		1	July 2012
Illinois	Chicago	Sun-Times		1	July 2012
Illinois	Crystal Lake	Northwest Herald		1	July 2012
Illinois	Danville	Commercial-News		1	July 2012
Illinois	De Kalb	Daily Chronicle		1	July 2012
Illinois	Downers Grove	Press Publications-Bartlett		1	July 2012
Illinois	Du Quoin	Evening Call		1	July 2012
Illinois	Eldorado	Journal		1	July 2012
Illinois	Elgin	Courier News		1	July 2012
Illinois	Elmhurst	Press Publications		1	July 2012
Illinois	St. Charles	Chronicle		1	July 2012
Illinois	Harrisburg	Register		1	July 2012
Illinois	Joliet	Herald-News		1	July 2012
Illinois	Kankakee	The Daily Journal		1	July 2012
Illinois	La Salle/Peru/Oglesby/Spring Valley	News-Tribune		1	July 2012
Illinois	Lemont	Reporter-Courier		1	July 2012
Illinois	Marion	Republican		1	July 2012
Illinois	Morris	Daily Herald		1	July 2012
Illinois	Mt. Carmel	Daily Republican Register		1	July 2012
Illinois	Naperville	Sun		1	July 2012
Illinois	Oak Brook	Suburban Life		1	July 2012
Illinois	Olney	Olney Daily Mail		1	July 2012
Illinois	Pontiac	Leader		1	July 2012
Illinois	Rock Island/Moline/East Moline	Argus-Dispatch		1	July 2012
Illinois	Rockford	Register Star		1	July 2012
Illinois	Shelbyville	Daily Union		1	July 2012
Illinois	Sterling/Rock Falls	Sauk Valley		1	July 2012
Illinois	Suburban Chicago	Southtown		1	July 2012
Illinois	Waukegan/Lake County	News Sun		1	July 2012
Illinois	West Frankfort	American		1	July 2012
Indiana	Anderson	Herald Bulletin	1		July 2012
Indiana	Batesville	Herald Tribune	1		July 2012

Indiana	Bloomington	Herald Times	1		July 2012
Indiana	Columbus	Republic	1		July 2012
Indiana	Evansville	Courier & Press	1		July 2012
Indiana	Franklin	Journal	1		July 2012
Indiana	Ft. Wayne	Journal Gazette	1		July 2012
Indiana	Goshen	News	1		July 2012
Indiana	Greenfield	The Daily Reporter	1		July 2012
Indiana	Greensburg	News	1		July 2012
Indiana	Kokomo	Tribune	1		July 2012
Indiana	Lebanon	The Reporter	1		July 2012
Indiana	Logansport	Pharos-Tribune	1		July 2012
Indiana	Mooresville-Decatur	Reporter-Times	1		July 2012
Indiana	Munster	The Times	1		July 2012
Indiana	New Albany-Jeffersonville	Evening News & The Tribune	1		July 2012
Indiana	Rushville	The Republican	1		July 2012
Indiana	Seymour	Tribune	1		July 2012
Indiana	South Bend	Tribune	1		July 2012
Indiana	Terre Haute	Tribune-Star	1		July 2012
Indiana	Bluffton	News-Banner		1	July 2012
Indiana	Connersville	News Examiner		1	July 2012
Indiana	Crawfordsville	Journal Review		1	July 2012
Indiana	Elkhart	Truth		1	July 2012
Indiana	Frankfort	Times		1	July 2012
Indiana	Huntington	Herald-Press		1	July 2012
Indiana	Indianapolis	Star		1	July 2012
Indiana	Jasper	Herald		1	July 2012
Indiana	Kendallville	Kendallville Publishing Company		1	July 2012
Indiana	La Porte	Herald Argus		1	July 2012
Indiana	Lafayette/West Lafayette	Journal and Courier		1	July 2012
Indiana	Marion	Chronicle Tribune		1	July 2012
Indiana	Merriville	Post-Tribune		1	July 2012
Indiana	Michigan City	News-Dispatch		1	July 2012
Indiana	Muncie	Star-Press		1	July 2012
Indiana	New Castle	Courier-Times		1	July 2012
Indiana	Peru	Tribune		1	July 2012
Indiana	Richmond	Palladium-Item		1	July 2012
Indiana	Shelbyville	News		1	July 2012
Indiana	Vincennes	Sun-Commercial		1	July 2012
Indiana	Wabash	Plain Dealer		1	July 2012
Indiana	Warsaw	Times-Union		1	July 2012
Iowa	Ames	Tribune	1		July 2012
Iowa	Cedar Rapids	Gazette	1		July 2012
Iowa	Clinton	Herald	1		July 2012
Iowa	Davenport	Quad-City Times	1		July 2012
Iowa	Dubuque	Telegraph-Herald	1		July 2012
Iowa	Fort Dodge	Messenger	1		July 2012
Iowa	Knoxville	Crossville Chronicle	1		July 2012
Iowa	Marshalltown	Times-Republican	1		July 2012
Iowa	Mason City	Globe-Gazette	1		July 2012
Iowa	Muscatine	Journal	1		July 2012
Iowa	Oskaloosa	Herald	1		July 2012

Iowa	Ottumwa	Courier	1		July 2012
Iowa	Sioux City	Journal	1		July 2012
Iowa	Waterloo	Courier	1		July 2012
Iowa	Burlington	Hawk Eye		1	July 2012
Iowa	Centerville	Daily Iowegian		1	July 2012
Iowa	Council Bluffs	Nonpareil		1	July 2012
Iowa	Des Moines	Register & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
Iowa	Ft. Madison	The Daily Democrat		1	July 2012
Iowa	Iowa City	Press-Citizen		1	July 2012
Iowa	Keokuk	Daily Gate City		1	July 2012
Kansas	Great Bend	Great Bend Tribune	1		July 2012
Kansas	Manhattan	Mercury	1		July 2012
Kansas	Salina	Journal	1		July 2012
Kansas	Topeka	Capital-Journal	1		July 2012
Kansas	Wichita	Eagle	1		July 2012
Kansas	Abilene	Reflector-Chronicle		1	July 2012
Kansas	Arkansas City	Traveler		1	July 2012
Kansas	Chanute	The Chanute Tribune		1	July 2012
Kansas	Dodge City	Globe		1	July 2012
Kansas	Emporia	Gazette		1	July 2012
Kansas	Garden City	Telegram	1	1	July 2012
Kansas	Hays	Daily News	1	1	July 2012
Kansas	Hutchinson	News	1	1	July 2012
Kansas	Lawrence	Journal-World		1	July 2012
Kansas	Leavenworth	Times		1	July 2012
Kansas	Newton	Kansan		1	July 2012
Kansas	Ottawa	The Ottawa Herald	1	1	July 2012
Kansas	Parsons	Parsons Sun		1	July 2012
Kansas	Pittsburg	Sun		1	July 2012
Kansas	Winfield	Courier		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Ashland	The Independent	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Bowling Green	Daily News	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Corbin	Times-Tribune	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Danville	Kentucky Advocate	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Elizabethtown	News Enterprise	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Glasgow	Daily Times	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Henderson	The Gleaner	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Lexington	Herald-Leader	1		July 2012
Kentucky	London	The Sentinel-Echo	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Maysville	Ledger Independent	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Somerset	Commonwealth Journal	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Winchester	Sun	1		July 2012
Kentucky	Bardstown	Kentucky Standard		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Frankfort	The State Journal		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Harlan	Enterprise		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Hopkinsville	New Era		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Louisville	Courier-Journal & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Madisonville	Messenger		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Middlesboro	News		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Owensboro	Messenger-Inquirer		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Paducah	Sun		1	July 2012

Kentucky	Prestonsburg	The Floyd County Times		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Richmond	Register		1	July 2012
Kentucky	Russellville	News Democrat		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Abbeville-Eunice-Ville Platte	Meridional-News-Gazette	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Baton Rouge	The Advocate	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Crowley	Post-Signal	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Franklin	The Banner Tribune	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Houma	Courier	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Lake Charles	American Press	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Morgan City	The Daily Review	1		July 2012
Louisiana	New Orleans	Times-Picayune	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Ruston	Daily Leader	1		July 2012
Louisiana	Alexandria	Town Talk		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Bogalusa	Daily News		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Covington	St. Tammany News		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Hammond	Star		1	July 2012
Louisiana	La Place	L'Observateur		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Lafayette	Advertiser		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Monroe	News-Star		1	July 2012
Louisiana	New Iberia	Sunday Iberian		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Opelousas	World		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Shreveport	Times		1	July 2012
Louisiana	Thibodaux	Comet		1	July 2012
Maine	Augusta	Kennebeck Journal	1		July 2012
Maine	Lewiston	Sun Journal	1		July 2012
Maine	Portland	Maine Sunday Telegram	1		July 2012
Maine	Waterville	Morning Sentinel	1		July 2012
Maine	Bangor	News		1	July 2012
Maine	Biddeford	Journal-Tribune		1	July 2012
Maryland	Baltimore	Baltimore Weeklies	1		July 2012
Maryland	Baltimore	The Sun	1		July 2012
Maryland	Baltimore	Times		1	July 2012
Maryland	Cumberland	Times-News	1		July 2012
Maryland	Hagerstown	Herald-Mail Newspapers	1		July 2012
Maryland	Easton	Star-Democrat	1		July 2012
Maryland	Elkton	Cecil Whig	1		July 2012
Maryland	Annapolis	Capital		1	July 2012
Maryland	Annapolis	Maryland Gazette		1	July 2012
Maryland	Easton	Sunday Star		1	July 2012
Maryland	Frederick	News-Post	1	1	July 2012
Maryland	Salisbury	Times		1	July 2012
Maryland	Westminster	Carrol County Times		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Boston	Sunday Globe	1		July 2012
Massachusetts	Hyannis	Sunday Cape Cod Times	1		July 2012
Massachusetts	New Bedford	Sunday Standard-Times	1		July 2012
Massachusetts	Springfield	Republican	1		July 2012
Massachusetts	Worcester	Sunday Telegram	1		July 2012
Massachusetts	Attleboro	Sun Chronicle		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Beverly	News		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Boston	Herald		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Brockton	Enterprise		1	July 2012

Massachusetts	Fall River	Herald News		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Fitchburg	Sentinel & Enterprise		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Framingham	Framingham Tab		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Framingham	Natick Bulletin & Tab		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Framingham/Milford	Metrowest Daily News		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Gloucester	Daily Times		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Greenfield	Recorder		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Lowell	Sun		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Marshfield	Abington Mariner		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Marshfield	Rockland Standard		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Newburyport	Daily News		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	North Adams	Transcript		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	North Andover	Eagle-Tribune		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Northampton	Hampshire Gazette		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Pittsfield/Berkshire	Eagle		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Quincy	Patriot Ledger		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Rayham	Canton Journal		1	July 2012
Massachusetts	Taunton	Gazette		1	July 2012
Michigan	Adrian	The Daily Telegram	1		July 2012
Michigan	Ann Arbor	AnnArbor.com	1		July 2012
Michigan	Bad Axe	Huron Daily Tribune	1		July 2012
Michigan	Bay City	Times	1		July 2012
Michigan	Cadillac	News	1		July 2012
Michigan	Dearborn	Press & Guide	1		July 2012
Michigan	Flint	Journal	1		July 2012
Michigan	Gaylord	Herald-Times	1		July 2012
Michigan	Grand Rapids	Press	1		July 2012
Michigan	Jackson	Citizen Patriot	1		July 2012
Michigan	Kalamazoo	Gazette	1		July 2012
Michigan	Lapeer	The County Press	1		July 2012
Michigan	Marquette	Mining Journal	1		July 2012
Michigan	Midland	Daily News	1		July 2012
Michigan	Monroe	Sunday News	1		July 2012
Michigan	Mount Clemens	Macomb Daily	1		July 2012
Michigan	Mount Pleasant	Morning Sun	1		July 2012
Michigan	Muskegon	Chronicle	1		July 2012
Michigan	Petoskey	Petoskey News-Review	1		July 2012
Michigan	Pontiac	Oakland Press	1		July 2012
Michigan	Royal Oak	Daily Tribune	1		July 2012
Michigan	Saginaw	News	1		July 2012
Michigan	Shelby Township	Advisor & Source Newspapers	1		July 2012
Michigan	Southgate	News-Herald	1		July 2012
Michigan	Traverse City	Record-Eagle	1		July 2012
Michigan	Alpena	News		1	July 2012
Michigan	Battle Creek	Enquirer		1	July 2012
Michigan	Benton Harbor/St. Joseph	Herald-Palladium		1	July 2012
Michigan	Big Rapids/Manistee	Pioneer-News Advocate		1	July 2012
Michigan	Cheboygan	Daily Tribune		1	July 2012
Michigan	Coldwater	The Daily Reporter		1	July 2012
Michigan	Detroit	News and Free Press & Sunday Select		1	July 2012

Michigan	Escanaba	Press		1	July 2012
Michigan	Grand Haven	Tribune		1	July 2012
Michigan	Greenville	News		1	July 2012
Michigan	Hillsdale	News		1	July 2012
Michigan	Holland	Sentinel		1	July 2012
Michigan	Houghton	Mining Gazette		1	July 2012
Michigan	Howell	Livingston County Daily Press & Argus		1	July 2012
Michigan	Iron Mountain/Kingsford	News		1	July 2012
Michigan	Ironwood	Daily Globe		1	July 2012
Michigan	Lansing	Lansing Community Newspapers		1	July 2012
Michigan	Lansing	State Journal		1	July 2012
Michigan	Livonia	Eccentric		1	July 2012
Michigan	Livonia	Observer		1	July 2012
Michigan	Owosso	Argus-Press		1	July 2012
Michigan	Port Huron	Times-Herald		1	July 2012
Michigan	Sturgis	Sturgis Journal		1	July 2012
Michigan	Traverse City	Grand Traverse Insider		1	July 2012
Minnesota	Albert Lea	Tribune	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Austin	Daily Herald	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Bemidji	Pioneer	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Brainerd	Dispatch	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Duluth	News-Tribune	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Fairbault	Daily News	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Grand Rapids	Herald-Review	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Hibbing	Daily Tribune	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Mankato	Free Press	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Minneapolis-St. Paul	Star Tribune	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Red Wing	Republican Eagle	1		July 2012
Minnesota	New Ulm	Journal	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Northfield	Northfield News	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Owatonna	People's Press	1		July 2012
Minnesota	St. Paul	Pioneer Press	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Virginia	Mesabi Daily News	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Willmar	West Central Tribune	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Winona	News	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Worthington	Daily Globe	1		July 2012
Minnesota	Eden Prairie	Minnesota Sun Newspapers		1	July 2012
Minnesota	Fairmont	Sentinel		1	July 2012
Minnesota	Fergus Falls	Journal		1	July 2012
Minnesota	Marshall	Independent		1	July 2012
Minnesota	Rochester	Post-Bulletin		1	July 2012
Minnesota	St. Cloud	Times		1	July 2012
Minnesota	Stillwater	Gazette		1	July 2012
Mississippi	Brookhaven	Daily Leader	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Clarksdale	Press Register	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Columbus	Commercial Dispatch	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Greenville	Delta Democrat Times	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Greenwood	Commonwealth	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Gulfport	Sun Herald	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Laurel	Cronicle	1		July 2012

Mississippi	McComb	Enterprise-Journal	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Meridian	Star	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Picayune	Item	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Tupelo	Northeast Mississippi Daily Journal	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Vicksburg	Post	1		July 2012
Mississippi	Cleveland	Bolivar Commerical		1	July 2012
Mississippi	Corinth	Corinthian		1	July 2012
Mississippi	Hattiesburg	American		1	July 2012
Mississippi	Jackson	Clarion-Ledger		1	July 2012
Mississippi	Kosciusko	Star-herald		1	July 2012
Mississippi	Natchez	Democrat		1	July 2012
Missouri	Cape Girardeau	Southeast Missourian	1		July 2012
Missouri	Columbia	Missourian	1		July 2012
Missouri	Dexter	Daily Statesman	1		July 2012
Missouri	Fulton	The Fulton Sun	1		July 2012
Missouri	Jefferson City	News Tribune	1		July 2012
Missouri	Joplin	Globe	1		July 2012
Missouri	Kansas City	Star	1		July 2012
Missouri	Kennett	Daily Dunklin Democrat	1		July 2012
Missouri	Nevada	Weekend Herald-Tribune	1		July 2012
Missouri	Park Hills	Daily Journal	1		July 2012
Missouri	Poplar Bluff	Daily American Republic	1		July 2012
Missouri	Sedalia	Democrat	1		July 2012
Missouri	Sikeston	Standard Democrat	1		July 2012
Missouri	St. Joseph	News-Press	1		July 2012
Missouri	St. Louis	Post-Dispatch	1		July 2012
Missouri	St. Louis	Suburban Journal Sunday	1		July 2012
Missouri	Columbia	Tribune		1	July 2012
Missouri	Hannibal	Courier-Post		1	July 2012
Missouri	Independence/Blue Springs	Examiner		1	July 2012
Missouri	Kirksville	Kirksville Daily Express		1	July 2012
Missouri	Maryville	Maryville Daily Forum		1	July 2012
Missouri	Mexico	Mexico Ledger		1	July 2012
Missouri	Moberly	Moberly Monitor - Index and Evening Democrat		1	July 2012
Missouri	Rolla	Rolla Daily News		1	July 2012
Missouri	Springfield	News-Leader		1	July 2012
Missouri	Washington	Washington Missourian		1	July 2012
Montana	Billings	Gazette	1		July 2012
Montana	Bozeman	Daily Chronicle	1		July 2012
Montana	Butte	Montana Standard	1		July 2012
Montana	Helena	Independent Record	1		July 2012
Montana	Kalispell	Daily Inter Lake	1		July 2012
Montana	Missoula	Missouliau	1		July 2012
Montana	Great Falls	Tribune		1	July 2012
Nebraska	Breatrice	Sun	1		July 2012
Nebraska	Columbus	Telegram	1		July 2012
Nebraska	Grand Island	Independent	1		July 2012
Nebraska	Lincoln	Journal-Star	1		July 2012
Nebraska	North Platte	Telegraph	1		July 2012

Nebraska	Omaha	Sunday World-Herald	1		July 2012
Nebraska	Scottsbluff	Star-Herald	1		July 2012
Nebraska	York	News Times	1		July 2012
Nebraska	Fremont	Tribune		1	July 2012
Nebraska	Hastings	Hastings Tribune		1	July 2012
Nebraska	Kearney	Hub		1	July 2012
Nebraska	Norfolk	Norfolk Daily News		1	July 2012
Nevada	Boulder City	Boulder City Review		1	July 2012
Nevada	Carson City	Nevada Appeal		1	July 2012
Nevada	Elko	Elko Daily Free Press	1		July 2012
Nevada	Fallon	Lahontan Valley News and Eagle		1	July 2012
Nevada	Las Vegas	El Tiempo		1	July 2012
Nevada	Las Vegas	Review-Journal	1	1	July 2012
Nevada	Sparks	Daily Sparks Tribune	1		July 2012
Nevada	Mesquite	Desert Valley Times		1	July 2012
Nevada	Pahrump	Valley Times		1	July 2012
Nevada	Reno	Gazette-Journal & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
Nevada	South Lake Tahoe	Tahoe Daily Tribune		1	July 2012
Nevada	Tonopah	Tonopah Times-Bonanza		1	July 2012
Nevada	Truckee	Sierra Sun		1	July 2012
New Hampshire	Keene	Sentinel	1		July 2012
New Hampshire	Manchester	New Hampshire News	1		July 2012
New Hampshire	Portsmouth	Seacoast Sunday	1		July 2012
New Hampshire	Concord	Monitor		1	July 2012
New Hampshire	Dover/Laconia	Citizen-Foster's Sunday Citizen		1	July 2012
New Hampshire	Lebanon/Hanover	Valley News		1	July 2012
New Hampshire	Nashua	Telegraph		1	July 2012
New Jersey	Atlantic City	Press of Atlantic City	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Willingboro	Burlington County Times	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Cherry Hill	My Community Trend	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Flemington	Hunterdon Observer	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Hackensack	Suburban Trends	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Hackensack	The Bergen Record	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Jersey City	The Jersey Journal	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Newark	Star-Ledger	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Newton	New Jersey Herald	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Trenton	Times	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Woodbury	South Jersey Sunday	1		July 2012
New Jersey	Bridgewater	Courier-News		1	July 2012
New Jersey	Camden/Cherry Hill	Courier-Post		1	July 2012
New Jersey	East Brunswick	Home News Tribune		1	July 2012
New Jersey	Morristown/Parsippany	Record		1	July 2012
New Jersey	Neptune	Asbury Park Press		1	July 2012
New Jersey	Trenton	Trentonian	1	1	July 2012
New Jersey	Vineland	Journal		1	July 2012
New Mexico	Albuquerque	Journal	1	1	July 2012
New Mexico	Clovis	News Journal	1		July 2012
New Mexico	Hobbs	News-Sun	1		July 2012
New Mexico	Portales	News-Tribune	1		July 2012
New Mexico	Santa Fe	New Mexican	1		July 2012
New Mexico	Alamagordo	Times		1	July 2012

New Mexico	Belen	Valencia County News-Bulletin		1	July 2012
New Mexico	Carlsbad	Current-Argus		1	July 2012
New Mexico	Farmington	Times		1	July 2012
New Mexico	Gallup	Independent		1	July 2012
New Mexico	Las Cruces	Sun-News		1	July 2012
New Mexico	Los Alamos	Los Alamos Monitor		1	July 2012
New Mexico	Roswell	Daily Record	1		July 2012
New Mexico	Socorro	El Defensor Chieftain		1	July 2012
New York	Albany	Times Union	1		July 2012
New York	Auburn	Citizen	1		July 2012
New York	Buffalo	News	1		July 2012
New York	Canandaigua	Messenger Post	1		July 2012
New York	Canton	Advance-news	1		July 2012
New York	Catskill	Daily Mail	1		July 2012
New York	Corning	Sunday Leader	1		July 2012
New York	Geneva	Finger Lakes Times	1		July 2012
New York	Glens Falls	Post-Star	1		July 2012
New York	Gloversville	Leader-Herald	1		July 2012
New York	Hornell	The Spectator	1		July 2012
New York	Hudson	Register-Star	1		July 2012
New York	Kingston	Freeman	1		July 2012
New York	Malone	Telegram	1		July 2012
New York	Middletown	Times Herald-Record Sunday	1		July 2012
New York	New York	Post	1		July 2012
New York	Oneida	Daily Dispatch	1		July 2012
New York	Oneonta	Daily Star	1		July 2012
New York	Plattsburgh	Press-Republican	1		July 2012
New York	Staten Island	Advance	1		July 2012
New York	Syracuse	Post-Standard	1		July 2012
New York	Adirondack	Enterprise		1	July 2012
New York	Batavia	Daily News	1	1	July 2012
New York	Binghamton	Press & Sun-Bulletin		1	July 2012
New York	Dunkirk/Fredonia	Observer		1	July 2012
New York	Elmira	Star-Gazette		1	July 2012
New York	Hudson	Register-Star-Daily Mail		1	July 2012
New York	Ithaca	Journal		1	July 2012
New York	Jamestown	Post-Journal		1	July 2012
New York	Long Island	Newsday		1	July 2012
New York	Melville	This Week		1	July 2012
New York	New York	Sunday Values -- New York Daily News		1	July 2012
New York	New York City	Daily News		1	July 2012
New York	Niagara Falls	Niagara County Community Newspapers		1	July 2012
New York	Olean	Times Herald		1	July 2012
New York	Oswego	Owego Pennysaver		1	July 2012
New York	Oswego	Palladium-Times		1	July 2012
New York	Poughkeepsie	Journal		1	July 2012
New York	Rochester	Democrat and Chronicle		1	July 2012
New York	Saratoga Springs	Saratogian	1	1	July 2012
New York	Schenectady	Gazette		1	July 2012

New York	Troy	Record	1	1	July 2012
New York	Utica	Observer-Dispatch		1	July 2012
New York	Watertown	Times	1	1	July 2012
New York	White Plains	Journal News		1	July 2012
New York	White Plains	Rivertown Express		1	July 2012
New York	White Plains	Yonkers/Mt. Vernon Express		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Albemarle	Albemarle Stanley news & Press	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Burlington	Times-News	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Chapel Hill	The Chapel Hill News	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Charlotte	Observer	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Durham	News	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Elizabeth City	Daily Advance	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Fayetteville	Observer	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Gastonia	Gaston Gazette	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Goldsboro	News-Argus	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Greensboro	News & Record	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Greenville	Daily Reflector	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Hendersonville	Times-News	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Jacksonville	News	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Kinston	Free Press	1		July 2012
North Carolina	New Bern	Sun-Journal	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Raleigh	News & Observer	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Rockingham	Richmond County Daily Journal		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Rocky Mount	Telegram	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Shelby	Star	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Southern Pines	The Pilot	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Tarboro	Daily Southerner	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Washington	Daily News	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Wilmington	Star-News	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Winston-Salem	Journal	1		July 2012
North Carolina	Asheboro	Courier-Tribune		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Asheville	Citizen-Times		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Boone	The Watauga Democrat		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Boone	Watauga Mountain Times		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Charlotte	Carolina Weekly Newspapers		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Charlotte	Lake Norman Publications		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Clinton	The Sampson Independent		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Concord	Harrisburg Horizons		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Concord/Kannapolis	Independent Tribune		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Durham	Herald-Sun		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Eden	News		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Elizabethtown	The Bladen Journal		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Elkin	The Tribune		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Forest City	Courier		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Henderson	Dispatch		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Hickory	Record		1	July 2012
North Carolina	High Point	Enterprise		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Laurinburg	The Laurinburg Exchange		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Lenoir	News-Topic		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Lexington	Dispatch		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Lumberton	Robesonian		1	July 2012

North Carolina	Marion	The McDowell News		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Monroe	Enquirer-Journal		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Morganton	News-Herald		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Mount Airy	News		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Reidsville	Review		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Roanoke Rapids	Herald		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Salisbury/Spencer/East Spencer	Salisbury Post		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Sanford	Herald		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Statesville	Record & Landmark		1	July 2012
North Carolina	West Jefferson	Ashe Mountain Times		1	July 2012
North Carolina	Wilson	Times		1	July 2012
North Dakota	Bismarck	Tribune	1		July 2012
North Dakota	Dickinson	Press	1		July 2012
North Dakota	Fargo	Forum	1		July 2012
North Dakota	Grand Forks	Herald	1		July 2012
North Dakota	Jamestown	The Jamestown Sun	1		July 2012
North Dakota	Minot	Daily News	1		July 2012
Ohio	Akron	Arkon Beacon Journal	1		July 2012
Ohio	Ashland	Ashland Times-Gazette	1		July 2012
Ohio	Ashtabula	Ashtabula Star Beacon	1		July 2012
Ohio	Cambridge	Sunday Jeffersonian	1		July 2012
Ohio	Canton	Repository	1		July 2012
Ohio	Cleveland	Plain Dealer	1		July 2012
Ohio	Columbus	Suburban News Publications	1		July 2012
Ohio	Dayton	Cox Ohio Southwest Group	1		July 2012
Ohio	Dayton	Daily News	1		July 2012
Ohio	Defiance	Crescent-News	1		July 2012
Ohio	East Liverpool	Review	1		July 2012
Ohio	Elyria	Chronicle-Telegram	1		July 2012
Ohio	Findlay	The Courier	1		July 2012
Ohio	Fostoria	Review-Times	1		July 2012
Ohio	Hamilton	Journal News	1		July 2012
Ohio	Ironton	Tribune	1		July 2012
Ohio	Lima	News	1		July 2012
Ohio	Logan	News	1		July 2012
Ohio	Middletown	Journal	1		July 2012
Ohio	New Philadelphia-Dover	Times Reporter	1		July 2012
Ohio	Salem	News	1		July 2012
Ohio	Springfield	News-Sun	1		July 2012
Ohio	Toledo	Blade	1		July 2012
Ohio	Wooster	Record	1		July 2012
Ohio	Youngstown	Vindicator	1		July 2012
Ohio	Akron	Cuyahoga Falls News Press		1	July 2012
Ohio	Athens	Messenger	1		July 2012
Ohio	Bowling Green	Sentinel-Tribune		1	July 2012
Ohio	Bryan	Times		1	July 2012
Ohio	Cincinnati	Enquirer & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
Ohio	Circleville	Herald	1		July 2012
Ohio	Columbus	Dispatch		1	July 2012
Ohio	Fairborn-Xenia	Daily Herald Gazette News-Current		1	July 2012

Ohio	Greenville	Advocate		1	July 2012
Ohio	Hillsboro	Times-Gazette		1	July 2012
Ohio	Hudson	Hub-Times		1	July 2012
Ohio	Jackson	Jackson County Times-Journal		1	July 2012
Ohio	Kent/Ravenna	Record-Courier		1	July 2012
Ohio	Lewis Center	This Week Community Newspapers		1	July 2012
Ohio	Lisbon	Morning Journal		1	July 2012
Ohio	Lorain	Journal	1	1	July 2012
Ohio	Mansfield	News Journal		1	July 2012
Ohio	Marietta	Times		1	July 2012
Ohio	Martins Ferry/Belmont County	Times Leader		1	July 2012
Ohio	Medina	Gazette		1	July 2012
Ohio	Miami Valley	Sunday News		1	July 2012
Ohio	Napoleon	Northwest Signal		1	July 2012
Ohio	Newark	Advocate		1	July 2012
Ohio	Norwalk	Reflector		1	July 2012
Ohio	Piqua	Call		1	July 2012
Ohio	Pomeroy-Gallipolis	Daily Sentinel-Daily Tribune		1	July 2012
Ohio	Portsmouth	Times		1	July 2012
Ohio	Sandusky	Register		1	July 2012
Ohio	Sidney	News		1	July 2012
Ohio	Steubenville	Herald-Star		1	July 2012
Ohio	Stow	Sentry		1	July 2012
Ohio	Tallmadge	Express		1	July 2012
Ohio	Tiffin	Advertiser-Tribune		1	July 2012
Ohio	Urbana	Citizen		1	July 2012
Ohio	Van Wert	Times-Bulletin		1	July 2012
Ohio	Warren	Tribune Chronicle		1	July 2012
Ohio	Washington Court House	Record-Herald		1	July 2012
Ohio	Waverly	The News Watchman	1		July 2012
Ohio	Willoughby	Lake County News-Herald	1	1	July 2012
Ohio	Wilmington	News-Journal		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	Oklahoman	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Tulsa	World	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Ada	Evening News		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Altus	Times		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Ardmore	The Sunday Ardmoreite		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Bartlesville	Examiner-Enterprise		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Chickasha	Star		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Claremore	Daily Progress	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Duncan	The Duncan Banner		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Durant	Democrat		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Edmond	The Edmond Sun		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Enid	News & Eagle		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Lawton	Sunday Constitution		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	McAlester	News-Capitol	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Miami	News-Record	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Muskogee	Phoenix & Times Democrat	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Norman	Transcript		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Pauls Valley	Daily Democrat		1	July 2012

Oklahoma	Pryor	The Daily Times	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Shawnee	News-Star		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Stillwater	News-Press		1	July 2012
Oklahoma	Tahlequah	Tahlequah Daily Press	1		July 2012
Oklahoma	Woodward	News	1		July 2012
Oregon	Albany-Corvallis	Albany Democrat-Herald/Corvallis Gazette-Times	1		July 2012
Oregon	Astoria	Daily Astoria		1	July 2012
Oregon	Bend	Bulletin	1		July 2012
Oregon	Coos Bay	World	1		July 2012
Oregon	Eugene	Register-Guard	1		July 2012
Oregon	Klamath Falls	Herald and News	1		July 2012
Oregon	Medford	Mail Tribune	1		July 2012
Oregon	Ontario	Argus Observer	1		July 2012
Oregon	Pendleton	East Oregonian	1		July 2012
Oregon	Portland	Oregonian	1		July 2012
Oregon	Roseburg	News-Review of Douglas County		1	July 2012
Oregon	Grants Pass	Courier		1	July 2012
Oregon	Salem	Statesman-Journal		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Allentown	Morning Call	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Beaver	County Times	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Carlisle	Sentinel	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Doylestown	Intelligencer	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Du Bois	Tri-County Sunday	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Easton	Express-Times	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Erie	Times-News	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Gettysburg	Times	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Harrisburg	Patriot-News	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Johnstown	Tribune-Democrat	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Lancaster	News	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Levittown	Bucks County Courier Times	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	New Castle	News	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Oil City-Franklin	The Derrick/The News-Herald	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	Inquirer	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh	Post-Gazette	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Reading	Eagle	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Sayre	Morning Times	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Sharon	Herald	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	State College	Center Daily Times	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Stroudsburg	Pocono Record	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Sunbury	Daily Item	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Uniontown	Herald-Standard	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Wilkes-Barre	Times Leader	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Williamsport	Sun-Gazette	1		July 2012
Pennsylvania	Altoona	Mirror		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Bloomsburg	Press-Enterprise		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Bradford	Era		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Butler	Eagle		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Chambersburg	Public Opinion		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Clearfield	Progress		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Hanover	Sun		1	July 2012

Pennsylvania	Hazleton	Standard-Speaker	1	1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Indiana	Gazette		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Lebanon	News		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Lehighton	Times News		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Lewistown	Sentinel		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Lock Haven	Express		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	McKeesport/Duquesne/Clairton	News		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Meadville	Tribune		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	New Kensington-Tarentum-Vandegrift	Valley News Dispatch		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Norristown	Times Herald	1	1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Phoenixville	Phoenix		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh	Tribune-Review		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Pottstown	Mercury	1	1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Smoakin/Pottsville	News Item - Republican Herald	1	1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Primos	Delaware County Times	1	1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Scranton	Times-Tribune		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Somerset	Daily American		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Sunbury	Danville News		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Towanda	Sunday Review	1	1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Warren	Times-Observer		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Washington	Observer-Reporter		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	West Chester	Local News	1	1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	Wilkes-Barre	Sunday Voice		1	July 2012
Pennsylvania	York	Sunday News		1	July 2012
Rhode Island	Providence	Journal	1		July 2012
Rhode Island	Kent County	Times		1	July 2012
Rhode Island	Newport	The Daily News		1	July 2012
Rhode Island	Pawtucket/Central Falls	Times		1	July 2012
Rhode Island	Westerly	Sun		1	July 2012
Rhode Island	Woonsocket	Call		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Anderson	Independent-Mail	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Charleston	Post and Courier	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Columbia	The State	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Greenville	Journal	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Greenwood	Index-Journal	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Hilton Head-Beaufort	Island Packet-Gazette	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach	Sun News	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Orangeburg	Times & Democrat	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Rock Hill	The Herald	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Spartanburg	Herald-Journal	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Sumter	Item	1		July 2012
South Carolina	Aiken	Standard		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Florence	Morning News		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Georgetown	Times		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Goose Creek	Gazette		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Greenville	News & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Lancaster	The Lancaster News		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Newberry	The Newberry Observer		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Summerville	The Journal Scene		1	July 2012
South Carolina	Union	The Union Daily Times		1	July 2012

South Carolina	Winsboro	Herald Independent		1	July 2012
South Dakota	Aberdeen	American News	1		July 2012
South Dakota	Belle Fourche	Butte County Post	1		July 2012
South Dakota	Huron	Plainsman	1		July 2012
South Dakota	Mitchell	Daily Republic	1		July 2012
South Dakota	Rapid City	Journal	1		July 2012
South Dakota	Watertown	Public Opinion	1		July 2012
South Dakota	Sioux Falls	Argus Leader		1	July 2012
South Dakota	Yankton	Press & Dakotan		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Chattanooga	Times Free-Press	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Dyersburg	State Gazette	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Johnson City	Press	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Knoxville	News Sentinel	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Memphis	Commercial Appeal	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Morristown	Citizen Tribune	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Murfreesboro	Post	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Shelbyville	Shelbyville Times-Gazette	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Tullahoma	The Sunday News	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Athens	Post-Athenian		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Clarksville	Leaf-Chronicle		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Cleveland	Banner		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Columbia	Herald		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Cookeville	Herald-Citizen		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Crossville	Chronicle	1		July 2012
Tennessee	Dickson	Dickson Herald		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Elizabethton	Elizabethton Star		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Gallatin	News-Examiner		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Greeneville	The Greeneville Sun		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Hendersonville	Star News		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Jackson	Sun		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Kingsport	Times-News		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Lebanon	Democrat		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Maryville/Alcoa	Times		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Murfreesboro	News Journal		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Nashville	Tennessean & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Newport	Plain Talk		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Oak Ridge	Oak Ridger		1	July 2012
Tennessee	Sevierville	Mountain Press		1	July 2012
Texas	Abilene	Reporter-News	1		July 2012
Texas	Amarillo	Globe-News	1		July 2012
Texas	Athens	Daily Review	1		July 2012
Texas	Austin	American-Statesman	1		July 2012
Texas	Beaumont	Enterprise	1		July 2012
Texas	Brownsville	Herald	1		July 2012
Texas	Brownwood	Bulletin	1		July 2012
Texas	Corpus Christi	Caller-Times	1		July 2012
Texas	Corsicana	Daily Sun	1		July 2012
Texas	Dallas/Al Dia	Al Dia	1		July 2012
Texas	Dallas/Briefing	Briefing	1		July 2012
Texas	Dallas	Morning News	1		July 2012
Texas	El Paso	El Diario de El Paso	1		July 2012

Texas	Ft. Worth	Star-Telegram	1		July 2012
Texas	Gainsville	Daily Register	1		July 2012
Texas	Greenville	Herald Banner	1		July 2012
Texas	Harlingen	Valley Morning Star	1		July 2012
Texas	Houston	Chronicle	1		July 2012
Texas	Houston	The Good Life	1		July 2012
Texas	Jacksonville	Daily Progress	1		July 2012
Texas	Kerrville	Daily Times	1		July 2012
Texas	Longview	News-Journal		1	July 2012
Texas	Marshall	News Messenger		1	July 2012
Texas	McAllen	Monitor	1	1	July 2012
Texas	Mineral Wells	Index-Journal	1		July 2012
Texas	Odessa	American	1		July 2012
Texas	Palestine	Herald-Press	1		July 2012
Texas	Paris	News	1		July 2012
Texas	Plainview	Plainview Daily Herald	1		July 2012
Texas	San Angelo	Standard-Times	1		July 2012
Texas	San Antonio	Express-News	1		July 2012
Texas	Stephenville	Empire-Tribune	1		July 2012
Texas	Temple	Daily Telegram	1		July 2012
Texas	Tyler	Courier Times-Telegraph	1		July 2012
Texas	Victoria	Advocate	1		July 2012
Texas	Waco	Tribune-Herald	1		July 2012
Texas	Waxahachie	Daily Light	1		July 2012
Texas	Weslaco	Mid Valley Town Crier	1		July 2012
Texas	Wichita Falls	Times Record News	1		July 2012
Texas	Baytown	The Sun		1	July 2012
Texas	Bryan/College Station	Eagle		1	July 2012
Texas	Cleburne	Times-Review		1	July 2012
Texas	Clute	Brazosport Facts		1	July 2012
Texas	Conroe	Courier		1	July 2012
Texas	Del Rio	News Herald		1	July 2012
Texas	Denton	Record Chronicle	1	1	July 2012
Texas	El Paso	Times		1	July 2012
Texas	Galveston County	News		1	July 2012
Texas	Houston	Houston Community Papers		1	July 2012
Texas	Houston	East Texas Community Newspapers		1	July 2012
Texas	Huntsville	Item	1	1	July 2012
Texas	Irving	Rambler		1	July 2012
Texas	Killeen	Herald		1	July 2012
Texas	Laredo/Zapata	Morning Times		1	July 2012
Texas	Lewisville	Leader		1	July 2012
Texas	Lubbock	Avalanche-Journal	1	1	July 2012
Texas	Little Elm	Journal		1	July 2012
Texas	Lufkin	Daily News		1	July 2012
Texas	Midland	Reporter-Telegram	1		July 2012
Texas	Nocogdoches	The Daily Sentinel		1	July 2012
Texas	New Braunfels	Herald-Zeitung		1	July 2012
Texas	Orange	Leader		1	July 2012
Texas	Port Arthur	News		1	July 2012

Texas	San Marcos	Daily Record		1	July 2012
Texas	Seguin	Seguin Gazette-Enterprise		1	July 2012
Texas	Sherman/Denison	Herald Democrat		1	July 2012
Texas	Texarkana	Gazette	1		July 2012
Texas	Weatherford	The Democrat		1	July 2012
Texas	Van Alstyne	Leader		1	July 2012
Utah	Logan	Herald Journal	1		July 2012
Utah	Provo	Daily Herald	1		July 2012
Utah	Salt Lake City	Tribune-Desert News	1		July 2012
Utah	Ogden	Standard-Examiner		1	July 2012
Utah	St. George	Spectrum		1	July 2012
Vermont	Rutland/Barre	Rutland/Herald/Barre Times Argus	1		July 2012
Vermont	Bennington	Bennington Banner		1	July 2012
Vermont	Brattleboro	Brattleboro Reformer		1	July 2012
Vermont	Burlington	Free Press		1	July 2012
Virginia	Martinsville	Bulletin	1		July 2012
Virginia	Newport News	Daily Press	1		July 2012
Virginia	Norfolk	Virginian-Pilot	1		July 2012
Virginia	Richmond	Times-Dispatch	1	1	July 2012
Virginia	Roanoke	Times	1		July 2012
Virginia	Bristol	Herald-Courier		1	July 2012
Virginia	Charlottesville	Progress		1	July 2012
Virginia	Culpeper	Star-Exponent		1	July 2012
Virginia	Danville	Register & Bee		1	July 2012
Virginia	Fredericksburg	Free Lance-Star		1	July 2012
Virginia	Harrisonburg	News Record		1	July 2012
Virginia	Lynchburg	News & Advance		1	July 2012
Virginia	Manassas	Potomac News & Journal Messenger		1	July 2012
Virginia	Petersburg	Progress-Index	1	1	July 2012
Virginia	Staunton	News Leader		1	July 2012
Virginia	Strasburg	Northern Virginia Daily		1	July 2012
Virginia	Waynesboro	News Virginian		1	July 2012
Virginia	Winchester	Star		1	July 2012
Washington	Bellingham	Herald	1		July 2012
Washington	Bremerton	Kitsap Sun	1		July 2012
Washington	Ellensburg	Daily Record	1		July 2012
Washington	Mt. Vernon	Skagit Valley Herald	1		July 2012
Washington	Olympia	Olympian	1		July 2012
Washington	Pasco-Kennewick-Richland	Tri-City Herald	1		July 2012
Washington	Seattle	Times	1		July 2012
Washington	Spokane	Spokesman-Review	1		July 2012
Washington	Tacoma	News Tribune	1		July 2012
Washington	Vancouver	Columbian	1		July 2012
Washington	Walla Walla	Union-Bulletin	1		July 2012
Washington	Yakima	Herald-Republic	1		July 2012
Washington	Aberdeen	Daily World		1	July 2012
Washington	Aberdeen	The South Beach Bulletin		1	July 2012
Washington	Bellevue	Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Centralia/Chehalis	Chronicle		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Auburn Reporter		1	July 2012

Washington	Everett	Bainbridge Island Review		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Bremerton Patriot		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Central Kitsap Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Covington/Maple Valley Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Federal Way Mirror		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Herald		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	North Kitsap Herald		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Port Orchard		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	South Whidbey Record		1	July 2012
Washington	Everett	Whidbey News Times		1	July 2012
Washington	Issaquah/Sammamish	Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Kent	Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Kirkland	The Kirkland Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Moses Lake	Columbia Basin Herald		1	July 2012
Washington	Montesano	Vidette		1	July 2012
Washington	Port Angeles	Peninsula Daily News		1	July 2012
Washington	Redmond	Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Renton	Reporter		1	July 2012
Washington	Wenatchee	World	1	1	July 2012
West Virginia	Beckley	Register-Herald	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Bluefield	Daily Telegraph	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Charleston	Gazette-Mail	1	1	July 2012
West Virginia	Clarksburg	Exponent Telegram	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Fairmont	Times West Virginian	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Martinsburg	Journal	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Morgantown	Dominion Post	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Parkersburg	News and Sentinel	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Princeton	Times	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Wheeling	Sunday News-Register	1		July 2012
West Virginia	Elkins	Inter-Mountain		1	July 2012
West Virginia	Gallipolis/Point Pleasant	Register (WV)		1	July 2012
West Virginia	Huntington	Herald-Dispatch		1	July 2012
West Virginia	Logan	Logan Banner		1	July 2012
West Virginia	Weirton	Daily Times		1	July 2012
West Virginia	Williamson	Daily News		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Baraboo	Baraboo News Republic	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Beaver Dam	Daily Citizen	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Portage	Daily Register	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Chippewa Falls	Chippewa Valley Newspapers	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Kenosha	News	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	La Crosse	Tribune	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Madison	Wisconsin State Journal	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Racine	Journal Times	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Rhineland	Daily News	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Shawano	Leader	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Appleton	Post-Crescent		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Beloit	News		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Beloit	My Stateline Shopper		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Eau Claire	Leader-Telegram	1		July 2012
Wisconsin	Fond Du Lac	Reporter		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Green Bay	Press-Gazette		1	July 2012

Wisconsin	Janesville	Gazette		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Manitowoc/Two Rivers	Herald Times Reporter		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Marinette	Eagle Herald		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Milwaukee	Journal Sentinel & Sunday Select		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Oshkosh	Northwestern		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Rhineland	Star Journal		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Sheboygan	Press		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Superior	Telegram		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Watertown	Times		1	July 2012
Wisconsin	Wausau-Stevens Point	Herald-Central WI Sunday		1	July 2012
Wyoming	Casper	Star-Tribune	1		July 2012
Wyoming	Cheyenne	Wyoming Tribune-Eagle		1	July 2012
Wyoming	Laramie	Boomerang		1	July 2012
		TOTAL	593	655	
		Total Unduplicated Newspapers	1,213		
			Parade Circ.	USA Weekend Circ.	
			7/8/12	7/1/12	
			33,000,000	22,297,841	

Attachment 3 – Informational Release

New York, NY
Month DD, YYYY
PR Newswire

Court to Notify Merchants about a \$6+ Billion Settlement Providing Payments and Benefits to Merchants Who Accepted Visa or MasterCard since 2004

The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York ordered a notification program to start today. Merchants in the U.S. will be notified that the Court has preliminarily approved an agreement that merchants, Visa, MasterCard, and other defendants have reached in a class action lawsuit. The lawsuit claims that merchants paid excessive fees for accepting Visa and MasterCard because of an alleged conspiracy among the Defendants.

The monetary portion of the Class Settlement consists of two funds. The first is a cash fund in the amount of \$6.05 billion. Any person, business or other entity that accepted Visa or MasterCard credit or debit cards in the U.S. at any time between January 1, 2004 and MM DD, 201Y may be eligible to receive a payment from the \$6.05 billion fund. The second is a fund equivalent to a portion of interchange fees attributable to certain merchants that accept Visa or MasterCard credit cards for an eight month period to start by MM DD, 201Y. That fund is estimated to be approximately \$1.2 billion. Additionally, the Settlement will require Visa and MasterCard to modify some of their rules for merchants that accept their cards.

There are two Classes in this proposed Class Settlement:

- A Rule 23(b)(3) Settlement Class (“Cash Settlement Class”), which includes all persons, businesses, and other entities. that accepted any Visa or MasterCard cards in the U.S. at any time from January 1, 2004 to MM DD, 201Y, and
- A Rule 23(b)(2) Settlement Class (“Rule Changes Settlement Class”), which includes all persons, businesses, and other entities. that as of MM, DD, YYYY or in the future accept any Visa or MasterCard cards in the U.S.

On MM DD, 201Y, there will be a court hearing to decide if the Class Settlement will be finally approved. Before the hearing date, Class members will be mailed a notice about their legal rights and the release of their claims. This same information will be published online as well as in newspapers, and consumer and trade publications.

Members of the Cash Settlement Class can exclude themselves from that Class. Members of the Rule Changes Settlement Class cannot exclude themselves from that Class. Members of either Class can object to any part of the proposed Class Settlement. The deadline to object or to be excluded is MM DD, 201Y.

If the Court grants final approval of the Class Settlement, eligible members of the Cash Settlement Class may file claims for payment to share in the distribution of the settlement funds (Claim Forms). Claim Forms will be sent to all known Class members. Claim Forms will also be available at the website or by calling the Class Administrator.

For more information about this case (*In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1720), Class members may:

Call toll-free: XXX-XXX-XXXX

Visit: www.PaymentCardSettlement.com

Write to the Class Administrator: PO Box XXXX, Portland, OR 97208-XXXX, or

Email: questions@xxx.com.

The Court has appointed the law firms of Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi LLP, Berger & Montague, PC, and Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP to represent the Class.

For the Press Only:

Class Counsel: Kathy Gross Schoen, Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi, LLP, Tel.: (612) 349-8500

Merrill G. Davidoff, Berger & Montague, PC, Tel.: (215) 875-3000

Eric Dewey, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, Tel.: (619) 231-1058

SOURCE: U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York